



Comments	References
Page 1	Index · Prologue · Definition · Resources
Page 2	Genealogies · 77 Generations to CHRISTOS
Page 3	Genealogies · Manipulation of Begetting Ages
Page 4	Genealogies · Abraham's 'Golden Age' of 175 Years
Page 5	Noah's Flood · 3 Differentiating Dates · Length of 360 Days · The 30-Day Gap
Page 6	Noah's Flood · Death of Lamech and Methuselah Before the Flood
Page 7	Angel of KYRIOS / Messenger of Great Counsel Cain & Abel Sons of THEOS
Page 8	Greek Old Testament (GOT) Quotations Greek Book Titles of the Bible Greek Words with Origin in the GOT
Page 9	The Age of Humanity - As Documented by Historians The 'Last Days' Stand for 1/3 or 1/4 of the Time of Humanity? Insufficient Time Spans btw. the Flood and Abraham / Nimrod
Page 10	Scriptural Differences · Acrostic Psalms Daniel, Book of Division of the Nations Esther, Book of Jacob in Egypt
Page 11	Scriptural Differences · Joshua 12 Prophecies Sabbaths Saul & David
Page 12	Scriptural Differences · Solomon died 'Evil before KYRIOS' Superscriptions of Psalms
Page 13	Biblical Languages · Definition of Aramaic / Syrian, Greek, Hebrew, Jewish / Judean
Page 14	25-100 AD Languages in the New Testament Era 230 AD Madaba Map · ~5/6th c. AD Kiryat Gath Mosaic 2nd - 7th c. AD Proto-Masoretic / Mishnaic Hebrew 1880 AD - Today Modern Hebrew Language
Page 15	Bible Translation History (General)
Page 16	The Translation Process (Septuagint)
Page 17	Historical Evaluation (GOT) Conclusion & Epilogue
Page 18 - 23	Addendum · Complete List of Old Testament Quotations
Page 24	Addendum · Ecclesiastical Books (Apocrypha, Deuterocanonicals)
Page 25 - 26	Addendum · Ecclesiastical Books · Timeline of Inclusion & Exclusion

Prologue

Today we notice with surprise, that the majority of believers does not know the predominant Bible text of the time of CHRISTOS.

THEOS is **not the author of confusion**. He gave us the combined Bible in **1 language**, not **in 2 (3) languages including a Modern Hebrew no biblical character could understand**. It is no accident that the Old Testament had been translated precisely in the Intertestamental Period and that it was well established when CHRISTOS came and when the New Testament in that same language was added. It shows a perfect and rather divine timing.

Not only did the Greek-speaking world highly value the Greek Old Testament, it is more importantly the Bible CHRISTOS and His disciples (also) read and regularly quoted from, and the Bible of Paul the Apostle and of the earliest Christian missions. For 5-6 centuries (2/1 c. BC - 5/6 c. AD), this Bible text was not only predominant in use, but even the preferred source text for translations into other languages. The earliest Codices had not been penned using the Paleo-Hebrew, but precisely based on the Greek text. The earliest existing Bible map, the Madaba Map, was created in ~550 AD in the Greek language, and exclusively used the Greek Old Testament for its numerous Scripture quotations.

The accessibility of the **Greek Old Testament (GOT; consisting of the Pentateuch - also called Septuagint / LXX; plus 17 other books = 22 (39) books in total)** is not the problem - it is available in major online stores and through several online versions. The problem is rather that generations of Christians grew up with **(Proto-) Masoretic Bible texts** (NASB, NKJV, NIV, et al) and that we are simply used to it, while retailers and Bible scholars would also have difficulties to change the Bibles and their respective interpretations. But a 'change' to the correct Bible texts would not only be beneficial for the sales of corrected Bible translations.

Most importantly, it would mean to have a fully inspired Word of THEOS, to increase the credibility of the Bible in regards of its timeline and to ultimately attract new believers - those who doubt the Bible e.g. because its common timeline does even overlap the accepted construction date of the Egyptian pyramids (flood), while the Greek Old Testament provides a perfect harmony.

Definition

Webster's Dictionary (1828 AD)

"SEP'TUAGINT, noun [Latin septuaginta, seventy; septem, seven, and some word signifying ten.] A Greek version of the Old Testament, so call because it was **the work of seventy, or rather of seventy-two interpreters**. This translation from the Hebrew is supposed have been made in the reign and by the order of Ptolemy Philadelphus, king of Egypt, about **two hundred and seventy or eighty years before the birth of Christ**. SEP'TUAGINT, adjective. Pertaining to the Septuagint; contained in the Greek copy of the Old Testament. The Septuagint **chronology makes fifteen hundred years more from the creation to Abraham, than the present Hebrew copies of the Bible.**"

[Websters dictionary 1828.com](#)

Greek Language

Greek is the **world's oldest recorded living language**, first spoken in the Balkan peninsula since the 3rd millenium BC or earlier (which can only mean that Greek was most probably one of the languages with the Tower of Babel in ~2841 BC (line of Japeth). The earliest examples of written Paleo-Hebrew date only back to the 10th century BC and the Hebrew of today's Bibles has little to do with Paleo-Hebrew and the Aramaic / Hebrew of the original Bible).

[Wikipedia](#)

[Timeline FitForFaith.ca](#)

Resources

Online Bibles
(Greek Old Testament, translated into English)

Lancelot Brenton Translation (1851; based on Codex Vaticanus; by Lancelot C. Brenton; **extra-biblical Apocrypha included**; some problems e.g. in 1Chr 25:1-2, where he moved the word 'prophet' by one verse and made it plural **implying that David appointed randomly many prophets**; generally a good translation; but the Book of Daniel is not of use because he used the text from Theodotion instead of the 'Old Greek' text.

[Wikipedia BibleStudyTool](#)

New English Translation (NETS; 2014; based on several critical editions included in the Göttingen, Rahlfs' for the remainder and the NRSV translation; by Pietersma, Wright & others; **extra-biblical Apocrypha included**)

[NETS](#)

Audiobooks

The Septuagint Genesis: Brenton Translation, narrated by Christopher Glyn

[Available on several platforms](#)

The Pentateuch from the Septuagint, narrated by Joseph B. Lumpkin

[Available on several platforms](#)

The Minor Prophets of the Septuagint in English, narrated by Mel Jackson

[Available on several platforms](#)

General Reading

What Is The Septuagint?

[Wikipedia](#)

What is the (Proto-) Masoretic text / Ussher chronology?

[Wikipedia](#)

Reviews, of Books
(by Thomas Lorenz)

Discovering the Septuagint, by David W. Bercot
(5 out of 5 stars ||| Reviewed 07/12/2023)

[Goodreads Review](#)

An Evangelical Appeal for the Septuagint, by C.W. Henry
(2 out of 5 stars ||| Reviewed 27/06/2024)

[Review](#)

Invitation to the Septuagint, by Karen Jobes, Moisés Silva
(1 out of 5 stars ||| Reviewed 21/06/2024)

[Review](#)

Translation and Survival: The Greek Bible of the Ancient Jewish Diaspora, by Tessa Rajak (1 out of 5 stars ||| Reviewed 28/09/2024)

[Review](#)



Comments Scripture / References

What I now entitle in unorthodox terms 'smoking guns', shows us perfect proofs for the superiority of the Greek Old Testament compared with the Masoretic texts. We have to be aware that a perfect proof can rather not come from outside Scripture (e.g. based on history only), but necessarily has to come from within Scripture - comparing the different texts to each other.

#1 Genealogies

IESOUS CHRISTOS came in the **77th generation**, not in the **76th generation**, outgoing from THEOS the FATHER, being the FATHER of the first human, Adam.

► see also the study 'Genealogies'

Even our common Bibles **contradict themselves** - when comparing the **NT with 77 generations** - with the **OT resulting in 76 generations**.

77 Greek NT

Luke 3

And **IESOUS [77]**, when He began His ministry, was Himself about thirty years old, being the son (as it was believed) of Joseph [76] the son of Eli [75], the son of Matthat [74], the son of Levi [73], the son of Melchi [72], the son of Jannai [71], the son of Joseph [70], the son of Mattathias [69], the son of Amos [68], the son of Nahum [67], the son of Eslu [66], the son of Naggai [65], the son of Maath [64], the son of Mattathias [63], the son of Semein [62], the son of Josech [61], the son of Joda [60], the son of Joanan [59], the son of Rhesa [58], the son of Zerubbabel [57], the son of Shealtiel [56], the son of Neri [55], the son of Melchi [54], the son of Addi [53], the son of Cosam [52], the son of Elmadam [51], the son of Er [50], the son of Joshua [49], the son of Eliezer [48], the son of Jorim [47], the son of Matthat [46], the son of Levi [45], the son of Simeon [44], the son of Judah [43], the son of Joseph [42], the son of Jonam [41], the son of Eliakim [40], the son of Melea [39], the son of Menna [38], the son of Mattatha [37], the son of Nathan [36], the son of David [35], the son of Jesse [34], the son of Obed [33], the son of Boaz [32], the son of Sala [31], the son of Nahshon [30], the son of Amminadab [29], the son of Arni [28], the son of Hezron [27], the son of Perez [26], the son of Judah [25], the son of **Jacob [24]**, the son of Isaac [23], the son of **Abraham [22]**, the son of Terah [21], the son of Nahor [20], the son of Serug [19], the son of Reu [18], the son of Peleg [17], the son of Eber [16], the son of Shelah [15], the son of **Cainan [14]**, the son of Arphaxad [13], the son of Shem [12], the son of Noah [11], the son of Lamech [10], the son of Methuselah [9], the son of Enoch [8], the son of Jared [7], the son of Mahalaleel [6], the son of Cainan [5], the son of Enosh [4], the son of Seth [3], the son of **Adam [2, the second], the son of THEOS [1, the First]**.

Luke 3:23-38 Greek NT

77 Greek OT

76 Masoretic

Same verses in Greek OT vs. Masoretic texts:

Genesis 10

Sons of Sem, Elam, and Assur, and **Arphaxad**, and Lud, and Aram, and **Cainan**. And sons of Aram, Uz, and Ul, and Gater, and Mosoch. And **Arphaxad** begot **Cainan**, and **Cainan** begot **Sala**. And Sala begot Heber.

The sons of Shem: Elam, Asshur, **Arphaxad**, Lud, and Aram. And the sons of Aram: Uz, Hul, Gether, and Mash. And **Arphaxad** fathered **Shelah**, and **Shelah** fathered Eber.

Gen 10:21-25 Greek OT

Gen 10:22-24 Masoretic OT

77 Greek OT

76 Masoretic

Same verses in Greek OT vs. Masoretic texts:

Genesis 11

And **Arphaxad** lived **a hundred and thirty-five years**, and begot **Cainan**. 13 And Arphaxad lived after he had begotten **Cainan**, four hundred years, and begot sons and daughters, and died. And **Cainan** lived **a hundred and thirty years** and begot **Sala**; and **Cainan** lived after he had begotten **Sala**, **three hundred and thirty years**, and begot sons and daughters, and died. 14 And **Sala** lived **an hundred and thirty years**, and begot Heber.

When **Arphaxad** had lived **thirty-five years**, he fathered **Shelah**. 13 And Arphaxad lived four hundred and three years after he fathered **Shelah**, and he fathered other sons and daughters.

14 When **Shelah** had lived **thirty years**, he fathered Eber.

Gen 11:12-14 Greek OT

Gen 11:12-14 Masoretic OT

77 Greek OT

1Chronicles 1:18

Also references in the often excluded verses 11 to 16 and verses 18 to 23 the 'missing' Cainan.

And Arphaxad procreated **Cainan**, and Cainan procreated Shelah, and Shelah procreated Eber.

1Ch 1:18 Greek OT

Index Alexandrinus, page 309

18 ΚΑΙ ΑΡΦΑΞΑΔ ΕΓΕΝΝΗΣΕ ΤΟΝ ΚΑΙΝΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΑΙΝΑΝ ΕΓΕΝΝΗΣΕ ΤΟΝ ΣΑΛΑ ΚΑΙ ΣΑΛΑ ΕΓΕΝΝΗΣΕ ΤΟΝ ΕΒΕΡ.

Transliteration: 18 kai arphaxad egennese ton **kainan** kai **kainan** egennese ton sala kai sala egennese ton eber.

"William Hales asserts that the Septuagint, in 1Chron. i, 24, omits Cainan; which is an incorrect account. Many copies have Cainan in both the passages of 1 Chron. i. In verse 18, **Cainan** appears in **twenty-one copies**, collated by Dr. Parsons, including the **Alexandrine**. In verse 24 he is inserted in **six copies**." - Fasti Hellenici, vol. i, p. 288"

The Patriarchal Age; or, The history and religion of mankind by George Smith, Page 317

Fasti Hellenici, vol. i, p. 288

Historical Development of 'Cainan' Scepticism

1c. AD

"It appears very evident that the second Cainan was originally in the Hebrew text [500 BC, Vorlage], and the Septuagint version derived from it [-250 BC]; also, that his name continued in all the Greek and Hebrew copies to the Christian era [1c. AD], and after, to the time of **Josephus**, and the end of the first century." - Chronological Antiquities, vol. i, p. 79.

The Patriarchal Age by George Smith, Page 318

2c. AD (-100-150 AD, before Justin Martyr documented the falsifications in 150 AD)

"... It is remarkable that despite the omission of Cainan from the Hebrew text, and his later general rejection by historians, there are more traditions surviving about him than about his son, Salah. **The Alexandrian Chronicle states [5-6c. AD] that the Samaritans came from Cainan ...**:"

An Alexandrian World Chronicle, Apocalypse of Pseudo-Methodius, page 160-161

*** discernment of the book required**

The fact that the Samaritans came from Cainan, provides an overwhelming justification for the Jews to have excluded precisely his name. It is well known and described in the biblical account that the Jews had a deep aversion of the Samaritans. The inclusion of Cainan in their own writing must have been thorn for centuries.

In addition, it has to be noted that the heritage of the Samaritans is even 2-3 generations older than that of the Hebrews / the lineage of Abraham.

And the sons of Aram, the son of Shem, the son of Noah are [Uz] and Hul, from whom the Lydians arose, and Gether, whence the Gasphenians, and Mash, whence the Mossinians.

And **Arphaxad begot Cainan, whence come, from the east, the Samaritans**. Cainan begot Salathee (i.e., Sala), whence come the Salathians." And Salathee begot Heber, whence come the Hebrews. Two sons were born to Heber, Peleg, whence arises the lineage of Abraham, and Joktan his brother.

The Samaritan woman, therefore, saith unto him - How dost, thou, being, a Jew, ask to drink, of me, who am, a Samaritan woman? **[for, Jews, have no dealings with Samaritans.]**

Joh 4:9

Conclusion:

"The point is decided by an unquestioned text of Holy Scripture in the New Testament, Luke, when giving the genealogy Christ, says that Sala "was the son of Cainan, which was the son of Arphaxad." Luke iii, 36. The genuineness of this text has never been disputed; and we are disposed to rest the question entirely upon it. If it be admitted that Luke wrote under the **plenary inspiration of the HOLY SPIRIT**, it must be believed that the quotations from the Old Testament Scriptures which he has made are strictly true. **It can be no answer, that the writer was deceived in quoting from a translation, or a vitiated copy; for if this be allowed, he might by the same rule be mistaken in any other way, and the doctrine of efficient inspiration would be made worthless.**"

The Patriarchal Age by George Smith, Page 318

Further Extra Biblical References

Cainan does **not appear** in the (Proto-) Masoretic Text and in other texts mainly associated with the interests of certain people groups, but does appear in numerous biblical and extra biblical texts:

C. Robert Fetter 'A Critical Investigation of The Second Cainan' lists the following texts and versions which **omit the name of Cainan**: (1) **all the passages in the (Modern) Hebrew text (Gen 10:24; 11:12-13; 1Chr 1:18, 24; 2) the Samaritan Pentateuch; (3) [...] (5) the Syriac Version; (6) the Latin Vulgate ...** But those which **do mention Cainan** are (1) **nearly all Greek manuscripts of Luke 3:36; (2) the Septuagint of Gen 10:24, 11:12-13, and 1Chr 1:18; (3) the Book of Jubilees; and (4) Demetrius, according to Polyhistor and Theophilus of Antioch.** [He did not mention that Cainan is included in the Hebrew Midrash (not endorsed) and venerated in the Orthodox Church (not endorsed)]

Source

Source

The Numerical Balance Between Genesis 5 & 11

Genesis 1-2	Genesis 5	Genesis 11	
1 - THEOS	(2) 3 - Seth	(12) 13 - Arphaxad	See study 'Genealogies'
(1) 2 - Adam	(3) 4 - Enosh	(13) 14 - Cainan	
	(4) 5 - Kenan	(14) 15 - Shelah	
	(5) 6 - Mahalaleel	(15) 16 - Eber	
	(6) 7 - Jared	(16) 17 - Peleg	
	(7) 8 - Enoch	(17) 18 - Reu	
	(8) 9 - Methuselah	(18) 19 - Serug	
	(9) 10 - Lamech	(19) 20 - Nahor	
	(10) 11 - Noah (Shema, Ham, Japeth)	(20) 21 - Terah (Abram, Nahor, Haran)	
	(11) 12 - Shem	(21) 22 - Abraham	
	10	10 (NOT 9!)	




Comments Scripture / References

Genealogies – Manipulation of Begetting Ages.

#2 Genealogies

When we compare all the begetting ages (see link with overview), it becomes clear that only the Greek OT harmonizes overall and with the respective lifetimes. [▶ see the study 'Genealogies'](#)



We also notice by comparing historical resources, that the **Alexandrian World Chronicle** (5–6c. AD), **Eusebius of Caesarea** (4c. AD), **Flavius Josephus** (1c. AD), **Julius Africanus** (3c. AD; in his Five Books of Chronology) and **Theophilus of Antioch** (2c. AD; in his apologetic work to Autolytus) **affirm the same pattern of begetting ages as provided in the Greek OT**,


while the manipulated begetting ages are reflected in the **Book of Jasher** (not authentic), **Jubilees**, **Samaritan Pentateuch** and the **Modern Hebrew (Proto-Masoretic) text**, all sources easily accessible and to be manipulated by the second century Jews.

Genealogies – Significant overlap of up to 11 generations in the Masoretic texts vs. 0-1 generations in the Greek Old Testament.

▶ see also the study 'Genealogies'

230 Greek OT	And Adam lived three hundred years.	Gen 11 Greek OT
130 Masoretic	And when Adam had lived one hundred and thirty years, he fathered a child in his likeness, according to his image. And he called his name Seth.	Gen 5:3 Masoretic OT
135 Greek OT	And Arphaxad lived a hundred thirty five years, and he procreated Cainan.	Gen 11:12 Greek OT
35 Masoretic	When Arphaxad had lived thirty-five years, he fathered Shelah.	Gen 11:12 Masoretic OT
79 Greek OT	And Nahor lived seventy nine years, and he procreated Terah.	Gen 11:14 Greek OT
29 Masoretic	When Nahor had lived twenty-nine years, he fathered Terah.	Gen 11:16 Masoretic OT
100 Greek OT	And Abraham was a hundred years old when was born to him Isaac his son.	Gen 21:5 Greek OT
100 Masoretic	And Abraham was one hundred years old when Isaac his son was born to him.	Gen 21:5 Masoretic OT

While the overlap of generations is not visible in the generations from Adam to Shem (due to the very high lifespans), it becomes apparent in the generations from Shem to Terah, where the lifespans after the flood are significantly reduced and therefore reveal the manipulation done in respect to the begetting ages.



Let us run through the scenarios the Masoretic text is painting.

Then we cannot do otherwise but see the incredible damage the Masoretic text has suffered through its botched and heretical manipulation.

1. Noah would have met Terah, his great-great-great-great-great-great-grandson born 9 generations after him.

2. Shem would have met Jacob, his great-great-great-great-great-great-great-grandson born 11 generations after him.

3. Arphaxad would have met Abraham, his great-great-great-great-great-grandson born 8 generations after him.

4. Shelah would have met Isaac, his great-great-great-great-grandson born 8 generations after him.

5. Eber would have met Jacob, his great-great-great-great-grandson born 8 generations after him.

According to the Masoretic timeline, **Noah would have:**

- fathered Shem [1st generation · son]
- met Arphaxad [2nd generation · grandson]
- met Shelah [3rd generation · great-grandson]
- met Eber [4th generation · great-great-grandson]
- met & outlived Peleg by 10 years [5th generation · great-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Reu [6th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Serug [7th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met & outlived Nahor by 9 years [8th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Terah [9th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- and only died 2 years before Abram.

Calculation from Noah to Abraham: 2166 BC (birthdate Abram)

- + 130 years (Terah fathered Abram; see Gen 11:26)
- + 29 years (Nahor fathered Terah; see Gen 11:24)
- + 30 years (Serug fathered Nahor; see Gen 11:22)
- + 32 years (Reu fathered Serug; see Gen 11:20)
- + 30 years (Peleg fathered Reu; see Gen 11:18)
- + 34 years (Eber fathered Peleg; see Gen 11:16)
- + 30 years (Shelah fathered Eber; see Gen 11:14)
- + 35 years (Arphaxad fathered Shelah; see Gen 11:12)
- + 100 years (Shem fathered Arphaxad; see Gen 11:10)
- + 502 years (Noah fathered Shem; Gen 5:32) -950 years (total lifetime of Noah)
- = **2168 BC, meaning a death of Noah 2 years before the birth of Abram - according to the standard Bibles we hold in our hands today!**

According to the Masoretic timeline, **Shem would have:**

- fathered & outlived Arphaxad by 62 years [1st generation · son]
- met & outlived Shelah by 32 years [2nd generation · grandson]
- met Eber [3rd generation · great-grandson]
- met & outlived Peleg by 162 years [4th generation · great-gt.-grandson]
- met & outlived Reu by 131 years [5th generation · great-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met & outlived Serug by 109 years [6th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met & outlived Nahor by 161 years [7th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met & outlived Terah by 75 years [8th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Abraham [9th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Isaac [10th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Jacob [11th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].

Shem having died in 2166 BC and therefore having met Abraham for 150 years (born in 2166 BC) is an outrageous claim regularly made by teachers. THEOS is not the author of confusion, and even the previously very long life spans had a certain pattern.

According to the Masoretic timeline, **Arphaxad would have:**

- fathered Shelah [1st generation · son]
- met & outlived Terah by 13 years [7th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]
- met Abraham [8th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].

According to the Masoretic timeline, **Shelah would have:**

- fathered Eber [1st generation · son]
- met Abraham [7th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].
- met Isaac [8th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson]

According to the Masoretic timeline, **Eber would have:**

- fathered & outlived Peleg by 191 years [1st generation · son]
- met & outlived Abraham by 4 years [6th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].
- met Isaac [7th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].
- met Jacob [8th generation · great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson].

Eber would have outlived his **great-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-gt.-grandson Abraham (6th)**, but is not even mentioned in the Abrahamic covenant !

The Bible rather points out the very rare cases a father outlived his son.

In all the genealogies, **only Terah** (Gen 11:24) is mentioned by 29 / 31 years (2 out of 76 (77 including THEOS) generations).

It is therefore unsettling to assume that **5 generations outlived their descendants of the 8th to 11th generation!**

Another very particular mention is found in Gen 50:23, where it is already deemed exceptional that **Joseph saw Ephraim's children to the third generation** (a fact that deserved a special mention in the Bible).

Job is mentioned to have seen his **great-grandsons**, but once again there is no mention that he outlived them - he saw them in the same way we usually live long enough to see our grandsons.

What Does the Word of THEOS Actually Teach?

1 Greek OT	And Haran died in the presence of Abraham his father (special mention) in the land of his birth, in Ur of the Chaldeans.	Gen 11:28
0 Greek OT	And Nadab and Abihu (strange fire) died before their father, and they had no sons, so Eleazar and Ithamar served as priests.	1Num 3:4 1Chr 24:2
	Then he [Jacob] instructed them [...] Bury me among my ancestors in the cave that is in the field of Ephron the Hittite [...] in the land of Canaan, which Abraham bought with the field from Ephron the Hittite as a burial site. There they buried Abraham and Sarah his wife. There they buried Isaac and Rebekah his wife. [...] When Jacob finished instructing his sons he drew his feet up to the bed. Then he took his last breath and was gathered to his people.	Gen 49:29-33
	Now the length of time that we had traveled from Kadesh Barnea until the pouring of all of your generations [...] when all the men of war had died from among the people, KYRIOS spoke to me, saying, "You are about to cross over the boundary of Moab today at Ar.	Deu 2:14-18
	[Rahab] Now then, please swear to me by KYRIOS that, as I have dealt kindly with you, you also will deal kindly with my father's house, and give me a sure sign that you will love my father and my mother, my brothers and sisters, and all who belong to them, and deliver our lives from death." [...] you shall gather into your house your father and mother, your brothers, and all your [father's] household.	Jos 2:12-18
	And all that generation [conquest of Canaan] also were gathered to their fathers. And there arose another generation after them who did not know (i.e. limited overlap) KYRIOS or the work that he had done for Israel.	Jdg 2:10
	So Joseph remained in Egypt, he and the house of his father. And Joseph lived one hundred and ten years. And Joseph saw Ephraim's children to the third generation (a fact that deserved a special mention in the Bible).	Gen 50:22-23
	And Job lived after his affliction a hundred and seventy years; and all the years he lived were two hundred and forty. and Job saw his sons and his sons' sons, the fourth generation. 17 And Job died, an old man and full of days: 17a and it is written that he will rise again with those whom KYRIOS raises up.	Job 42:16

The main reason why we still believe in a deflated timeline, is essentially the lack of spiritual discernment in academic circles.

- Edwin R. Thiele's chronology 'The Mysterious Numbers of the Hebrew Kings' has become the consensus view among Old Testament scholars. It certainly contains much valuable work, but fails spectacularly when it comes to the superiority of the Greek over Modern Hebrew. Should we have not been more cautious knowing that he was a Seventh-Day-Adventist, a very problematic cult? Why are we blindly accepting core teachings from someone who is possibly not even a Christian?
- Another highly regarded book which also fails spectacularly when it comes to the superiority of the Greek Old Testament, is 'Primeval Chronology' by William Henry Green. It certainly requires more discernment, to recognize a Presbyterian Pastor as a Calvinist (who are closer to the truth than SDA's, but still teach a different Evangelium), but such a discernment should be one of the fundamental skills of a serious biblical scholar. He did not only reject the Greek Old Testament "A simple glance at these numbers is sufficient to show that the Hebrew is the original", but went as far as to reject the entire biblical timeline "We conclude that the Scriptures furnish no data for a chronological computation prior to the life of Abraham; and that the Mosaic records do not fix and were not intended to fix the precise date either of the Flood or of the creation of the world." [which is an opinion at odds with many earlier historians and scholars who defined the creation date; see page 9 for an overview of proposed dates]
- Even the book 'The Genesis Flood: The Biblical Record and Its Scientific Implications', which is a masterpiece in many regards, fails to recognize the superiority of the Greek Old Testament over the Modern Hebrew Old Testament and misses this as great opportunity to strengthen many of their arguments (e.g. more population before Noah because of greater time elapsed between Adam and Noah). Whitcomb and Morris came apparently closely to an acceptance of the Greek Old Testament, but once again bowed to the consensus shaped by Green and Thiele, by hastily and awkwardly arguing in the appendix of the book why Genesis 5 and 11 should not be strictly interpreted, contradicting the entire essence of the book. ▶ see also the study 'Genealogies' which clearly shows the requirement and overall harmony of a strict interpretation of Genesis 5 & 11 in the light of the Greek Old Testament.



Comments	Scripture / References
----------	------------------------

Genealogies - Abraham's 'Golden Age'.

The promise in Genesis 15 was, that Abraham would join his ancestors in a good old age. Did THEOS fulfill His promise?

Yes, according to the Greek OT.
No, not according to the Masoretic text.

Abraham died at the age of 175.

While he was still alive, 4 of his ancestors would have died at the age of 433, 438, 464 and 600 years. Noah would have died at the age of 950 years, only 2 years before the birth of Abram.

By contrast and looking at the Greek OT, we see a **constant and balanced decrease of lifetimes in the previous generations** (see #2 above) - with no conflict at all. Abraham died a little younger than his father and son, but we have to remember that those biblical fathers are only a small fragment of the generation surrounding them and that the 'good old age' rather refers to the life expectancy of his generation. We can conclude from the Bible, that **righteous believers** had been rather **blessed with older ages** (with exceptions) - compared to the average population.

After these things the word of KYRIOS **came to Abram** in a vision [...] And as for you, you shall **go to your ancestors in peace**; you shall be **buried in a good old age**.

[Gen 15:1-15](#)

And Abraham passed away and died **in a good old age, old and full of years**. And he was gathered to his people.

[Gen 25:8](#)

11. Noah	502	448	950 years	3118 - 2168 BC
	12. Shem	100	500	600 years · 2616 - 2016 BC
	13. Arphaxad	35	403	438 years · 2516 - 2078 BC
	14. Shelah	30	403	433 years · 2481 - 2048 BC
	15. Eber	34	430	464 years · 2451 - 1987 BC
	20. Terah	130	75	205 years · 2296 - 2091 BC
	21. Abraham	100	75	175 years · 2166 - 1991 BC

When we look at the Masoretic genealogies, we observe the following inconsistencies:

- 464** Eber outlived Abraham and died at age of 464 years - already more than double the age of Abraham. [Gen 11:16](#)
 - 950** Noah died at the age of 950 years - 5 times older than Abraham, [Gen 9:29](#)
 - 600** Shem at the age of 600 years, [Gen 11:11](#)
 - 438** Arphaxad at the age of 438 years and [Gen 11:13](#)
 - 433** Shelah at the age of 433 years, [Gen 11:15](#)
- all within the same generation / lifetime of Abraham.

175 In summary - a **death of Abraham at the age of 175** would **not come anywhere close to the wording 'good old age' and 'old'**, if his age is directly contrasted by ages of **433, 438, 464, 600 and even 950**. He would have actually died at a -very- 'young age' and earlier than any of his direct ancestors.

There will be no one suffering miscarriage or infertile in your land. I will make **full the number of your days**.

[Exo 23:26](#)

Look, days are coming when I will cut off your strength and the strength of the house of your ancestor so that **no one in your house will live to old age**. You will look at the distress of my dwelling place, despite all the good caused for Israel, but there will **never be an old man in your household** forever!

[1Sam 2:31-32](#)

But you, O THEOS, you will bring them down to the pit of corruption. The men of bloodshed and deceit **will not live half their days**, but I will trust you.

[Psa 55:23](#)

With **long life** I will satisfy him, and show him my salvation.

[Psa 91:16](#)

The **righteous** will flourish like the date palm. They will grow like a cedar in Lebanon. Planted in the house of KYRIOS, they will flourish in the courts of our THEOS. They will still **prosper in old age**.

[Psa 92:12-14](#)

The fear of KYRIOS adds length of days: but **the years of the impious shall be shortened**.

[Pro 10:27](#)

Out of the fruit of **righteousness** grows a tree of life; but **the souls of transgressors are cut off before their time**.

[Pro 11:30](#)

The righteous shall spend many years in wealth: but **the unrighteous shall perish suddenly**.

[Pro 13:23](#)



Comments | **Scripture / References**

Flood - 3 Differentiating Dates.

Manipulation of 3 dates related to the flood (Gen 7:11; Gen 8:4; Gen 8:5) .

No logical reason had been found why those 3 Bible passages had been manipulated. But upon a simple comparison of the numbers '27' and '17' it already becomes clear that only the number '17' can be false because even the Masoretic text agrees in Gen 8:14 with the '27' in the Greek OT. Meanwhile, the Greek OT shows a **triplet synchronism of the number '27'**.


Discrepancy of 1 month (see also below the '30-Day Gap').

27 <i>Greek OT</i>	"In the six hundredth year in the life of Noah, during the second month, on the twenty-seventh of the month, on this day all the springs of the deeps burst forth, and the waterfalls of the heaven were opened."	Gen 7:11 Greek OT
17 <i>Masoretic</i>	"In the six hundredth year of Noah's life, in the second month, on the seventeenth day of the month, on the same day all the fountains of the great deep burst open, and the floodgates of the sky were opened."	Gen 7:11 Masoretic OT
27 <i>Greek OT</i>	"The ark ran aground in the seventh month on the twenty-seventh day of the month on the Ararat mountains."	Gen 8:4 Greek OT
17 <i>Masoretic</i>	"In the seventh month, on the seventeenth day of the month, the ark rested upon the mountains of Ararat."	Gen 8:4 Masoretic OT
27 <i>Greek OT</i>	"And in the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the land was dried."	Gen 8:14 Greek OT
27 <i>Masoretic</i>	"In the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry."	Gen 8:14 Masoretic OT
11 <i>Greek OT</i>	Now the water, as it was proceeding, was diminishing until the tenth month, then in the eleventh month , on the first of the month, the tops of the mountains appeared.	Gen 8:5 Greek OT
10 <i>Masoretic</i>	And the waters continued to recede to the tenth month; in the tenth month , on the first of the month, the tops of the mountains appeared ...	Gen 8:5 Masoretic OT

Flood - Length of 360 Days.

The Masoretic texts conclude a total time of the flood of 370 days (Opening of Abyss until Leaving the Ark), compared to **exactly 360 days provided in the Greek OT.**

► see the study 'The Flood · Chronology' for more details and the precise calculation




360 <i>Greek OT</i>	In the six hundredth year of the life of Noah, in the second month , on the twenty-seventh day of the month - on that day all the springs of the great deep were split open, and the windows of heaven were opened ...	Gen 7:11 Greek OT
	And in the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry.	Gen 8:14 Greek OT
370 <i>Masoretic</i>	In the six hundredth year of the life of Noah, in the second month , on the seventeenth day of the month - on that day all the springs of the great deep were split open, and the windows of heaven were opened.	Gen 7:11 Masoretic OT
	And in the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry.	Gen 8:14 Masoretic
	... my two witnesses, and they will prophesy for one thousand two hundred sixty days [1260 / 3.5 years = 360 days] , dressed in sackcloth."	Rev 11:3
	... speaking great things and blasphemies, and authority to act was given to him for forty-two months [42 x 30 =1260 days (3.5 years)] .	Rev 13:5
	... a tree of life, yielding twelve fruits, in each several month rendering its fruits ...	Rev 22:2

Flood - The 30-Day Gap.

By comparing the beforehand mentioned dates in the Masoretic and Greek OT texts, we notice in the Masoretic texts a mysterious and additional 30-day waiting period.

► see the study 'Flood of Noah · 360-Day Chronology According to the Greek OT' for more details and precise calculation



Origin of 30-day gap:

'until 10th month, then in the 11th month' vs. 'until 10th month, then in the 10th month'.

Verses coincide once again:

The misinterpretation of Genesis 8:5 (**10th** instead of **11th** month) is the origin of this additional waiting time. After this verse, other dates are simply added by counting days (40 days, 7+7 days).

Now the water, as it was proceeding, was diminishing until the **tenth month**, then in the **eleventh month**, on the first of the month, the tops of the mountains appeared.

ΤΟ ΔΕ ΥΔΩΡ ΠΟΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΝ ΗΛΑΤΤΟΝΟΥΤΟ ΕΩΣ ΤΟΥ ΔΕΚΑΤΟΥ ΜΗΝΟΣ . ΕΝ ΔΕ ΤΩ ΕΝΔΕΚΑΤΩ ΜΗΝΙ , ΤΗ ΠΡΩΤΗ ΤΟΥ ΜΗΝΟΣ , ΩΦΘΗΚΑΝ ΑΙ ΚΕΦΑΛΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΟΡΕΩΝ .

And the waters continued to recede to the **tenth month**; in the **tenth month**, on the first of the month, the tops of the mountains appeared ... [the tenth month is now repeated while the Bible text expresses one action until month x, with the subsequent action in a "then" distinct month. The Masoretic text jams both actions into the same month, effectively even overlapping the first of the 10th month!].

Most scholars simply and unknowingly merge this unexplained gap into a longer waiting time of 86 instead of 56 days, effectively adding another waiting time of 30 days before the final wait of 56 days. But when we look at the Greek OT dates, we see a **perfect match of Gen 8:12 and 13**, initiating the 56-day waiting period on the **very same day the dove did not return** and Noah opened consequently the covering.

And when he had waited yet another seven days, again he sent forth the dove, and it did not continue to turn back to him any more. And it came about in the six hundred first year in the life of Noe, **the first month, on the first of the month [same day than previous verse 12, not 1 month later!!!]**, that the water disappeared from the earth, and Noe uncovered the roof of the ark that he made, and he saw that the water had disappeared from the face of the earth.

... And it happened that, in the six hundred and first year, **in the first month, on the first day of the month**, the waters dried up from upon the earth ...

To make it plain to the reader - this means that the inspection through the dove harmonizes with the removal of the covering of the ark and is not torn apart - with 29 or 30 uncommented days in between and Noah having waited for nothing to happen. This is a very strong evidence for the divine inspiration of the Greek Old Testament text.



Comments	Scripture / References
----------	------------------------

Noah's father (Lamech) and grandfather (Methuselah) - death before the flood.

#5
Flood

<p>1. It is clear that either</p> <p>A) The Greek OT texts added 100 years or that</p> <p>B) The Masoretic texts deducted 100 years from most of the begetting ages.</p> <p>How can we now prove which text had been manipulated? Precisely through the bottle-neck of Noah and the flood.</p>	<table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Adam</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Flood</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Reu</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="color: green;">S 230, 205, 190, 170, 165, 162, 165, 187, 182, 502, 100, 135, 130, 130, 134, 130, 132</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right; vertical-align: bottom;"><u>Gen 5,11</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="color: red;">M 130, 105, 90, 70, 65, 162, 65, 187, 182, 502, 100, 35, na, 30, 34, 30, 32</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="color: blue;">D 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 0, 100, 0, 0, 0, 100, -, 100, 100, 100, 100</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">S = Septuagint Text, M = Masoretic Text, D = Difference</p>	Adam	-	Flood	-	Reu	S 230, 205, 190, 170, 165, 162, 165, 187, 182, 502, 100, 135, 130, 130, 134, 130, 132				<u>Gen 5,11</u>	M 130, 105, 90, 70, 65, 162, 65, 187, 182, 502, 100, 35, na, 30, 34, 30, 32					D 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 0, 100, 0, 0, 0, 100, -, 100, 100, 100, 100				
Adam	-	Flood	-	Reu																	
S 230, 205, 190, 170, 165, 162, 165, 187, 182, 502, 100, 135, 130, 130, 134, 130, 132				<u>Gen 5,11</u>																	
M 130, 105, 90, 70, 65, 162, 65, 187, 182, 502, 100, 35, na, 30, 34, 30, 32																					
D 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 0, 100, 0, 0, 0, 100, -, 100, 100, 100, 100																					
<p>2. This is how the Masoretic begetting ages should look alike, if the 100 years would have been deducted consistently. But this implies that Shem would have become father in the first year of his life and that Methuselah and Lamech would have died after the flood.</p> <p style="color: green; font-size: small;">The small fact that the begetting age of Shem remains at its original, is already a clear proof for the correctness of the Greek OT.</p>																					
<p>3. Both Methuselah and Lamech had -NOT- been on the ark, which clearly implies their deaths (either through the flood or) before the flood.</p>	<p>... my covenant with you, and you must go into the ark - you, and your sons, and your wife, and the wives of your sons with you. <u>Gen 6:18</u></p> <p>“Go - you and all your household - into the ark ... <u>Gen 7:1</u></p> <p>And Noah and his sons and his wife, and the wives of his sons with him, went into the ark because of the waters of the flood. <u>Gen 7:7</u></p>																				
<p>4. The flood occurred when Noah had been exactly 600 years old.</p>	<p>Noah was six hundred years old when the flood waters came upon the earth. <u>Gen 7:6</u></p>																				
<p>5. Consequently, Lamech died 29 years before the flood and Methuselah in the year of (or through) the flood.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Lamech: 3298 BC (Flood) + 600 Years (Noah) + 182 Years (Lamech, Begetting Age) - 753 Years (Lamech, Life Time) = 3327 BC (29 Years Before the Flood)</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Methuselah: 3298 BC Flood + 600 Years (Noah) + 182 Years (Lamech, Begetting Age) + 187 Years (Methuselah, Begetting Age) - 969 Years (Methuselah, Life Time) = 3298 BC (Year of the Flood)</p>																				
<p>6. What would be the consequence if the deduction of the 100 years would have been applied consistently for all generations and Methuselah would have become father at the age of 87 and Lamech at the age of 82?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Lamech: 3298 BC (Flood) + 600 Years (Noah) + 82 Years (Lamech, Begetting Age) - 753 Years (Lamech, Life Time) = 3227 BC (71 Years After flood)</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Methuselah: 3298 BC (Flood) + 600 Years (Noah) + 82 Years (Lamech, Begetting Age) + 87 Years (Methuselah, Begetting Age) - 969 Years (Methuselah, Life Time) = 3098 BC (200 Years After Flood).</p>																				
<p>7. The consequence is that Lamech would have died 71 years after the flood and Methuselah 200 years after the flood. This is the reason why the Masoretic texts retained the original begetting ages at the bottleneck of Noah's flood, though being manipulated in most parts of the genealogies.</p>																					



Comments	Scripture / References
----------	------------------------

Angel of KYRIOS

Generations of theologians assumed CHRISTOS to be the Angel of THEOS, but no proof was found. The latter can only be found in the Greek Old Testament, which content is nearly identical with the Paleo-Hebrew text, while the Modern Hebrew text deviates from it.

One single Bible verse, Isaiah 9:6, provides us with the identity of the Angel of KYRIOS.

The decisive word 'Messenger / Angel' had been removed and 3 other names had been retroactively added. Those words only appear in the Modern Hebrew text and were not quoted by Early Christians until after 325 AD.

It is highly probable that the 2nd-century Jews (specifically Rabbi Akiva) knew very well that the MESSIAH was the Messenger who appeared many times in the Old Testament, and they therefore deleted the clearest reference and added 3 other titles in order to distract from the manipulation.

This addition of 4 anthropomorphisms in one single verse is also a strong argument against scholars who claim that the Greek OT watered down anthropomorphisms such as a 'rock' for 'THEOS' (Psa 18:31, 46).

When Jacob blessed his grandsons in Egypt, he confirmed that CHRISTOS is the Angel of KYRIOS. There is only one who delivered us from evil - no 'ordinary' angel could deliver us the way CHRISTOS can do.

Mal 3:1 then eliminates any doubts, by stating:

5 For a CHILD is born to us, and a SON is given to us, whose government is upon His shoulder: and His name is called the **MESSENGER OF GREAT COUNSEL**. [ΑΓΓΕΛΟC ΜΕΤΑΛΗC ΒΟΥΛΗC · Aggelos Megales Boules · Messenger of Great Counsel], for I will bring peace upon the princes, and health to Him.

6 His government shall be great, and of His peace there is no end: it shall be upon the throne of David, and upon His kingdom, to establish it, and to support it with judgment and with righteousness, from henceforth and for ever. The zeal of KYRIOS OF HOSTS shall perform this.

6 For a child has been born for us; a son has been given to us. And the dominion will be on his shoulder, and his name is called **Wonderful Counselor, Mighty G-d, Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace.**

7 His dominion will grow continually, and to peace there will be no end on the throne of David and over his kingdom, to establish it and sustain it with justice and righteousness now and forever. The zeal of Y-hw-h of hosts will do this.

And Joseph took his two sons, both Ephraim in his right hand, but on the left of Israel, and Manasse on his left hand, but on the right of Israel, and brought them near to him. **14** But Israel having stretched out his right hand, laid it on the head of Ephraim, and he was the younger; and his left hand on the head of Manasse, guiding his hands crosswise. **15** And he blessed them and said, The THEOS in whose sight my fathers were well pleasing, even Abraam and Isaac, **the THEOS who continues to feed me from my youth until this day. Is the Angel (Ο ΑΓΓΕΛΟC) who delivers me from all evils, bless these boys, and my name shall be called upon them, and the name of my fathers, Abraam and Isaac; and let them be increased to a great multitude on the earth.**

1 Behold, I send forth my messenger [John the Baptist], and he shall survey the way before me: and **KYRIOS, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come into His temple, even the Angel of the Covenant** [ΑΓΓΕΛΟC ΤΗC ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗC · Aggelos Tes Diathekes · Angel / Messenger of the Covenant / Testament], whom ye take pleasure in: behold, He is coming, saith KYRIOS ALMIGHTY. **2** And who will abide the day of His coming? or who will withstand at his appearing?

Isa 9:6
Greek OT

Isa 9:6
Masoretic OT

Gen 48:16

Mal 3:1-2

Cain & Abel

While generations of pastors and theologians have (been) taught that we simply do not know the reason for THEOS having rejected Cain's sacrifice, we always had the precise reason given in the Greek Old Testament. Cain brought a perfect sacrifice, but He did not divide it, either between THEOS and him, or between THEOS, him and others (no priests at that time). In short, he ate the sacrifice while he had the obligation to share it. **It was this sin of sacrilege / greediness that led after THEOS' respective rejection to the first murder in history.**

It is not hard to imagine why this verse had been manipulated. IESOUS Himself called out the greediness of the Pharisees in Luk 11:39, and Gen 4:7 surely was a thorn in their eyes when it came to the correct handling of sacrifices.

It is surprising (or rather a scandal) that this had not been revealed decades or even centuries earlier, considering how many formal students of the Bible read the **Apostolic fathers, where Clement of Rome quotes the precise passage** from the Greek Old Testament (the letter being problematic, but this detail is important).

The obligation to divide the first fruits was codified in -1406 BC in the book of Deuteronomy:

The **Sin of Sacrilege** in the biblical context:

The sin of Ananias and Sapphira is now also much easier to comprehend, when referring back to Cain & Abel. THEOS made such a dramatic (one-time) example precisely because of the historical reference and in first instance for stealing of the sacred = touching His holiness.

And it was so after some time that Cain brought of the **fruits of the earth a sacrifice to KYRIOS**. **4** And Abel also brought of the firstborn of his sheep and of his fatlings, and THEOS looked upon Abel and his gifts, **5** but Cain and his sacrifices he regarded not, and Cain was exceedingly sorrowful and his countenance fell. **6** And KYRIOS THEOS said to Cain, Why art thou become very sorrowful and why is thy countenance fallen?

7 Hast thou not sinned if **thou hast brought it rightly, but not rightly divided** [Strong's G1244 ΔΙΕΛΗC – dieles, to divide, to distribute] it? be still, to thee shall be his submission, and thou shalt rule over him."

7ΟΥΚ, ΕΑΝ ΟΡΘΩC ΠΡΟCΕΝΕΓΚΗC, ΟΡΘΩC ΔΕ ΜΗ ΔΙΕΛΗC, ΗΜΑΡΤΕC? ΗCΥΧΑCΟΝ. ΠΡΟC CΕ Η ΑΠΟCΤΡΟΦΗ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΚΑΙ CΥ ΑΡΞΕΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ.

In the course of time Cain brought **to the Lord an offering of the fruit of the ground**, **4** and Abel also brought of the firstborn of his flock and of their fat portions. And the Lord had regard for Abel and his offering, **5** but for Cain and his offering he had no regard. So Cain was very angry, and his face fell. **6** The Lord said to Cain, "Why are you angry, and why has your face fallen?

7 If you do well, will you not be accepted? And if you do not do well, sin is **crouching at the door**. Its desire is contrary to you, but you must rule over it."

And G-d said to Cain, 'Why are you deeply grieved, and why are you downcast? If you offered rightly but did **not divide rightly**, did you not sin?

1ΓΕΓΡΑΙΤΑΙ ΓΑΡ ΟΥΤΩC:
ΚΑΙ ΕΤΕΝΕΤΟ ΜΕΘ' ΗΜΕΡΑC, ΗΝΕΙΚΕΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ ΚΑΡΠΩΝ ΤΗC ΤΗC ΘΥCΙΑC ΤΩ ΘΕΩ, ΚΑΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΗΝΕΙΚΕΝ ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΩC ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ ΗΡΩΤΟΤΟΚΩΝ ΤΩΝ ΗΡΩΒΑΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ CΤΕΛΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ.
2 ΚΑΙ ΕΒΕΒΑΝ Ο ΘΕΟC ΕΠΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΙC ΑΓΡΟΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΕΠΙ ΔΕ ΚΑΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΑΙC ΘΥCΙΑΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ ΟΥ ΗΡΩCΧΕΝ.
3 ΚΑΙ ΕΛΥΘΗCΕΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΑΙΑΝ ΚΑΙ CΥΝΕΒΕCΕΝ ΤΩ ΗΡΩCΘΗ.
4 ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ Ο ΘΕΟC ΠΡΟC ΚΑΙΝ: ΙΝΑ ΤΙ ΒΕΒΛΑΒΩC ΕΤΕΝΟC, ΚΑΙ ΙΝΑ ΤΙ CΥΝΕΒΕCΕΝ ΤΩ ΗΡΩCΘΗCΙ CΟΥ;
5 ΟΥΚ, ΕΑΝ ΟΡΘΩC ΗΡΩCΕΝΕΓΚΗC, ΟΡΘΩC ΔΕ ΜΗ ΔΙΕΛΗC, ΗΜΑΡΤΕΙ; ΗCΥΧΑCΟΝ: ΠΡΟC CΕ Η ΑΠΟCΤΡΟΦΗ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΚΑΙ CΥ ΑΡΞΕΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ.
6 ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΗΡΩC ΑΒΕΛ ΤΩΝ ΑΔΕΛΦΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ: ΔΙΕΛΩΘΜΕΝ ΕΙC ΤΩ ΒΕΒΛΩC. ΚΑΙ ΕΤΕΝΕΤΟ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΑΥΤΟΥC ΕΝ ΤΩ ΒΕΒΛΩ, ΑΝΕCΤΗ ΚΑΙΝ ΕΠΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΤΩΝ ΑΔΕΛΦΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΗΡΕΚΤΙΝΕΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ.
7ΟΡΑΤΕ, ΑΔΕΛΦΟΙ, ΖΗΛΟC ΚΑΙ ΦΘΟΝΟC ΑΔΕΛΦΟΚΤΟΝΙΑΝ ΚΑΤΕΙΡΓΑCΑΤΟ.

3 ΚΑΙ ΕΤΕΝΕΤΟ ΜΕΘ' ΗΜΕΡΑC ΗΝΕΙΚΕΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ ΚΑΡΠΩΝ ΤΗC ΤΗC ΘΥCΙΑC ΤΩ ΚΥΡΙΩ, **4** ΚΑΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΗΝΕΙΚΕΝ ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΩC ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ ΗΡΩΤΟΤΟΚΩΝ ΤΩΝ ΗΡΩΒΑΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΒΟ ΤΩΝ CΤΕΛΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ.
ΚΑΙ ΕΒΕΒΑΝ Ο ΘΕΟC ΕΠΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΙC ΑΓΡΟΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ,
5 ΕΠΙ ΔΕ ΚΑΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΑΙC ΘΥCΙΑΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ ΟΥ ΗΡΩCΧΕΝ.
ΚΑΙ ΕΛΥΘΗCΕ ΤΟΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΑΙΑΝ, ΚΑΙ CΥΝΕΒΕCΕΝ ΤΩ ΗΡΩCΘΗ.
6 ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΚΥΡΙΟC Ο ΘΕΟC ΤΩ ΚΑΙΝ ΙΝΑ ΤΙ ΒΕΒΛΑΒΩC ΕΤΕΝΟC, ΚΑΙ ΙΝΑ ΤΙ CΥΝΕΒΕCΕΝ ΤΩ ΗΡΩCΘΗCΙ CΟΥ?
7 ΟΥΚ, ΕΑΝ ΟΡΘΩC ΗΡΩCΕΝΕΓΚΗC, ΟΡΘΩC ΔΕ ΜΗ ΔΙΕΛΗC, ΗΜΑΡΤΕΙ? ΗCΥΧΑCΟΝ. ΠΡΟC CΕ Η ΑΠΟCΤΡΟΦΗ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΚΑΙ CΥ ΑΡΞΕΙC ΑΥΤΟΥ.
8 ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΚΑΙΝ ΗΡΩC ΑΒΕΛ ΤΩΝ ΑΔΕΛΦΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΔΙΕΛΩΘΜΕΝ ΕΙC ΤΩ ΒΕΒΛΩC. ΚΑΙ ΕΤΕΝΕΤΟ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΑΥΤΟΥC ΕΝ ΤΩ ΒΕΒΛΩ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΕCΤΗ ΚΑΙΝ ΕΠΙ ΑΒΕΛ ΤΩΝ ΑΔΕΛΦΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΗΡΕΚΤΙΝΕΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ
9 ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ Ο ΘΕΟC ΠΡΟC ΚΑΙΝ ΠΟΥ ΕCΤΙΝ ΑΒΕΛ Ο ΑΔΕΛΦΟC CΟΥ?

Gen 4:3-7
Greek OT

Gen 4:3-7
Masoretic OT

1st Letter of Clement Chapter 4, Verse 4

1st Letter of Clement – Greek Original

Comparison With Gen 4:3-7 Greek OT

Deu 14:22-29

Act 5:1-5

Sons of THEOS

Who has not heard of the foolish controversies regarding the interpretation of the 'Sons of THEOS'?

If we would have followed in the past centuries the Greek Old Testament, we could have avoided those controversies almost entirely.

Hebrews 1:6 is a quotation of Deuteronomy 32:43, where the word 'sons' is exchanged for 'angels'. This clearly proves the identity of the 'sons'.

... **let all the sons of THEOS worship Him;**
ΚΑΙ ΗΡΩCΚΥΝΗCΑΤΩCΑΝ ΑΥΤΩ ΗΑΝΤΕC ΥΙΟΙ ΘΕΟΥ.
ΚΑΙ ΗΡΩCΚΥΝΗCΑΤΩCΑΝ ΑΥΤΩ ΗΑΝΤΕC ΑΓΓΕΛΟΙ ΘΕΟΥ.
"Let all angels of THEOS worship him."

▶ See also the separate study 'Divine, Spiritual & Human Beings'

Deu 32:43

Heb 1:6



Comments	Scripture / References	
<p>Greek Old Testament Quotations</p> <p>The Greek Old Testament is / was the Bible of IESOUS CHRISTOS and His Apostles, of the Early Christians and of the Greek-Speaking Jews.</p> <p>CHRISTOS and the NT writers not only read, but regularly quoted from the Greek OT.</p> <p>It would already be remarkable if the HOLY SPIRIT would have caused just one verse of the Greek Old Testament to be quoted in the Greek New Testament. But it is much more, the -majority- of NT quotations are taken from the GOT. This does not mean that there is not also a great familiarity with the Masoretic text when translated properly into NT Greek, but it means that the familiarity with the GOT is substantially higher, both overall and in the substantive agreement within the quoted verses.</p> <p>The GOT was also the standard issue Bible in synagogues where Greek was spoken. It was the Scripture for both Christians and Jews for several centuries, long before and after the time of IESOUS CHRISTOS.</p> <p>► See also the Addendum, with a more comprehensive list of Old Testament quotations.</p>	<p><i>IESOUS CHRISTOS</i></p> <p>... Ο ΛΑΟΣ ΟΥΤΟΣ ΤΟΙΣ ΧΕΙΛΕΣΙΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΠΙΜΩΣΙΝ ΜΕ, Η ΔΕ ΚΑΡΔΙΑ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΠΟΡΡΩ ΑΠΕΧΕΙ ΑΠ' ΕΜΟΥ, ΜΑΤΗΝ ΔΕ ΣΕΒΟΝΤΑΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΟΝΤΕΣ ΕΝΤΑΛΜΑΤΑ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΑΛΙΑΣ.</p> <p>Ο ΛΑΟΣ ΟΥΤΟΣ ΤΟΙΣ ΧΕΙΛΕΣΙΝ ΜΕ ΤΙΜΑ, Η ΔΕ ΚΑΡΔΙΑ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΠΟΡΡΩ ΑΠΕΧΕΙ ΑΠ' ΕΜΟΥ. 9ΜΑΤΗΝ ΔΕ ΣΕΒΟΝΤΑΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΟΝΤΕΣ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΑΛΙΑΣ ΕΝΤΑΛΜΑΤΑ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ.</p> <p>ΟΥΤΟΣ Ο ΛΑΟΣ ΤΟΙΣ ΧΕΙΛΕΣΙΝ ΜΕ ΤΙΜΑ, Η ΔΕ ΚΑΡΔΙΑ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΠΟΡΡΩ ΑΠΕΧΕΙ ΑΠ' ΕΜΟΥ. 7ΜΑΤΗΝ ΔΕ ΣΕΒΟΝΤΑΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΟΝΤΕΣ ΔΙΔΑΚΚΑΛΙΑΣ ΕΝΤΑΛΜΑΤΑ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ.</p>	<p><i>Isa 29:13</i></p> <p><i>Mat 15:8</i></p> <p><i>Mar 7:6-7</i></p>
	<p><i>Paul</i></p> <p>ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΙ ΟΙΝ ΑΒΕΘΗΚΑΝ ΑΙ ΑΝΟΜΙΑΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΙΝ ΕΠΕΚΑΛΥΨΘΗΚΑΝ ΑΙ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΑΙ. 2ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΙΣ ΑΝΗΡ ΟΥ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΔΟΥΧΗΤΑΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΑΝ,</p> <p>7ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΙ ΟΙΝ ΑΒΕΘΗΚΑΝ ΑΙ ΑΝΟΜΙΑΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΙΝ ΕΠΕΚΑΛΥΨΘΗΚΑΝ ΑΙ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΑΙ. 8ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΙΣ ΑΝΗΡ ΟΥ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΔΟΥΧΗΤΑΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΑΝ.</p>	<p><i>Psa 31:1-2</i></p> <p><i>Rom 4:7-8</i></p>
	<p><i>Jacobus (James) and Peter</i></p> <p>ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΥΠΕΡΦΑΝΟΙΣ ΑΝΤΙΤΑΧΕΤΑΙ, ΤΑΠΕΙΝΟΙΣ ΔΕ ΔΙΔΩΣΙΝ ΧΑΡΙΝ</p> <p>Ο ΘΕΟΣ ΥΠΕΡΦΑΝΟΙΣ ΑΝΤΙΤΑΧΕΤΑΙ, ΤΑΠΕΙΝΟΙΣ ΔΕ ΔΙΔΩΣΙΝ ΧΑΡΙΝ.</p> <p>Ο ΘΕΟΣ ΥΠΕΡΦΑΝΟΙΣ ΑΝΤΙΤΑΧΕΤΑΙ, ΤΑΠΕΙΝΟΙΣ ΔΕ ΔΙΔΩΣΙΝ ΧΑΡΙΝ.</p>	<p><i>Pro 3:34</i></p> <p><i>Jam 4:6</i></p> <p><i>1Pet 5:5</i></p>
	<p><i>The Ethiopian Eunuch (The Hebrew Masoretic Differs Significantly)</i></p> <p>... ἮΚ' ἵπρωτων ἐπιβωγῶν ἠχῶν καὶ οὐκ ἀμνοὺς ἐναντῶν τοῦ κειραντοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀμνοῦς οὐτρε οὐκ ἀνοβεί τοῦ στόμα αὐτοῦ. 8ἐν τῇ ταπεινώσει ἡ κριεὶ αὐτοῦ ἵπρωτῆ τῆν γένεαν αὐτοῦ τρε ἀβηθεταί? οὐτὲ ἀρεταὶ αὐτοῦ τρε ἡ ζῶνι αὐτοῦ ...</p>	<p><i>Isa 53:7-8</i></p>
	<p><i>The Longest Coinciding Passage</i></p> <p>... Ἔστιν κυνῶν ἡ ἐκζητῶν τὸν θεόν. 3παντες ἐεεκλῖναν, ἀμὰ ἠχρεώθησαν, οὐκ ἔστιν ποιὼν χριστοτήτα, οὐκ ἔστιν ἐως ἐνοῦς. 12ταφος ἀνεψῆγμένος ὁ λαρυτῆ αὐτῶν, ταῖς γλώσσαῖς αὐτῶν ἐδολοῖυσαν. 13Ἰὸς ἀσιπιδῶν ὑπὸ τὰ χεῖρῃ αὐτῶν, ὦν τὸ στόμα ἀρὰς καὶ πικρίας γέμει. 14οὗς οἱ ποδες αὐτῶν ἐκχεαὶ αἶμα. 15κύντριμμα καὶ τάλαιπῶρια ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς αὐτῶν, καὶ ὁδὸν εἰρηνηὸς οὐκ ἐγνώσαν. 16οὐκ ἔστιν φόβος θεοῦ ἀπεναντι τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν.</p>	<p><i>Act 8:32-33</i></p>
	<p>... Ἔστιν ὁ κυνῶν, οὐκ ἔστιν ὁ ἐκζητῶν τὸν θεόν. 12παντες ἐεεκλῖναν ἀμὰ ἠχρεώθησαν. οὐκ ἔστιν ὁ ποιὼν χριστοτήτα, οὐκ ἔστιν ἐως ἐνοῦς. 13ταφος ἀνεψῆγμένος ὁ λαρυτῆ αὐτῶν, ταῖς γλώσσαῖς αὐτῶν ἐδολοῖυσαν. 14Ἰὸς ἀσιπιδῶν ὑπὸ τὰ χεῖρῃ αὐτῶν. 15οὗς οἱ ποδες αὐτῶν ἐκχεαὶ αἶμα, 16κύντριμμα καὶ τάλαιπῶρια ἐν ταῖς ὁδοῖς αὐτῶν, 17καὶ ὁδὸν εἰρηνηὸς οὐκ ἐγνώσαν. 18οὐκ ἔστιν φόβος θεοῦ ἀπεναντι τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν αὐτῶν.</p>	<p><i>Rom 3:11-18</i></p>

Books Named After Greek OT	Old Testament books are in last instance named after the Greek Old Testament, with many words of unique Greek origin.	English Title	Greek Titles Greek Origin	Transliteration	Dictionary
<p>This becomes especially clear through the name of 'Deuteronomy', which comes from the Greek 'deutero' and 'nomos', meaning literally 'second law'.</p> <p>Another important title is 'Ecclesiastes', which comes from the Greek 'Ekklesia', and of course 'Psalms' which comes from the Greek 'Psalmoi'.</p> <p>► see also 'A Handbook to the Septuagint' by Richard R. Ottley</p>	GENESIS	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ	genesis	G1078 origin, birth	
	EXODUS	ἘΞΟΔΟΣ	exodos	G1841 departure	
	LEVITICUS	ΛΕΥΙΤΙΚΟΝ	leuitikon	G3020 belonging to the tribe of Levi; Levitical.	
	NUMBERS	ΑΡΙΘΜΟΙ	arithmoi	G706 number	
	DEUTERONOMY	ΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΝΟΜΙΟΝ	deuteronomion	G1208 second G3551 usage, custom, law	
	JOSHUA	ἸΗΣΟΥΣ	iesous	G2424 Jesus or Joshua	
	JUDGES	ΚΡΙΤΕΣ	krites	G2923 judge, magistrate, ruler	
	RUTH	ΡΟΥΘ	routh	G4503 Ruth (Hebrew origin)	
	SAMUEL	ΒΑΣΙΛΕΙΩΝ	basileion	G935 king	
	KINGS	ΒΑΣΙΛΕΙΩΝ	basileion	G935 king	
	CHRONICLES	ΠΑΡΑΛΕΙΠΟΜΕΝΩΝ	paraleipomenon	G3844, G3007 things cast aside, omitted, forgotten	
	EZRA	ΕΣΔΡΑΣ	esdras	-	
	NEHEMIAH	ΝΕΕΜΙΑΣ	neemias	-	
	ESTHER	ΕΣΘΗΡ	esther	-	
	JOB	ΙΩΒ	iob	G2492 Job (Hebrew org.)	
	PSALMS	ΨΑΛΜΟΙ	psalmoi	G5568 psalm	
	PROVERBS	ΠΑΡΟΙΜΙΑΙ	paroimiai	G3942 byword, a parable, an allegory	
	ECCLESIASTES	ΕΚΚΛΗΣΙΑΣΤΗΣ	ekklestastes	G1577 assembly, congregation	
	SONG OF SONGS	ΑΣΜΑ ΑΣΜΑΤΩΝ	asma asmaton	-	
	ISAIAH	ΗΣΑΙΑΣ	esaias	G2268 Esaias (Hebrew org.)	
JEREMIAH	ΙΕΡΕΜΙΑΣ	ieremias	-		
LAMENTATIONS	ΘΡΗΝΟΙ	threnoi	G2355 lamentation		
EZEKIEL	ΙΕΖΕΚΙΗΛ	iezekiel	-		
DANIEL	ΔΑΝΙΗΛ	daniel	G1158 Daniel (Hebrew org.)		
HOSEA	ΩΣΕΕ	hosee	-		
JOEL	ΙΩΗΛ	ioel	-		
AMOS	ΑΜΩΣ	amos	-		
OBADIAH	ΑΒΔΙΟΥ	abdious	-		
JONAH	ΙΩΝΑΣ	ionas	-		
MICAH	ΜΙΧΑΙΑΣ	michaias	-		
NAHUM	ΝΑΟΥΜ	naoum	G3486. Naoum (Hebrew org.)		
HABAKKUK	ΑΜΒΑΚΟΥΜ	ambakoum	-		
ZEPHANIAH	ΣΟΦΟΝΙΑΣ	sophonias	-		
HAGGAI	ΑΓΓΑΙΟΣ	angaios	-		
ZACHARIAH	ΖΑΧΑΡΙΑΣ	zacharias	G2197 Zacharias (Hebrew o.)		
MALACHI	ΜΑΛΑΧΙΑΣ	malachias	-		

How The Greek OT Influenced The Greek NT	The Greek Old Testament coined many terms we commonly assume to have originated with the Greek New Testament!		
<p>67x Greek OT</p> <p>10x Greek NT</p> <p>15x Greek OT</p> <p>116x Greek NT</p> <p>240x Greek OT</p> <p>176x Greek NT</p> <p>76x Greek OT</p> <p>156x Greek NT</p> <p>77x Greek OT</p> <p>114x Greek NT</p> <p>13x Greek OT</p> <p>5x Greek NT</p> <p>487x Greek OT</p> <p>273x Greek NT</p> <p>152x Greek OT</p>	<p>ΑΙΔΗΣ, haidēs (G86, Hades, the abode of departed spirits)</p> <p>ΑΓΑΠΗ, agape (G26, love, benevolence, good will, esteem; plur: love-feasts)</p> <p>ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ, aggelos (G32, an angel, messenger)</p> <p>ΧΑΡΙΣ, charis (G5485, grace, favor, kindness)</p> <p>ΕΚΚΛΗΣΙΑ, ekklesia (G1577, an assembly, congregation, church; the Church, the whole body of Christian believers)</p> <p>ΕΠΙΣΚΟΠΟΣ, episkopos (G1985, a superintendent, i.e. Christian officer in genitive case charge of a (or the) church (literally or figuratively): - bishop, overseer)</p> <p>ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ, ouranos (G4245, heaven, (a) the visible heavens: the atmosphere, the sky, the starry heavens, (b) the spiritual heavens.)</p> <p>ΠΡΕΣΒΥΤΕΡΟΣ, presbuteros (G4245, elder, a member of the Sanhedrin, an elder of a Christian assembly)</p>	<p><i>Biblearc.com (Platform not endorsed due to its Calvinism; but very useful for comparing the GOT and GNT.)</i></p> <p><i>Biblearc.com</i></p>	



Comments	Scripture / References			
<p>Age of Humanity</p> <p>Genealogies – leading to the Age of Humanity.</p> <p>The following sources affirm the Greek OT in regards of the age of humanity – approx. 5500 BC.</p> <p>► See also the study 'Genealogies', where I precise this date with the year 5508 BC (Biblical 360-Day Calendar) / 5554 BC (Gregorian Calendar).</p> <p>Most notably, the Byzantine calendar, also called the Roman calendar and used by the Eastern Orthodox Church at least until the 18c. AD, placed the date of creation at 5509 years (Julian Calendar) before the incarnation of IESOUS CHRISTOS.</p>	Demetrius the Chronographer (225 BC)	—	He computed the date of the flood and the birth of Abraham exactly as in the Greek Old Testament.	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Eupolemus (158 BC)	5307	He wrote a chronological summary indicating 5149 years from Adam to the 5th year of Demetrius (= 5307 years).	Wikipedia
	Flavius Josephus (1c. AD)	5467	"Those Antiquities contain the history of five thousand years , and are taken out of our sacred books, but are translated by me into the Greek tongue."	Wikisource 'Josephus, Against Apion 1.1'
	Hippolytus of Rome (2c. AD)	5502	"...from Adam to the flood 2242 years, thence to Abraham 1141 years, thence to the Exodus 430 years, thence to the passover of Joshua 41 years, thence to the passover of Hezekiah 864 years, thence to the passover of Josiah 114 years, thence to the passover of Ezra 107 years, and thence to the birth of CHRISTOS 563 years." [total of 5502 years]	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Theophilus of Antioch (2c. AD)	5559	"Until Abraham, therefore, there are 3278 years [...] they spent 40 years in the wilderness, as it is called. All these years, therefore, amount to 3938 [...] Until the sojourning in the land of Babylon, there are therefore, in all, 4954 years 6 months and 10 days." [-605 BC + 4954 years = 5559 BC]	To Autolyucus Ad Autolycum
	Clement of Alexandria (198 AD)	5627	"From Adam to the Flood comprises 2148 years 4 days; from Shem to Abraham, 1250 years; from Isaac to the grant of the promised inheritance, 616 years. Then from Judges to Samuel, 463 years seven months. After the Judges 572 years 6 months 10 days of monarchy. After this period, 235 years of Persian monarchy, and then 312 years 18 days of Macedonian monarchy up to the removal of Antony. After that period, the Roman empire to the death of Commodus, 222 years." [31/DEC/0192]. [= 5627 BC]	Stromateis, Book 1, Ch. 21, Page 127
	Julius Africanus (221 AD)	5500	"... and from their remaining Hebrew histories, they [the Jews] have handed down a period of 5500 years up to the advent of the Word of salvation [CHRISTOS]"	Bible.ca
	John Chrysostom (4c. AD)	4967	"CHRISTOS opened for us today Paradise, which had remained closed for some 5000 years ."	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Augustine of Hippo (5c. AD; strong discernment required)	approx. 5580	"Let us omit the conjectures of men who know not what they say when they speak of the nature and origin of the human race ... They are deceived by those highly mendacious documents which profess to give the history of many thousands of years, though reckoning by the sacred writings we find that not 6,000 years have passed [written in 413-426 AD, minus 6000 = -5580]."	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Alexandrian World Chronicle (-5-6c. AD)	5462	"Altogether this makes from Adam to the death of Cleopatra 5,432 years." [30 BC + 5432 = 5462 BC]	Page 225
	Isaac the Syrian (7c. AD)	5500	"... before CHRISTOS for five thousand years five hundred and some years G-d left Adam to labor on the earth."	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Quinisext Council (691 AD)	5500	"... as of the fifteenth day of the month of January last past, in the last fourth Indiction, in the year six thousand one hundred and ninety" [= 5500 BC]	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
	Doukas (-1460 AD)	5500	"The first, which was from G-d, was that of Adam. The second, after 230 years, was that of Seth begotten of Adam. The third, 205 years after Seth, was that of Enos begotten of Seth. The fourth, 190 years after Enos, was that of Kainan begotten of Enos. The fifth, 170 years after Kainan , was that of Mahaleel begotten of Kainan. The sixth, 165 years after Mahaleel, was that of Jared begotten of Mahaleel. The seventh, 162 years after Jared, was that of Enoch begotten of Jared. The eighth, 165 years after Enoch, was that of Methuselah begotten of Enoch. The ninth, 167 years after Methuselah, was that of Lamech begotten of Methuselah. The tenth, 188 years after Lamech, was that of Noah. Noah was 600 years old when the flood of water came upon the earth. Thus 2242 years may be counted from Adam to the flood. There are also ten generations from the flood to Abraham numbering 1121 years. [...] From the Babylonian Captivity to CHRIST there are fourteen generations totaling 504 years." [total of -5500 years]	Wikipedia 'Byzantine Calendar'
average ø 5455 years				

<p>The 'Last Days' / This Age / This Generation = The New Covenant.</p> <p>The Bible states that the First - Century Christians were already living in the 'last days' (time between IESOUS' first -, and second coming). If creation would have occurred only in -4000 BC, then those 'last days' would only relate to approx. 1/3 of the time humanity exists (-4000 Old Days vs. 2000 Last Days), which can hardly serve as a reference for the 'last days'.</p> <p>When we take the correct creation date of approx. 5500 BC, then those 'last days' (up to date) only account for 1/4 of the time of humanity, which appears much more feasible as reference for the 'last days' (-5500 Old Days versus 2000 Last Days).</p>	<p>... go and make disciples of all the nations, baptizing them in the name of the FATHER and of the SON and of the HOLY SPIRIT; teaching them to observe everything I have commanded you, and behold, I am with you [through the HOLY SPIRIT] all the days until the end of the [present] age."</p> <p>'And it will be in the last days [already implying Pentecost],' THEOS says, 'I will pour out my SPIRIT on all flesh, and your sons and your daughters will prophesy, and your young men will see visions, and your old men will dream dreams. Over-above all principality, authority, and power, and lordship, and every name that is named, not only in this age [New Covenant], but also in the coming one [eternity] ...</p> <p>But know this, that in the last days [-current time] difficult times will come, for people will be lovers of themselves, lovers of money, boasters, arrogant, slanderers, disobedient to parents, ungrateful, unholy, hardhearted, irreconcilable, slanderous, without self-control ...</p> <p>... in these last days [reference to the First Coming of IESOUS CHRISTOS] He has spoken to us by a SON, whom He appointed heir of all things ...</p> <p>... who [CHRIST] was foreknown before the foundation of the world, but has been revealed in these last times [Age 2; He did not state to be THEOS until His trial] ...</p>	<p>Mat 28:20</p> <p>Act 2:17</p> <p>Eph 1:21</p> <p>2Tim 3:1</p> <p>Heb 1:2</p> <p>1Pet 1:20</p>
---	---	--

<p>Insufficient Time Spans</p> <p>Insufficient time span from a flood in -2350-2460 BC until Abram's birth in 2166 BC.</p> <p>The Tower of Babel required already many people, and the Bible gives us the strong impression that people had since long been divided after their tongues, lands and nations, when Abram came.</p> <p>Less than 300 years for the creation of nations with their kings and princes are rather not feasible.</p>	<p>From these the coastland peoples spread in their lands, each with his own language, by their clans, in their nations. [...] These are the sons of Ham, by their clans, their languages, their lands, and their nations. [...] These are the sons of Shem, by their clans, their languages, their lands, and their nations.</p> <p>On that day KYRIOS made a covenant with Abram, saying, "To your offspring I give this land, from the river of Egypt to the great river, the river Euphrates, the land of the Kenites, the Kenizzites, the Kadmonites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Rephaim, the Amorites, the Canaanites, the Girgashites and the Jebusites."</p> <p>In the fourteenth year Chedorlaomer and the kings who were with him came and defeated the Rephaim in Ashteroth-karnaim, the Zuzim in Ham, the Emim in Shaveh-kiriathaim, and the Horites in their hill country of Seir as far as El-paran on the border of the wilderness. Then they turned back and came to En-mishpat (that is, Kadesh) and defeated all the country of the Amalekites, and also the Amorites who were dwelling in Hazazon-tamar.</p>	<p>Gen 10:5-31</p> <p>Gen 15:18-21</p> <p>Gen 14:1-16</p>
--	---	---

<p>Nimrod -the mighty hunter-built several cities.</p> <p>There would not have been nearly enough people for Nimrod to build several cities, if Nimrod would have been born 37 years after the flood (or some years after Cainan, his relative of the same generation), instead of at least 117 years after the flood, when the descendents of Noah would have multiplied significantly (up to 9 generations including Noah and his children).</p> <p>Only the Greek OT dates lead to a reasonable manpower for building, and a reasonable clan size for filling the (initially small) cities.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;"></th> <th style="width: 10%;">Noah</th> <th style="width: 10%;"><</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Ham</th> <th style="width: 10%;"> </th> <th style="width: 15%;">Cush</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Nimrod</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Shem</td> <td> </td> <td>Arphaxad</td> <td>Cainan</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #f8766d;">Masoretic:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Flood</td> <td></td> <td>+2 years</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #f8766d;">Greek OT without Cainan:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Flood</td> <td></td> <td>+2 years</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #f8766d;">Greek OT including Cainan:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Flood</td> <td></td> <td>+2 years</td> <td>+135</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+35 = 37 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+130 = 132 years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+130 = 137 years</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>These are the generations of the sons of Noah – Shem, Ham, and Japheth. Children were born to them after the flood.</p> <p>Line of Shem</p> <p>These are the generations of Shem. When Shem was one hundred years old, he fathered Arphaxad, two years after the flood.</p> <p>And Arphaxad lived a hundred and thirty-five years, and begot Cainan.</p> <p>When Arphaxad had lived thirty-five years, he fathered Shelah.</p> <p>And Cainan lived 1 hundred and thirty years and procreated Salah ...</p> <p>Line of Ham</p> <p>And the sons of Ham: Cush, Egypt, Put, and Canaan.</p> <p>And Cush fathered Nimrod. He was the first on earth to be a mighty warrior. He was a mighty hunter before KYRIOS. Therefore it was said, "Like Nimrod a mighty hunter before KYRIOS." Now, the beginning of his kingdom was Babel, Erech, Akkad, and Calneh, in the land of Shinar. From that land he went out to Assyria, and he built Nineveh, Rehoboth-Ir, Calah, Resen between Nineveh and Calah; that is the great city.</p>		Noah	<	Ham		Cush	Nimrod				Shem		Arphaxad	Cainan	Masoretic:			Flood		+2 years	-	Greek OT without Cainan:			Flood		+2 years	-	Greek OT including Cainan:			Flood		+2 years	+135							+35 = 37 years							+130 = 132 years							+130 = 137 years	<p>Gen 10:1</p> <p>Gen 11:10</p> <p>Gen 11:11 Greek OT</p> <p>Gen 11:12 Masoretic OT</p> <p>Gen 11:13 Greek OT</p> <p>Gen 10:6</p> <p>Gen 10:8-12</p>
	Noah	<	Ham		Cush	Nimrod																																																				
			Shem		Arphaxad	Cainan																																																				
Masoretic:			Flood		+2 years	-																																																				
Greek OT without Cainan:			Flood		+2 years	-																																																				
Greek OT including Cainan:			Flood		+2 years	+135																																																				
						+35 = 37 years																																																				
						+130 = 132 years																																																				
						+130 = 137 years																																																				

<p>Pyramids of Egypt – Overlap with Flood.</p>	<p>I generally do not focus on extra biblical events and leave their interpretation to experts in this field, while I focus on my 'expertise' – the study of the Word itself. But it is surely worth to mention that the pyramids (e.g. Pyramid of Djoser) are generally accepted to have been built -2620 -2550 BC.</p> <p>while the (Proto-) Masoretic texts clearly date the flood (-2350-2460 BC) after this date, the Greek OT text shows a perfect harmony (Flood in -3300 BC) and sufficient time for the growth of the population, and therefore manpower for the construction of the tower of Babel and the pyramids.</p>	<p>Wikipedia</p> <p>► see the study 'Timeline'</p>
---	---	--



Comments Scripture / References

Joshua 12

Joshua 12 suffered significant manipulations, which are found in the Masoretic text including the Complutensian Polyglot (initiated and financed by Francisco Jiménez de Cisneros, a Franciscan friar, Cardinal of the RCC, and 'Grand Inquisitor' which was the highest-ranked official of the Spanish Inquisition) and the Aldine Bible (Masoretic text translated back into Greek). We find in the Masoretic text precisely 2 additions to the list of kings (31 instead of the original 29 kings eliminated by Joshua), and a total of 7 modifications. The nature and motive of those manipulations is unclear, but it is apparent that most manipulations occurred with respect to northern kingdoms.

Greek Old Testament (Codex Vaticanus)	Greek Old Testament (Codex Sinaiticus)	Greek Old Testament (Codex Alexandrinus)	Masoretic Text (as found in the ABP which uses a Masoretic (Aldine) text translated back into Greek)
<i>Kings from the Southern Alliance (Joshua 12:9-18)</i>			
1 ΤΟΝ ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΙΕΡΙΧΩ ΚΑΙ 2 ΤΟΝ ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΤΗΣ ΓΑΙ, ... 3 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΙΕΡΟΥCΑΛΗΜ, 4 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΧΕΒΡΩΝ, 5 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΙΕΡΙΜΟΥΘ, 6 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΛΑΧΙC, 7 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΑΙΛΑΜ 8 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΓΑΖΕΡ, 9 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΔΑΒΙΡ, 10 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΓΑΔΕΡ, 11 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΕΡΜΑΘ, 12 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΑΡΑΘ, 13 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΛΕΒΝΑ, 14 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΟΔΟΛΛΑΜ, 15 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΜΑΚΚΕΔΑ,	Jericho (King of) Gai Jerusalem Chebron Jerimuth Lachis Ailam Gazer Dabir Gader Hermath Arad Lebna Odollam Makkedah	ΙΕΡΙΧΩ ΓΑΙ ΙΕΡΟΥCΑΛΗΜ ΧΕΒΡΩΝ ΙΕΡΙΜΟΥΘ ΛΑΧΙC ΑΙΛΑΜ ΓΑΖΕΡ ΔΑΒΙΡ ΓΑΔΕΡ ΕΡΜΑΘ ΑΡΑΘ ΛΕΒΝΑ ΟΔΟΛΛΑΜ ΜΑΚΚΕΔΑ	Jericho Gai Jerusalem Chebron Jerimuth Lachis Ailam Gazer Dabir Gader Hermath Arad Lebna Odollam Makkedah
add 16 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΤΑΦΟΥΤ, 17 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΟΦΕΡ, 18 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΑΦΕΚ ΤΗΣ CΑΡΩΝ,	Taphut Opher Ophec of Aroc	manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented ΟΦΕΚ Οφhec	ΤΑΦΟΥΤ ΟΦΕΡ ΑΦΕΚ ΤΗΣ CΑΡΩΝ Taphut Opher Aphek
<i>Kings from the Northern Alliance (Joshua 12:19-24)</i>			
19 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΑCΩΡ, 20 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ CΥΜΟΩΝ, 21 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΜΑΜΒΡΩΝ, 22 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΑΖΙΦ, 23 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΚΑΔΕC, 24 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΤΑΝΑΧ, 25 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΜΑΓΕΔΩΝ,	Asom Symoön Mambroth Aziph Cades Taanach Megiddo	ΑCΩΡ CΥΜΟΩΝ manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented	Asom Samron Mambroth Achshaph Taanach Megiddo Kedesh Jokneam Dor Goim Thersa
add 26 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΙΕΚΟΝΑΜ ΤΟΥ ΧΕΡΜΕΑ, 27 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΔΩΡ ΤΟΥ ΝΑΦΕΔΔΩΡ, 28 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΓΟΙΜ ΤΗΣ ΓΑΙΛΑΙΑC, 29 ΒΑCΙΛΕΑ ΘΑΡCΑ.	Jokneam of Carmel Dor of Nephedor Goim Thersa	manuscript is fragmented manuscript is fragmented ΓΟΙΜ ΤΗΣ ΓΑΙΛΑΙΑC ΘΕΡCΑ	ΙΕΚΟΝΑΜ ΑΔΔΩΡ ΓΟΙΜ ΘΕΡCΑ Jokneam Dor Goim Thersa
Total number specifically provided in Joshua 12:24:	29	29	29
			31
Rahfs	Source		Masoretic Text
Swete's Septuagint	Source		Apostolic Bible Polyglot (ABP)
New English Translation (NETS)	Source		Source
Brenton (but added Elath without changing the total count)	Source		
Complete Apostle's Bible (thus added Elath)	Source		
Lambert Bos (added Elath)			

Prophecies

Hundreds of Scripture differences can be found between the Masoretic and Greek OT texts, mainly intended to water down the prophecies about IESOUS CHRISTOS or to diminish the significance of His wonderful works.

In Deuteronomy 32:43, CHRISTOS is the object of angelic worship. Not so in the Masoretic text.	<p>"Sing out, you nations, about His people! ----- [entire sentence with "angels" cut out] ----- For He will avenge the blood of His servants. He will render vengeance to His adversaries and make atonement for the land of His people."</p> <p>Rejoice, ye Heavens, with Him, and let all the angels of THEOS worship Him; rejoice ye Nations, with His people, and let all the sons of THEOS strengthen themselves in Him: for He will avenge the blood of His sons, and He will render vengeance, and re-compense justice to His enemies, and will reward them that hate Him ...</p> <p>And again, when He brings the firstborn into the world, He says, "And let all the angels of THEOS worship Him."</p>	Deu 32:43 Masoretic OT
The word ΧΡΙCΤΟΥ (CHRISTOS) appears 41x in the Old Testament. While it can sometimes be translated with 'anointed' (e.g. an anointed priest or anointing oil), it must in some instances remain as 'CHRISTOS', as for example Brenton correctly differentiated it in his translation. In 1Samuel 2:10 we find such a case; see also Psalm 2:2 and Amos 4:13 below; and also Psalm 19:6 and Daniel 9:25.	<p>YHWH - His adversaries are broken down, He thunders against them in the heavens: YHWH judges the ends of the earth, And gives strength to His king, And exalts the horn of His anointed."</p> <p>... The Lord has gone up to the heavens, and has thundered: he will judge the extremities of the earth, and he gives strength to our kings, and will exalt the horn of his Christ.</p>	1Sam 2:10 Masoretic OT
Psalm 2:2 could in itself be translated with 'Anointed', but the verses 1-2 are quoted in Acts 4:26, where not only 'ΧΡΙCΤΟΥ' (CHRISTOS) is repeated, but is further described as "Your holy child IESOUS". It is therefore a mistake to translate the word in Psalm 2:2 with 'Anointed', instead of relying on the plain reading 'ΧΡΙCΤΟΥ' (CHRISTOS).	<p>Why do the nations rage and the peoples plot in vain? The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the Lord and against his Anointed ...</p> <p>Wherefore did the heathen rage, and the nations imagine vain things? The kings of the earth stood up, and the rulers gathered themselves together, against KYRIOS, and against his CHRISTOS.</p> <p>ΙΝΑ ΤΙ ΕΦΡΥΑΞΑΝ ΕΘΝΗ ΚΑΙ ΛΑΟΙ ΕΜΕΛΕΘΗCΑΝ ΚΕΝΑ? 2 ΠΑΡΕCΤΗCΑΝ ΟΙ ΒΑCΙΛΕΙC ΤΗΣ ΓΗΣ, ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΑΡΧΟΝΤΕC CΥΝΗΧΘΗCΑΝ ΕΠΙ ΤΟ ΑΥΤΟ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΧΡΙCΤΟΥ.</p> <p>ΙΝΑΤΙ ΕΦΡΥΑΞΑΝ ΕΘΝΗ ΚΑΙ ΛΑΟΙ ΕΜΕΛΕΘΗCΑΝ ΚΕΝΑ? 26 ΠΑΡΕCΤΗCΑΝ ΟΙ ΒΑCΙΛΕΙC ΤΗΣ ΓΗΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΑΡΧΟΝΤΕC CΥΝΗΧΘΗCΑΝ ΕΠΙ ΤΟ ΑΥΤΟ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΧΡΙCΤΟΥ.</p> <p>... "KYRIOS, You [are] THEOS, who made the heaven, and the earth, and the sea, and all that [are] in them, who, through the mouth of Your servant David, said, Why did nations rage, and peoples meditate vain things? The kings of the earth stood up, and the rulers were gathered together against KYRIOS and against his CHRISTOS; for gathered together of a truth against Your holy child IESOUS, whom You anointed, were both Herod and Pontius Pilate, with nations and peoples of Israel, to do whatever Your hand and Your counsel determined before to come to pass. And now, KYRIOS, look on their threatenings, and grant to Your servants to speak Your Word with all freedom, in the stretching forth of Your hand, for healing, and signs, and wonders, to come to pass through the Name of Your holy child IESOUS."</p>	Psa 2:1-2 Masoretic OT
In Psalm 8:2, CHRISTOS is praised. Not so in the Masoretic text.	<p>O Lord, our Lord, how majestic is your name in all the earth! You have set your glory above the heavens. Out of the mouth of babes and infants, you have established strength because of your foes, to still the enemy and the avenger.</p> <p>O KYRIOS, our KYRIOS, how wonderful is your name in all the earth! For your magnificence is exalted above the heavens. Out of the mouth of babes and sucklings hast thou perfected praise, because of your enemies; that thou mightest put down the enemy and avenger.</p> <p>... "Do you hear what these are saying?" And IESOUS said to them, "Yes; have you never read, 'Out of the mouth of infants and nursing babies you have prepared praise?'"</p>	Psa 8:2 Masoretic OT
In Isaiah 61:1, CHRISTOS is announced to heal blindnesses.	<p>... He has sent me to heal the brokenhearted; to proclaim freedom to the captives, to let out into light those bound in the dark [watered down to a general meaning; word 'blind' intentionally removed]...</p> <p>... to heal the broken in heart, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and recovery of sight to the blind ...</p> <p>... He has sent me to proclaim release to the captives, and recovery of sight to the blind ...</p>	Isa 61:1 Masoretic OT
Amos 4:13 is a very clear Messianic prophecy. Not so in the Masoretic text.	<p>"Therefore, thus I do to you, O Israel, at last, Because this I do to you, Prepare to meet your G-d, O Israel." For behold, the Former of mountains, and Creator of wind, And the Declarer to man what [is] His thought, He is making down obscurity, And is treading on high places of earth, YHWH, G-d of Hosts, [is] His Name!</p> <p>Therefore thus will I do to thee, O Israel: nay because I will do thus to thee, prepare to call on thy THEOS, O Israel. For, behold, I am he that strengthens the thunder, and creates the wind, and proclaims to men his CHRISTOS, forming the morning and the darkness, and mounting on the high places of the earth, The KYRIOS THEOS Almighty is His name.</p>	Amo 4:13 Masoretic OT
Differences - Jewish Bible Only		
Crucifixion of CHRISTOS.	<p>Dogs are all around me, a pack of villains closes in on me like a lion my hands and feet. [watered down]</p> <p>For many dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked doers has beset me round: they pierced my hands and my feet.</p> <p>And again another Scripture says, "They will look on the one whom they have pierced."</p>	Psa 22:17 Masoretic (CJB)
Virgin Birth of CHRISTOS.	<p>Therefore the Lord himself will give you people a sign: the young woman will become pregnant, bear a SON and name Him 'IMMANUEL'.</p> <p>Therefore KYRIOS Himself shall give you a sign; behold, a virgin shall conceive in the womb, and shall bring forth a SON, and thou shalt call His name EMMANUEL.</p> <p>"Behold, the virgin will become pregnant and will give birth to a SON, and they will call His name EMMANUEL," which is translated, "THEOS with us."</p>	Isa 7:14 Masoretic (CJB)

The Sabbaths

Discrepancies related to the Weekly Sabbath and the Sabbaths of Sabbaths.		
While the concept of the Sabbath of Sabbaths (Ceremonial Sabbaths, Holy Convocations) is clearly defined in the Greek OT, this very important definition is lacking in the Hebrew OT.	<p>It is a Sabbath of solemn rest to you, and you shall afflict yourselves; it is a statute forever.</p> <p>A sabbath of sabbaths, it shall be to you, and you shall humble your souls - it is an eternal statute.</p> <p>It shall be to you a Sabbath of solemn rest, and you shall afflict yourselves. On the ninth day of the month beginning at evening, from evening to evening shall you keep your Sabbath."</p> <p>A sabbath of Sabbaths, it will be to you. And you shall humble your souls from the ninth of the month. From evening to evening you shall observe the Sabbath of your Sabbaths.</p>	Lev 16:31 Masoretic OT
This has caused a lot of confusion amongst those relying on the Hebrew text only and many Christians today have a fundamental lack of understanding in differentiating the Weekly Sabbath from Ceremonial Sabbaths.		Lev 23:32 Masoretic OT
▶ see also the study 'Sabbaths' for more details		Lev 23:33 Greek OT
While Amos 6:3 warns us to not follow false Sabbaths, this warning is entirely absent in the text favoured by the Hebrews, who are well known for adding false Sabbaths to their calendars (erroneous beginning of New Year in the dark season of the year; unbiblical feasts).	<p>You that put off the day of disaster and bring near a reign of violence!</p> <p>Ye who are approaching the evil day, who are drawing near and adopting false Sabbaths ...</p>	Amo 6:3 Masoretic OT

Saul and David

Did Saul really loose his memory?	<p>One of the young men answered, "Behold, I have seen a son of Jesse the Bethlehemite, who is skillful in playing, a man of valor, a man of war, prudent in speech, and a man of good presence, and KYRIOS is with him." Therefore Saul sent messengers to Jesse and said, "Send me David your son, who is with the sheep." And Jesse took a donkey laden with bread and a skin of wine and a young goat and sent them by David his son to Saul. And David came to Saul and entered his service. And Saul loved him greatly, and he became his armor-bearer. And Saul sent to Jesse, saying, "Let David remain in my service, for he has found favor in my sight."</p>	1Sam 16:18-23 Masoretic OT
Meanwhile, that entire passage at the end of the chapter is not even found in the Greek OT, and a contradiction does therefore not exist.	<p>Comparison of the Following Chapter</p> <p>And David took the head of the Philistine and brought it to Jerusalem, but he put his armor in his tent. As soon as Saul saw David go out against the Philistine, he said to Abner, the commander of the army, "Abner, whose son is this youth?" And Abner said, "As your soul lives, O king, I do not know." The king said, "Inquire whose son the boy is." And as soon as David returned from the striking down of the Philistine, Abner took him, and brought him before Saul with the head of the Philistine in his hand. And Saul said to him, "Whose son are you, young man?" And David answered, "I am the son of your servant Jesse the Bethlehemite."</p>	1Sam 17:54-58 Masoretic OT
		1Sam 17:54-58 Greek OT



Comparison

The Bible provides very clear designations for the kings – if ultimately saved or not. But there is one supposed exception which has puzzled the church since. Was King Solomon ultimately saved or not? Why does the Proto-Masoretic text not have any information, yet the Greek Old Testament contains perfectly precise information?

United Kingdom of Israel (Jerusalem)		
1	SAOUL (SAUL) ΕΞΟΥΔΕΝΩCΑC ΤΟ ΠΗΜΑ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ *	1Sam 15:26
2	IEBOSTHE (ISHBOSHETH) SON OF SAOUL ΠΕΡΙΕΛΕΙΝ ΤΗΝ ΒΑCΙΛΕΙΑΝ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΟΙΚΟΥ CΑΟΥΑ	2Sam 3:10
3	DAVID ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ *	1Kin 15:5
4	SOLOMON ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ *	1Kin 11:8 < missing in the Proto-Masoretic
Kingdom of Israel (North; Samaria)		Kingdom of Judah (South; Jerusalem)
5	JEROBOAM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΟΡΕΥΘΗ ΕΝ ΟΔΩ ΤΟΥ ΠΑΤΡΟC ΑΥΤΟΥ	ROBOAM (REHOBAM) SON OF SOLOMON ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΠΟΙHCΕΝ ΤΟ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ
6	NADAB SON OF IEROBOAM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	ABIU (ABIJAH) SON OF ROBOAM ΤΑΙC ΑΜΑΡΤΙΑΙC ΤΟΥ ΠΑΤΡΟC ΑΥΤΟΥ
7	BAASA (BAASHA) SON OF ACHIA ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	ASA SON OF ANA ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
8	ELA (ELAH) SON OF BAASA ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΒΑΑCΑ ΚΑΙ ΗΛΑ	IOSAPHAT (JOSAPHAT) SON OF ASA ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
9	ZAMBRI (ZIMRI) ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	IORAM (JEHORAM) SON OF IOSAPHAT ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
10	AMBRI (OMRI) ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	OCHOZIAS (AHAZIAH) SON OF IORAM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
11	ACHAAB (AHAB) SON OF AMBRI ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	IOAS (JEHOASH) SON OF ABIA ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
12	OCHOZIAS (AHAZIAH) SON OF ACHAAB ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	AMESSIAS (AMAZIAH) SON OF IOAS ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
13	IORAM (JEHORAM) SON OF ACHAAB ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	AZARIAS (UZZIAH) SON OF AMESSIAS ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
14	IOU (JEHU) ΟΥΚ ΑΠΕCΤΗ ΕΠΑΝΩΘΕΝ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΙΕΡΟΒΟΑΜ	IOATHAM (JOTHAM) SON OF AZARIAS ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
15	IOACHAS (JEHOAHAZ) SON OF IOU ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	ACHAZ (AHAZ) SON OF IOATHAM ΟΥΚ ΕΠΟΙHCΕΝ ΤΟ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΘΕΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΟΥΚ ΕΠΟΙHCΕΝ ΤΟ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
16	IOAS (JEHOASH) SON OF IOACHAS ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	HEZEKIAS (HEZEKIAH) SON OF ACHAZ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
17	IEROBOAM (JEROBOAM) SON OF IOAS ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	MANASSES (MANASSEH) SON OF HOPSIBA ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
18	ZACHARIAS SON OF IEROBOAM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	AMON SON OF MESOLLAM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
19	SELLOUM (SHALLUM) SON OF IABIS CΥCΤΡΟΦΗ ΑΥΤΟΥ	IOSIAS (JOSIAH) SON OF IEDIDA ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
20	MANAEM (MENAHEM) SON OF GADDI ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	IOACHAS (JEHOAHAZ) SON OF HAMITAL ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
21	PHAKEIAS (PEKAMIAH) SON OF MANAEM ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	IOAKIM (JEHOIAKIM) SON OF IELDAPH ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
22	PHAKEE (PEKAH) SON OF ROMELIAS ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	IOAKIM (JEHOIACHIN) SON OF NESTHA ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ
23	HOSEE (HOSHEA) SON OF ELA ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ	SEDEKIAS (ZEDEKIAH) SON OF HAMITAL ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΘΕΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ
96% Evil (22 out of 23 kings)		41% Good (9 out of 22 kings)
		IESOUS CHRISTOS See Dynastic & Selective Bloodline
		Mat 1

* Legend

ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ Right before KYRIOS
ΕΥΘΕC ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ Right in (the) eyes of KYRIOS
ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝΩΠΙΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ Evil before KYRIOS
ΠΟΝΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΙC ΚΥΡΙΟΥ Evil in (the) eyes of KYRIOS
ΕΞΟΥΔΕΝΩCΕΙ CΕ ΚΥΡΙΟC Rejected the word of KYRIOS

While both the Greek Old Testament and the Modern Hebrew Old Testament provide a clear designation for the judgment of all 42 kings, this designation is missing only in one case, precisely in the case of Solomon and only in the Modern Hebrew text.

Greek Old Testament	And thus he acted towards all his strange wives, who burnt incense and sacrificed to their idols. 8 And Solomon did that which was evil in the sight of KYRIOS: he went not after KYRIOS, as David his father. 9 And KYRIOS was angry with Solomon, because he turned away his heart from the KYRIOS THEOS of Israel, who had appeared twice to him ...	1Kin 11:7-9
Hebrew Old Testament (Modern Hebrew; Proto-Masoretic Text)	8and so he hath done for all his strange women, who are perfuming and sacrificing to their gods. [..... missing sentence] 9And G-d sheweth Himself angry with Solomon, for his heart hath turned aside from the Lord, G-d of Israel, who had appeared unto him twice ...	1Kin 11:8-9

The superscriptions in the book of Psalms are often found in our modern Bibles in fine print, what has led to the widespread perception that those first verses of each chapter are secondary, not inspired and retroactively added by other scribes. In addition to the fine print, we find a great variety in regards of the length of the respective verse, with some Bibles printing the full superscription, while others leave part of it in the footnotes. But this superficial perception and especially the widespread arbitrariness in the redaction of biblical text are highly problematic, because it is original and fully inspired Scripture.


In addition to those mentioned problems, we also find discrepancies between the Greek Old Testament and the Proto-Masoretic text, specifically when it comes to the organization of an ordinary week, which is probably best defined in the Psalms, yet it is almost entirely absent from the Proto-Masoretic text, which has caused a vast confusion today and allows great variations in the theology when it comes to the Weekly Sabbath and its function of being the main anchor for counting the days following and previous to a Weekly Sabbath.

It provides food for thought why the verses framing the biblical calendar are systematically cut short. In the same manner King David fully affirmed the Weekly Sabbath being the seventh day and the day before IESOUS' resurrection, so we should do the same with an unbiased and open heart.	1	ΨΑΛΜΟC ΤΩ ΔΑΥΙΔ. ΤΗC ΜΙΑC [mias] CABBATΩΝ. A Psalm to David; of one of Sabbaths. A Psalm for David on the first day of the week. A Psalm to David. [. . . missing part of sentence . . .]	Psa 231 Literal Greek OT (Brenton) Masoretic OT
	2	ΨΑΛΜΟC ΔΕ CABBATΩΝ, ΤΗ ΕΠΙΦΩCΚΟΥCΗ ΕΙC ΜΙΑΝ [mian] CABBATΩΝ ΗΛΘΕΝ ΜΑΡΙΑΜ Η ΜΑΓ ΔΑΛΑΗΝΗ ΚΑΙ Η ΑΛΛΗ ΜΑΡΙΑ ΘΕΩΡΗCΑΙ ΤΟΝ ΤΑΦΟΝ. And late in the Sabbaths, the dawning into one of Sabbaths, came Mary the Magdalene and the other Mary to see the tomb.	Mat 28:1 Literal
	3	ΨΑΛΜΟC ΩΔΗC ΤΟΙC ΥΙΟΙC ΚΟΡΕ. ΔΕΥΤΕΡΑ [deutera] CABBATΟΥ. A Psalm to the sons of Korah; to second Sabbath. A Psalm of praise for the sons of Core on the second day of the week. A song. A Psalm of the sons of Korah. [. . . missing part of sentence . . .]	Psa 41 Literal Greek OT Masoretic OT
	4	ΨΑΛΜΟC ΤΩ ΔΑΥΙΔ, ΤΕΤΡΑΔΙ [tetradi] CABBATΩΝ. A Psalm to David, to fourth Sabbaths. A Psalm of David for the fourth day of the week. [Entire superscription removed]	Psa 51 Literal Greek OT Masoretic OT
	5	- not mentioned in the Psalms -	
	6	ΕΙC ΤΗΝ ΗΜΕΡΑΝ ΤΟΥ ΠΡΟ-CΑΒΒΑΤΟΥ [pro-sabbatou], ΟΤΕ ΚΑΤΩΚΙCΤΑΙ Η ΓΗ. Into the day before Sabbath, when the earth had been inhabited [day #6 of creation = when animals and humans inhabited the earth]. For the day before the Sabbath, when the land was inhabited. [Entire superscription removed as it refers exclusively to the Weekly Sabbath . . .]	Psa 92:1 Literal Greek OT Masoretic OT
	7	ΨΑΛΜΟC ΤΩ ΔΑΥΙΔ. ΕΙC ΑΝΑΜΝΗCΙΝ ΠΕΡΙ CΑΒΒΑΤΟΥ. A Psalm to David; for a memorial concerning Sabbath. A Psalm of David for remembrance concerning the Sabbath-day. A Psalm of David. To cause to remember [. . . missing that which is to remember . . .]	Psa 37:1 Literal Greek OT Masoretic OT
		ΨΑΛΜΟC ΩΔΗC, ΕΙC ΤΗΝ ΗΜΕΡΑΝ ΤΟΥ CΑΒΒΑΤΟΥ. A Psalm of a Song, for the day of the Sabbath. A Psalm of a Song for the Sabbath-day. A Psalm A song for the Sabbath day.	Psa 91:1 Literal Greek OT Masoretic OT



Comments Scripture / References

The follow chart and scriptural analysis does not reflect the real history of biblical languages, but provides us a strictly biblical, and therefore unique viewpoint of the occurrence and rough sequence of those biblical languages.



Biblical Definition of Languages

Old Testament Passages Mentioning A Biblical Language

<p>Aramaic / Syrian (3x, OT only)</p> <p>G4948, ΚΥΡΟC, suros: Syrian, from the same as Suria; a Syran (i.e. probably Tyrian), a native of Aram / Syria – Syrian.</p> <p>ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ: no word included in Strong’s, but the same meaning ‘Syrian’ as G4948.</p> <p>No appearance of the word ‘Aramaic’ in the NT! Aramaic was the common language of much of the ancient Near East from ~600 BC to the first centuries AD. Some parts of the Bible were written in Aramaic (Ezra and Daniel, both connected to the Babylonian exile in Syria).</p>	<p>1430 BC</p> <p>And Laban called it, the Heap of Testimony [‘Jegar-Sahadutha’ in the Masoretic text; which is genuine Aramaic both in form and use]; and Jacob called it, the Witness Heap [‘Galeed’ in Masoretic].</p> <p><i>Gen 31:47</i> <i>Greek OT</i> <i>Hebrew OT</i></p>
	<p>690 BC</p> <p>Then said Eliakim and Shebna and Joah unto Rabshakeh, Speak, I pray thee, unto thy servants in Syrian [G4948, ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ, suristi: Aramaic, Syrian]; for we understand it: and speak not to us in the Jews’ language, in the ears of the people that are on the wall.</p> <p><i>Isa 36:11</i></p>
	<p>550 BC</p> <p>26ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΕΛΙΑΚΙΜ ΥΙΟC ΧΕΛΚΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΚΟΜΝΑC ΚΑΙ ΙΩΔΑC ΠΡΟC ΡΑΨΑΚΗΝ ΛΑΛΗCΟΝ ΔΗ ΠΡΟC ΤΟΥC ΠΑΙΔΑC ΚΟΥ ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ [Syrian / Aramaic], ΟΤΙ ΑΚΟΥΟΜΕΝ ΗΜΕΙC, ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΛΑΛΗCΕΙC ΜΕΘ ΗΜΩΝ ΙΟΥΔΑΙCΤΙ ...</p> <p>26 And Heliakim the son of Chelkias, and Somnas, and Joas, said to Rapsakes, Speak now to thy servants in Syrian [G4948, ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ, suristi: Aramaic, Syrian], for we understand it; and speak not with us in Jewish: and why dost thou speak in the ears of the people that are on the wall [here it appears that the educated Jews understood Aramaic, but that the common people did not]?</p> <p><i>2Kin 18:26</i></p>
	<p>450 BC</p> <p>And in the days of Artaxerxes wrote Bishlam, Mithredath, Tabeel, and the rest of their companions, unto Artaxerxes king of Persia; and the writing of the letter was written in Syrian [G4948, ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ, suristi: Aramaic, Syrian], and interpreted in the Syrian tongue.</p> <p><i>Ezr 4:7</i></p>

<p>(Jewish / Judean) (2x, OT only)</p> <p>G2451, ΙΟΥΔΑΙCΤΙ, ioudaisti: Jewish, from Ioudaios; Judaic, i.e. resembling a Judean – Jewish.</p> <p>G2454 ΙΟΥΔΑΙCΜΟC, ioudaismos: from G2450; “judaism”, that is, the Jewish faith and usages: – Jews’ religion.</p> <p>Possibly not a separate language and rather an adjective describing the dialect / language spoken in the time of writing (not Aramaic, because contrasted in 2Kin 18:26).</p>	<p>550 BC</p> <p>26ΚΑΙ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΕΛΙΑΚΙΜ ΥΙΟC ΧΕΛΚΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΚΟΜΝΑC ΚΑΙ ΙΩΔΑC ΠΡΟC ΡΑΨΑΚΗΝ ΛΑΛΗCΟΝ ΔΗ ΠΡΟC ΤΟΥC ΠΑΙΔΑC ΚΟΥ ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ [Aramaic], ΟΤΙ ΑΚΟΥΟΜΕΝ ΗΜΕΙC, ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΛΑΛΗCΕΙC ΜΕΘ ΗΜΩΝ ΙΟΥΔΑΙCΤΙ [Judean, Jewish], ΚΑΙ ΙΝΑ ΤΙ ΛΑΛΕΙC ΕΝ ΤΟΙC ΩCΙΝ ΤΟΥ ΛΑΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΥ ΤΕΙΧΟΥC?</p> <p>26 And Heliakim the son of Chelkias, and Somnas, and Joas, said to Rapsakes, Speak now to thy servants in Syrian [Aramaic], for we understand it; and speak not with us in Jewish: and why dost thou speak in the ears of the people that are on the wall?</p> <p><i>2Kin 18:26</i></p>
	<p>425 BC</p> <p>And in those days I saw the Jews who had married women of Ashdod, of Ammon, and of Moab: 24 and their children spoke half in the language of Ashdod, and did not know how to speak in Jewish [G2454 ΙΟΥΔΑΙCΜΟC, ioudaismos: “judaism”, that is, the Jewish faith].</p> <p><i>Neh 13:24</i></p>
	<p>340 BC</p> <p>Then they cried with a loud voice in the Jews’ speech [Judean, Jewish] unto the people of Jerusalem that were on the wall, to affright them, and to trouble them; that they might take the city.</p> <p><i>2Chr 32:18</i></p>

New Testament Passages Mentioning A Biblical Language

<p>Greek (3x, NT only)</p> <p>G1676, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ, hellenisti: Greek, Adverb from the same as Hellenistes; Hellenistically, i.e. In the Grecian language – Greek.</p> <p>Greek is the world’s oldest recorded living language, first spoken in the Balkan peninsula since the 3rd millennium BC or earlier (which can only mean that Greek was one of the languages with the Tower of Babel in ~2841 BC (line of Japeth)). The Greek alphabet is also the oldest written alphabet still in continuous use today (at least since 800 BC) and is the first writing system to have included vowels.</p>	<p>33 AD</p> <p>20ΤΟΥΤΟΝ ΟΥΝ ΤΟΝ ΤΙΤΛΟΝ ΠΟΛΛΟΙ ΑΝΕΓΝΩCΑΝ ΤΩΝ ΙΟΥΔΑΙΩΝ, ΟΤΙ ΕΓΓΥC ΗΝ Ο ΤΟΠΟC ΤΗC ΠΟΛΕΩC ΟΠΟΥ ΕCΤΑΥΡΩΘΗ Ο ΙΗCΟΥC. ΚΑΙ ΗΝ ΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΝ ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, ΡΩΜΑΙCΤΙ, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ.</p> <p>This title then read many of the Jews: for the place where IESOUS was crucified was nigh to the city: and it was written in Hebrew, Latin and Greek [G1676, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ, hellenisti].</p> <p><i>Joh 19:20</i></p>
	<p>57 AD</p> <p>And as Paul was to be led into the castle, he said unto the chief captain, May I speak unto thee? Who said, Canst thou speak Greek [G1676, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ, hellenisti]?</p> <p><i>Act 21:37</i></p>
	<p>95 AD</p> <p>They have as king over them the angel of the bottomless pit. His name in Hebrew is Abaddon, and in Greek [G1676, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ, hellenisti] he is called Apollyon.</p> <p><i>Rev 9:11</i></p>

Many translators and scholars use **ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ** to refer to both Aramaic and Hebrew, without distinction. But the fact that the Greek OT specified the term **ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ** for Aramaic, and therefore differentiates between **ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ** and **ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ**, should already exclude such an undifferentiated application. The enormous time gap of 535 years between the last use of **ΚΥΡΙCΤΙ** in Ezr 4:7 and the first use of **ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ** in Joh 5:2 clearly underlines the distinction. Josephus also distinguished between both Greek terms with casual precision, so we know that Hebrew and Aramaic existed distinctly from one another.

<p>Hebrew (10x, NT only, not OT!)</p> <p>G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti: in the Hebrew tongue, adverb from Hebrais, Hebraistically or in the Jewish (Chaldee) language -- in (the) Hebrew (tongue).</p> <p>It is important to note that the Bible does rather not consider Hebrew as a language, but distinctively as ‘dialect’ [Strong’s G1258, ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟC, dialektos]:</p> <p>Act 21:40 “... he [Paul] called out in the Hebrew dialect, saying ...”</p> <p>Act 22:2 “And hearing that he called out to them in the Hebrew dialect ...”</p> <p>Act 26:14 “... and saying in the Hebrew dialect: Saul, Saul, why do you persecute me?”</p> <p>Meanwhile, the Bible uses for the term ‘language’ always the word ‘glossa’ (Strong’s G1100 as found in Gen 11:7, Neh 13:24, Jer 5:15, Eze 3:5, Dan 1:4, Dan 3:29, 1Cor 14:2-27, Rev 13:7, Rev 14:6).</p>	<p>33 AD</p> <p>2ΕCΤΙΝ ΔΕ ΕΝ ΤΟΙC ΙΕΡΟCΟΛΥΜΟΙC ΕΠΙ ΤΗ ΠΡΟΒΑΤΙΚΗ ΚΟΛΥΜΒΗΘΡΑ Η ΕΠΙΛΕΓΟΜΕΝΗ ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ [Hebrew] ΒΗΘΣΑΘΑ ΠΙΝΤΕ CΤΟΑC ΕΧΟΥCΑ.</p> <p>Now there is at Jerusalem by the sheep market a pool, which is called in the Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti] Bethesda, having five porches.</p> <p><i>Joh 5:2</i></p>
	<p>33 AD</p> <p>... he brought IESOUS forth, and sat down in the judgment seat in a place that is called the Pavement, but in the Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti], Gabbatha.</p> <p><i>Joh 19:13</i></p>
	<p>33 AD</p> <p>And he bearing his cross went forth into a place called the place of a skull, which is called in the Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti] Golgotha.</p> <p><i>Joh 19:17</i></p>
	<p>33 AD</p> <p>This title then read many of the Jews: for the place where IESOUS was crucified was nigh to the city: and it was written in Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti], and Greek, and Latin.</p> <p><i>Joh 19:20</i></p>
	<p>33 AD</p> <p>IESOUS said to her, “Mary.” She turned and said to Him in Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti], “Rabboni!” (which means “Teacher”).</p> <p><i>Joh 20:16</i></p>
	<p>57 AD</p> <p>And when he had given him licence, Paul stood on the stairs, and beckoned with the hand unto the people. And when there was made a great silence, he spake unto them in the Hebrew dialect [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti], saying ...</p> <p>(And when they heard that he spake in the Hebrew dialect [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti] to them, they kept the more silence: and he saith)</p> <p>And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto me, and saying in the Hebrew dialect [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti], Saul, Saul, why do you persecute me? ...</p> <p><i>Act 21:40</i></p>
	<p>95 AD</p> <p>They have as king over them the angel of the bottomless pit. His name in Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti] is Abaddon, and in Greek he is called Apollyon.</p> <p>And he gathered them together into a place called in Hebrew [G1447, ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, hebraisti] Armageddon.</p> <p><i>Act 22:2</i></p> <p><i>Act 26:14</i></p> <p><i>Rev 9:11</i></p> <p><i>Rev 16:16</i></p>

<p>Latin (1x, NT only, not biblical language)</p> <p>G4515, ΡΩΜΑΙCΤΙ, rhomaisti: Latin, Adverb from a presumed derivative of Rhome; Romaistically, i.e. In the Latin language -- Latin.</p>	<p>33 AD</p> <p>20ΤΟΥΤΟΝ ΟΥΝ ΤΟΝ ΤΙΤΛΟΝ ΠΟΛΛΟΙ ΑΝΕΓΝΩCΑΝ ΤΩΝ ΙΟΥΔΑΙΩΝ, ΟΤΙ ΕΓΓΥC ΗΝ Ο ΤΟΠΟC ΤΗC ΠΟΛΕΩC ΟΠΟΥ ΕCΤΑΥΡΩΘΗ Ο ΙΗCΟΥC. ΚΑΙ ΗΝ ΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΝ ΕΒΡΑΙCΤΙ, ΡΩΜΑΙCΤΙ, ΕΛΛΗΝΙCΤΙ.</p> <p>This title then read many of the Jews: for the place where IESOUS was crucified was nigh to the city: and it was written in Hebrew, Latin [G4515, ΡΩΜΑΙCΤΙ, rhomaisti] and Greek.</p> <p><i>Joh 19:20</i></p>
--	--



Comments	Scripture / References																		
<p>Usage of Biblical Languages</p> <p>NT Era</p> <p>At the time of the incarnation of CHRISTOS, the majority of Jews were unfamiliar with their original language they had spoken before the Babylonian Captivity (6c. BC). Meanwhile, Alexander the Great had entered Jerusalem in 330 BC and Greek spread widely in the 4c. BC, the time the Greek Pentateuch as written. When CHRISTOS came, Greek had already become the 'lingua franca' for the Jews, while the Aramaic language / Hebrew dialect was also widely spoken.</p> <p>In the following Bible passages, it is rather deemed exceptional and specifically pointed out when IESOUS spoke Aramaic / Hebrew instead of Greek:</p>	<p><i>Taking her by the hand he said to her, "Talitha cumi," [probably Aramaic] which means [in Greek], "Little girl, I say to you, arise."</i> [IESOUS articulates during most other miracles and He constantly speaks Greek, which is obvious by the fact that the meaning of His words is not explained as in this example. Unfortunately, some scholars extrapolate those few exceptions of explicit Aramaic speech to be the general rule]</p>	<p>Mar 5:41-42</p>																	
<p>It is also deemed exceptional and specifically pointed out when Paul spoke Hebrew instead of Greek.</p>	<p><i>And looking up to heaven, he sighed and said to him, "Ephphatha," [probably Aramaic] that is [in Greek], "Be opened."</i> [same principle as in previous verse; an occasion where IESOUS spoke specific Aramaic words or short phrases, explicitly translated into Greek]</p>	<p>Mar 7:34</p>																	
<p>It is also deemed exceptional and specifically pointed out when Paul spoke Hebrew instead of Greek.</p> <p>Mary spoke rather Greek, not only Hebrew as commonly assumed. We can easily conclude this from the following verse, which specifically points out that Mary spoke one word in Hebrew, the word 'Rabboni':</p> <p>It should also give us food for thought that the meaning of the Hebrew word is specifically explained in the Greek language.</p>	<p><i>"Brothers and fathers, hear the defense that I now make before you." And when they heard that he was addressing them in the Hebrew dialect, they became even more quiet ...</i></p>	<p>Act 22:1-2</p>																	
	<p><i>And when we had all fallen to the ground, I heard a voice [CHRIST] saying to me in the Hebrew dialect, 'Saul, Saul, why are you persecuting me?' ...</i></p>	<p>Act 26:14</p>																	
	<p>[Conversation in Greek:] IESOUS said to her, "Woman, why are you weeping? Who are you looking for?" She thought that it was the gardener, and said to him, "Sir, if you have carried him away, tell me where you have put him, and I will take him." IESOUS said to her, "Mary." [One word in Hebrew:] She turned around and said to him in Hebrew [G1447, EBPAICTI, hebraisti], "Rabboni" (which means "Teacher").</p>	<p>Joh 20:15-17</p>																	
<p>Languages at the time of CHRISTOS (-2 BC - 33 AD)</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Spoken languages. Most people were bilingual or trilingual.</th> <th>Language of Worship</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>IESOUS CHRISTOS</td> <td>Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek</td> <td>Greek OT (Galilee)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jews, in Canaan</td> <td>Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek</td> <td>Greek OT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Jews, outside of Canaan</td> <td>Greek, Aramaic / Hebrew</td> <td>Greek OT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Romans</td> <td>Greek, Latin</td> <td>Greek OT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Temple Servants</td> <td>Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek</td> <td>Aramaic / Hebrew</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>"... there were many Greek-speaking Jews, Hellenists [...] the consistency with which his biblical quotations and allusions are based on the Septuagint is true to life. [...] It was used in the Greek-speaking synagogues throughout the Roman Empire. [...] So thoroughly, indeed, did Christians appropriate the Septuagint as their version of the Scriptures that the Jews became increasingly disenchanted with it. The time came when one rabbi compared 'the accursed day on which the seventy elders wrote the Law in Greek for the king' to the day on which Israel made the golden calf."</i></p>		Spoken languages. Most people were bilingual or trilingual.	Language of Worship	IESOUS CHRISTOS	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Greek OT (Galilee)	Jews, in Canaan	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Greek OT	Jews, outside of Canaan	Greek , Aramaic / Hebrew	Greek OT	Romans	Greek , Latin	Greek OT	Temple Servants	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Aramaic / Hebrew
	Spoken languages. Most people were bilingual or trilingual.	Language of Worship																	
IESOUS CHRISTOS	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Greek OT (Galilee)																	
Jews, in Canaan	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Greek OT																	
Jews, outside of Canaan	Greek , Aramaic / Hebrew	Greek OT																	
Romans	Greek , Latin	Greek OT																	
Temple Servants	Aramaic / Hebrew, Greek	Aramaic / Hebrew																	
<p>It is also very remarkable that Isaiah (written in the 7c. BC) used an Aramaic / Hebrew term such as 'Immanuel' without further explaining it, while Matthew added the Greek explanation for the audience of his book, the Jews!</p> <p>It is widely agreed upon that the Evangelium of Matthew is predominantly written to Jews.</p>	<p>ΙΔΟΥ Η ΠΑΡΘΕΝΟΣ ΕΝ ΓΑΚΤΡΙ ΕΞΕΙ ΚΑΙ ΤΕΕΤΑΙ ΥΙΟΝ, ΚΑΙ ΚΑΛΕΙΕΙC ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΕΜΜΑΝΟΥΗΑ. [no explanation]</p> <p>ΙΔΟΥ Η ΠΑΡΘΕΝΟΣ ΕΝ ΓΑΚΤΡΙ ΕΞΕΙ ΚΑΙ ΤΕΕΤΑΙ ΥΙΟΝ, ΚΑΙ ΚΑΛΕΙΟΥCΙΝ ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΕΜΜΑΝΟΥΗΑ, Ο ΚΥ ΤΙΝ ΜΗΤΕΡ ΠΑΡΘΕΝΟΥ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΣΟΝ ΜΗΤΕΡ ΗΜΩΝ Ο ΑΒΡΑΜ. (which means, THEIOS with us).</p>	<p>Isa 7:14</p> <p>Mat 1:23</p> <p>(which quotes Isa 7:14)</p>																	

Kiryat Gath Mosaic

-5-6c. AD
In Greek!

This is a very recent discovery (01/2025) of a section of a mosaic floor from a probably Christian monastery. The entire message is **once again written in Greek and dedicated to CHRISTOS**, probably meaning in coherence with the cross and the message directly below it:

IESOUS CHRISTOS [...], in peace you came and in peace you left [the nomina sacra under the crossbar are destroyed].



► Find the map at www.fitforfaith.ca/maps

Madaba Map


-550 AD
In Greek!

The earliest map depicting the land of Israel (~550 AD), had been entirely created in the Greek language. Not only that, but all 9 Bible references are taken 1:1 from the Greek Old Testament,

which is even more remarkable when considering that the RCC started using the Hebrew OT already ~150 years earlier.

This clearly shows that the enormous influence of the Greek Old Testament continued in the region of - and in this case around Israel, while the western regions curiously enough adapted the (Modern) Hebrew OT - except the Eastern Orthodox church (strong discernment required) which uses until today the Greek OT.

But apart from producing an Orthodox Study Bible, the Orthodox church has greatly failed to produce a proper English translation of the GOT.



► Find the map at www.fitforfaith.ca/maps

Proto-Masoretic / Mishnaic Hebrew

2nd - 7th c. AD

We have now seen on the previous pages many significant differences between the inspired text and the (Proto-) Masoretic Text.

We clearly notice that the language had little to do with the original language, and that not even Moses would have been able to read a single word from what we commonly consider the 'original Hebrew'.

Let us have a look 'beyond the horizon' - at what Orthodox (Christians), who are rather impartial in this matter, conclude in their research:

1. "The Masoretic Text is written with a radically different alphabet than the original. The original Old Testament Scriptures were written in Paleo-Hebrew, a text closely related to the ancient Phoenician writing system. The Masoretic Text is written with an alphabet which was borrowed from Assyria (Persia) around the 6th-7th century B.C., and is almost 1000 years newer than the form of writing used by Moses, David, and most of the Old Testament authors."
2. "The Masoretes added vowel points which did not exist in the original. An early scholar who investigated this matter was Louis Cappel, who wrote during the early 17th century. An article in the 1948 edition of the Encyclopaedia Britannica includes the following information regarding his research of the Masoretic Text: "As a Hebrew scholar, he concluded that the vowel points and accents were not an original part of Hebrew, but were inserted by the Masorete Jews of Tiberias, not earlier than the 5th Century AD, and that the primitive Hebrew characters are Aramaic and were substituted for the more ancient at the time of the captivity ... The various readings in the Old Testament Text and the differences between the ancient versions and the Masoretic Text convinced him that the integrity of the Hebrew text as held by Protestants, was untenable."
3. The Masoretes admitted that they received corrupted texts. They were not working with the original Hebrew manuscripts of the Bible and significant corruptions had already crept into the versions they copied.
4. The modern Hebrew is such far away from its root, that not even Jews know anymore the meaning of very common words such as 'Shelah', which occurs 74 times in the Bible. Meanwhile, the Greek OT once again provides clarity, through the word ΔΙΑΨΑΛΜΑ (diapsalma = interlude, rest).

Taken from father Joseph Gleason, PreachersInstitute.com (Orthodox; Discernment regarding Apocrypha and Orthodox religion required)

Biblical Archaeology Society

Christianity Stackexchange

Modern Hebrew Language

1881AD-today

Modern History of Judaism

300 200 100 BC 100 AD 200 300 400 500 AD 600 700 800 900 1000 AD 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500 AD 1600 1700 1800 1900 2000 AD 2100

Aramaic, Greek, Hebrew

Mishnaic Hebrew

Beta Israel / Ethiopian Jews who best preserved Ancient Judaism; many accepted CHRISTOS as MESSIAH

18/19c. AD ||| Ultra-Orthodox Judaism (founded in Europe)

1810 AD ||| Reformed Judaism (founded in Germany)

1882 AD ||| Conservative Judaism (founded in Germany & US)

1925 AD ||| Reconstructionist Judaism (founded in the US)

1965 AD ||| Messianic Judaism (founded in the US)

The Modern Hebrew language was created after 1881 AD and is an amalgam of European languages, particularly Yiddish, and Paleo Hebrew, and added tens of thousands (estimates are <75-80.000) of words to the vocabulary.

300 200 100 BC 100 AD 200 300 400 500 AD 600 700 800 900 1000 AD 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500 AD 1600 1700 1800 1900 2000 AD 2100

33 - 70 AD ||| Crucifixion of IESOUS CHRISTOS, subsequent destruction of the Jerusalem Temple.

2c. AD ||| Rabbi Akiva: Creation & manipulation of the Proto-Masoretic Text (his student Aquila translated and Yose ben Halafata, one of his 5 principal pupils, standardized the manipulated text); creation of the Talmud and Mishna (Oral Laws despised by IESOUS).

132-135 AD ||| Bar Kochba Revolt. Rabbi Akiva, an outsider and only a convert, gave Ancient Judaism its final blow by pushing Bar Kochba as the 'messiah' and therefore empowering him for 'his' revolt, and most probably having (tens of) thousands of his very own disciples fight and die therein. After CHRISTOS was killed, another messiah was proclaimed and the Holy Scripture had been corrupted, surely any divine favor was lost. No 'physical' nation of Israel (Post-Direct Diaspora / Exile) Dispersed remnants throughout the world.

135 (- 400) AD ||| Cease of the Hebrew language as mother tongue (a direct consequence of the Bar Kochba revolt), except as literary-, official- and prayer language.

-1880 AD ||| Revival of the Hebrew language.

since 1881 AD ||| Aliyah. Now we see in real time the fulfillment of biblical prophecy, with Jews from all over the world returning to their original homeland.

-1940's ||| Revival of Bar Kochba and Rabbi Akiva as -heroic- figures in school books.

1948 AD ||| Israel- figures in a formal nation.

since 1948 AD ||| Return to Israel, but forced conversion to Mainstream Judaism.

18/19c. AD ||| Ultra-Orthodox Judaism (founded in Europe)

1810 AD ||| Reformed Judaism (founded in Germany)

1882 AD ||| Conservative Judaism (founded in Germany & US)

1925 AD ||| Reconstructionist Judaism (founded in the US)

1965 AD ||| Messianic Judaism (founded in the US)




Comments Scripture / References

Most of our Bibles – such as the NASB, NKV or NIV – are translated from the Masoretic texts, which are based on the Hebrew Leningrad Codex (~1008 AD), while the Greek Old Testament had been translated in ~250 BC – from the much older Paleo-Hebrew text. Josephus confirmed that it had been translated in the days of Ptolemy II Philadelphus, who reigned from ~284-246 BC.

Wikipedia

We frequently hear from scholars the claim that the GOT was the first major translation into another language. This is a misleading claim, because we have two centuries earlier already Ezra's translation (459-445 BC, from Paleo-Hebrew into Aramaic-Hebrew), and we have eight centuries earlier the text written by the prophet Samuel (1050 BC, from Hieroglyphic Hebrew into Paleo-Hebrew).



Further research: 'Transmission of Old Testament', by Steven Rudd

Codex Sinaiticus Codex Alexandrinus Masoretic Text Latin Vulgate

Chronology of biblically related Alphabets.

Table comparing four alphabets: Phoenician, Aramaic, Koine Greek, and Modern Hebrew, with their respective characters and names.

Wikipedia 'Phoenician alphabet'

The Greek Old Testament was approved by the High Priest and the Sanhedrin in Jerusalem. The Septuagint was completed at least 370 years before the Proto-Masoretic texts (some sources even state '1000 years before' while referring to the Masoretic texts finalized in 700 AD).

Nearly all our modern Bibles are based on the Masoretic texts, compiled long after IESOUS' First Coming. Those texts had been elaborated by the very same people who hated the 'idea' of CHRISTOS being the MESSIAH, within an era without Temple, without High Priest, without Sanhedrin and with a dispersed nation, in short with close to zero accountability in the midst of great instability. We will learn more about the setting and translation process on the following pages.



The Translation Process

Comments	Scripture / References
<p>What do we know about the creation of the Septuagint? Critics instantly attack the Letter of Aristeas, but they are usually ignorant of the total of 5 other (!) sources:</p> <p>1. Alexandrian World Chronicle (2. Letter of Aristeas) 3. Martyr, Justin 4. Ephraemi, Codex 5. Vaticanus, Codex 6. Cyril of Jerusalem</p>	<p>1. Alexandrian World Chronicle</p> <p>After Philip Ptolemy Alexander, who was also himself a counselor of Alexander, reigned for 12 years. Altogether this makes 5,156 years. The high priest in Jerusalem was the same Janneus.</p> <p>In these same times the 70 Hebrew sages translated the law into the Greek language.</p> <p>After him Ptolemy Lagus reigned in Egypt for 20 years. Altogether this makes 5,176 years. The high priest in Jerusalem was Jaddua. In these times Joshua be Sirach, who taught the G-d-breathed wisdom to the Hebrews, was renowned [2c BC].</p> <p>An Alexandrian World Chronicle, Apocalypse of Pseudo-Methodius, page 222-223</p>

2. Letter of Aristeas (Report)

This study does not depend on the Letter of Aristeas. When I first fully read it some years after the initial publication of this study, I read it with high expectations, but found it to be problematic for the following reasons:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writing style is rather stilted, but this does not exclude the letter from being authentic (as secondary source). 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The letter includes many details which make it clear that the writer was very well informed, but it does also include inconsistencies, such as the claim that Jewish priests worked spontaneously, which is contrary to the Bible, where the work of the priests is scheduled meticulously. But it could be that the writer only perceived a spontaneous work which was well-practised, or that the priests deviated from the biblical ordinances. 	<p>The ministrations of the priests is in every way unsurpassed both for its physical endurance and for its orderly and silent service. For they all work spontaneously [could be a translation error], though it entails much painful exertion, and each one has a special task allotted to him. [...] When this takes place, those who have already rested and are ready to assume their duties rise up spontaneously since there is no one to give orders with regard to the arrangement of the sacrifices.</p>	<p>Letter of Aristeas, Ellopos.com</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer states that they worship Ze*s and D*s which he calls just a different name than THEOS. This is open blasphemy and rather points to a Roman Catholic writer, where this name is still worshipped today in South Europe. 	<p>They worship the same THEOS – the Lord and Creator of the Universe, as all other men, as we ourselves, O king, though we call him by different names, such as Ze*s or D*s.</p>	<p>Source</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The letter numbers the tribes of Israel, which is highly unusual. 	<p>And of the first tribe: Joseph, Hezekiah, Zechariah, John, Hezekiah, Elisha. Second: Judah, Simon, Samuel, Adah, Mattathias, Eshlemiah. Third: Nehemiah ...</p>	<p>Source</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> King Ptolemy is displayed as the most friendly king someone could imagine, with few characteristics we usually see in kings. Although we can expect that Ptolemy was an unusual king if THEOS used him for his important role, his portrayal comes closer to a servant than to a king. 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The questions of the king to the 72 elders are very stilted, and it is very strange that not one of the elders answers with a Bible verse, but almost exclusively with philosophical statements. 	<p>Then I asked the man, "What is the end of manhood?" And he said, "If the act is done rightly in the face of danger, it is done according to intention." But everything is done by THEOS, well-willed, in your interest, O king.</p> <p>The king expressed his approval and said to another "To what affairs ought kings to devote most time?" And he replied, "To reading and the study of the records of official journeys [a true teacher of THEOS' Word would have never said such non-sensical thing], which are written in reference to the various kingdoms, with a view to the reformation and preservation of the subjects. And it is by such activity that you have attained to a glory [the Bible does not teach that we ought to attain glory, but humbleness] which has never been approached by others, through the help of THEOS who fulfils all your desires [this is not a biblical teaching]. [...] But by practicing the utmost propriety in all your actions, you have shown that you are a philosopher and you are honored by THEOS on account of your virtue [philosophy is certainly not a biblical 'virtue', which shows that the writer had a very problematic idea of Judaism / Christianity]."</p>	<p>Source</p> <p>Source</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is strange that the writer adds the description 'your most honoured servant', when the recipient should know very well who Andreas is. But this could simply be a particular writing style. 	<p>These gifts were brought to me by Andreas, one of your most honoured servants, and by Aristeas, both good men and true, distinguished by their learning, and worthy in every way to be the representatives of your high principles and righteous purposes.</p>	<p>Source</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The letter does not include a meaningful motivation, nor does it describe the practical necessity to translate the Pentateuch into Greek, except a few meager lines: 	<p>38 Since we wish to grant this to all the Jews throughout the world and to those who will come after us, we request that your law be translated into Greek from the Hebrew letters spoken by you, so that these too may be in your library with the other royal books.</p>	<p>Source</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The translation process does not coincide at all with the more credible account of Justin Martyr, and serious problems arise from the text. 	<p>... crossed the bridge and made for the northern districts of Pharos. There he assembled them in a house [it is not stated how 72 translators' workspaces would have fitted into one house], which had been built upon the sea-shore, of great beauty and in a secluded situation, and invited them to carry out the work of translation, since everything that they needed for the purpose was placed at their disposal. So they set to work comparing their several results and making them agree [this point is highly problematic, because the act of 'making them agree' contradicts it to be inspired and is also contrary to Justin Martyr's account], and whatever they agreed upon was suitably copied out under the direction of Demetrius.</p>	<p>Source</p>

It is a sad testimony about today's scholars on the Greek Old Testament, that the majority does either blindly endorse or simply boycott the Letter of Aristeas (and often the whole Greek Old Testament, using this letter as an excuse to do so), while usually not offering a detailed discernment. It is short-sighted to boycott the entire narrative and to not offer a constructive solution for the problems in this letter.

After having read this letter twice for a basic discernment, I have no doubt that many of the more general details in this letter are correct, specifically when relating to the translation of the Pentateuch (except e.g. details such as the accommodation of the translators and the precise process of the translation which is described in passing when compared to all the intricate details of the gifts and of the temple).

But it is a secondary or third-party resource, and should rather be called a 'cheap replica', because we cannot exclude the strong possibility that this 'Letter of Aristeas' was not the original 'Letter of Aristeas', but a later version from a different scribe (with very strong indicators of being found within a state religion such as Roman Catholicism which was responsible for the effectual boycott of the GOT in favor of the Modern Hebrew / Masoretic text), aimed to discredit the account through the beforehand mentioned inconsistencies and while intentionally deleting most of the details about the actual translation process (see below for comparison). **The 'Letter of Aristeas' certainly existed when referred to by so many reliable historians and sources, but most probably not even close to this form.**

EE Bruce and Justin Martyr described by the events and the letter in the following manner:

"The [original] 'Letter of Aristeas to Philocrates' is ... referred to, by **Aristobulus**, an Alexandrian writer quoted by Eusebius; by the Alexandrian Jew **Philo**, and by **Josephus**; and by writers such as **Irenaeus**, **Clement of Alexandria**, **Epiphanius** and the [Catholic] **Augustine**."

An important assumption to add is that the **17 post-Pentateuchal books had been translated by different individuals** in Palestine and Egypt, but it is not known how those translations had been collected upon its completion in **-140 BC** into one volume.

But this assumption is clearly contradicted by the **Codex Ephraemi**, where the Greek text of Proverbs is followed by the comment "para hebdomékonta" ("from the seventy").

(Completion by -140-130 BC, as suggested by the Greek Prologue to Ben Sira).

"Almost from the time that Alexander the Great founded Alexandria in Egypt in 331 BC, there was a Jewish element in its Greek-speaking population [...] until 198 BC, **Judaea** formed part of the kingdom of the Ptolemies, who succeeded to Alexander's empire in Egypt and made **Alexandria** their capital. Before long the **Jews of Alexandria** gave up using the language their ancestors had spoken in Palestine and spoke **Greek only**. This would have involved their being cut off from the use of the Hebrew Bible and the traditional prayers and thanksgivings [...] The **Greek translation of the Scriptures** was made available from time to time in the **third and second centuries BC** (say during the century 250–150 BC). The law, comprising the **five books of Moses**, was the first part of the Scriptures to appear in a Greek version; the reading of the law was **essential to synagogue worship** [...] it was **the work of seventy or so translators** who were sent from Jerusalem to Alexandria for the purpose."

It is because of this legend that the term **Septuagint** (from Latin septuaginta, 'seventy') came to be attached to the version [...] in a document called the **Letter of Aristeas**, which tells how the elders completed the translation of the Pentateuch in **seventytwo days**, achieving an agreed version as the result of **regular conference and comparison**. Later embellishments not only extended their work to cover the whole Old Testament but told how they were isolated from one another in separate cells for the whole period and produced **seventy-two identical versions** - conclusive proof, it was urged, of the **divine inspiration of the work!** Philo, the Jewish philosopher of Alexandria [...] both he and Josephus confirm that it was only the books of the law that were translated by the elders. It was **Christian writers who extended their work to the rest of the Old Testament** and, taking over Philo's belief in their inspiration, extended that also to cover the whole of the Greek Old Testament ..."

Taken from The Canon of Scripture by F. F. Bruce, Copyright (c) 1988 by F. F. Bruce.

Used by permission of InterVarsity Press, P.O. Box 1400, Downers Grove, IL 60515, USA. www.itypress.com

(Page 53 of eBook)



Now a Jew named Apollos, a native of Alexandria, came to Ephesus. He was an eloquent man, competent in the Scriptures. He had been instructed in the way of KYRIOS. And being fervent in SPIRIT, he spoke and taught accurately the things concerning IESOUS, though he knew only the baptism of John.

Because KYRIOS told us that Alexandria had produced by the 1st c. AD native Jews competent in Scripture (which means that the seed there was sown some decades earlier!), and because the Bible makes this almost exclusive mention, we ought not to argue away the relevance of that city by human reasoning, no matter how many evil influences it had seen.

3. Justin Martyr (Personal Witness)

Justin Martyr personally visited in the 2nd c. AD the Island of Pharos, where he still found the 70 little cots, built ~400 years earlier exclusively for the translators.

Two remarkable facts:

- At least until Martyr's time, there was held "every year a solemn assembly and a festival celebrated on the Island of Pharos, to which not only the Jews, but a great number of persons from other nations sailed across, reverencing the place in which the first light of interpretation shone forth, and thanking G-d for the ancient piece of beneficence" (Philo, The Life of Moses, Book 2, Ch. 6)
- Both the famous 110m-tall Light-house of Alexandria and the Library of Alexandria had been built only a short distance from the cots. Those were constructed in between 284 – 246 BC, the same time the Pentateuch was translated there (reign of Ptolemy II as reference for all 3 events).

"But if any one says that the writings of Moses and of the rest of the prophets were also written in the Greek character, let him read profane histories, and know that **Ptolemy, king of Egypt**, when he had built the library in Alexandria, and by gathering books from every quarter had filled it, then learnt that very ancient histories written in Hebrew happened to be carefully preserved; and wishing to know their contents, he sent for **seventy wise men from Jerusalem, who were acquainted with both the Greek and Hebrew language**, and appointed them to translate the books; and that in freedom from all disturbance they might the more speedily complete the translation, he ordered that there should be constructed, not in the city itself, but seven stadia off (where the Pharos was built), **as many little cots as there were translators, so that each by himself might complete his own translation**; and enjoined upon those officers who were appointed to this duty, to afford them all attendance, but to prevent communication with one another, in order that the accuracy of the translation might be discerned even by their agreement. And when he ascertained that the **seventy men had not only given the same meaning, but had employed the same words, and had failed in agreement with one another not even to the extent of one word; but had written the same things, and concerning the same things, he was struck with amazement, and believed that the translation had been written by divine power**, and perceived that the men were worthy of all honour, as beloved of G-d; and with many gifts ordered them to return to their own country. And having, as was natural, marvelled at the books, and concluded them to be divine, **he consecrated them in that library**. These things, ye men of Greece, are no fable, nor do we narrate fictions; but we ourselves having been in Alexandria, saw the remains of the little cots at the Pharos still preserved, and having heard these things from the inhabitants, who had received them as part of their country's tradition, 2542 we now tell to you what you can also learn from others, and specially from those wise and esteemed men who have written of these things, Philo and Josephus, and many others. But if any of those who are wont to be forward in contradiction should say that these books do not belong to us, but to the Jews, and should assert that we in vain profess to have learnt our religion from them, let him know, as he may from those very things which are written in these books, that **not to them, but to us, does the doctrine of them refer**. That the books relating to our religion are to this day preserved among the Jews, has been a **work of Divine Providence** on our behalf; for lest, by producing them out of the Church, we should give occasion to those who wish to slander us to charge us with fraud, we demand that they be produced from the **synagogue of the Jews**, that from the very books still preserved among them it might clearly and evidently appear, that the laws which were written by holy men for instruction pertain to us.

Map of Ancient Alexandria, Overlay by Thomas Lorenz

CCEL.org Chapter XIII - History of the Septuagint

4. Codex Ephraemi; 5. Codex Vaticanus (Remarks)

<p>The number of 70 (72) translators is affirmed by at least 2 Codices (Ephraemi and Vaticanus).</p>	<p>"For example, a note following the Book of Genesis in Codex Vaticanus (fourth century) says that the Greek text is kata tous hebdomékonta ("according to the seventy"; see Rahlfs's Septuaginta, 1.86). In Codex Ephraemi(k) (fifth century), the Greek text of Proverbs is followed by the comment para hebdomékonta ("from the seventy"; see Swete's Old Testament in Greek, 2.479).</p>	<p>Invitation to the Septuagint, by Jobs & Silva</p> <p>(strong discernment of the book required; see my review)</p>
--	---	--

6. Cyril of Jerusalem

<p>Cyril of Jerusalem, bishop of Jerusalem and born in 315 AD (discernment required), confirmed not only 72 translators, but when speaking about the Old Testament, automatically referred to the Greek Old Testament.</p> <p>This is especially remarkable, considering that Cyril writes from Jerusalem, long after the Proto-Masoretic text had been published in Zippori (Sephphoris; latest 160 AD), and does not even mention it.</p>	<p>Now these the divinely-inspired Scriptures of both the Old and the New Testament teach us. For the THEOS of the two Testaments is One, Who in the Old Testament foretold the CHRISTOS who appeared in the New; who by the Law and the Prophets led us to CHRISTOS' school. For before faith came, we were kept in ward under the law, and, the law hath been our tutor to bring us unto CHRISTOS. 1 And if ever thou hear any of the heretics speaking evil of the Law or the Prophets, answer in the sound of the SAVIOUR'S voice, saying, IESOUS came not to destroy the Law, but to fulfil it. 2 Learn also diligently, and from the Church, what are the books of the Old Testament, and what those of the New. And, pray, read none of the apocryphal writings: 3 for why dost thou, who knowest not those which are acknowledged among all, trouble thyself in vain about those which are disputed? Read the Divine Scriptures, the twenty-two books of the Old Testament; these that have been translated by the Seventy-two Interpreters. [...] For the process was no work-craft, nor contrivance of human devices; but the translation of the Divine Scriptures, spoken by the HOLY SPIRIT, was of the HOLY SPIRIT accomplished.</p>	<p>Bible-Researcher.com: Cyril of Jerusalem on the Canon</p>
---	--	--



Comments	Scripture / References
----------	------------------------

Historical Evaluation

Justin Martyr (100-165 AD), Christian apologist and philosopher)

Martyr regarded the Greek OT as a perfectly reliable text of the Old Testament and charged the **Jews (pointing to Akiva, Aquila and later Halaftha; see also below) with the corruption of this text**, in order to obscure the prophetic testimony pointing to IESOUS CHRISTOS.

2nd Century AD (Immediately After Akiva's Proto-Masoretic Text)

"... your teachers, who refuse to admit that **the interpretation made by the seventy elders who were with [king] Ptolemy of the Egyptians is a correct one; and they attempt to frame another.** And I wish you to observe, that they have altogether **taken away many Scriptures from the translations** effected by those seventy elders who were with Ptolemy, and by which this very man who was crucified is proved to have been set forth expressly as THEOS, and man, and as being crucified, and as dying; but since I am aware that this is **denied by all of your nation**, I do not address myself to these points, but I proceed to carry on my discussions by means of those passages which are still admitted by you ...

[Dialogue with Trypho, Ante-Nicene Christian Library Vol 2, Page 187-188](#)
Wikisource.org

"But you in these matters venture to **pervert the expositions** which **your elders that were with Ptolemy king of Egypt gave forth**, since you assert that the Scripture is not so as they have expounded it, but says, 'Behold, the young woman shall conceive,' as if great events were to be inferred if a woman should beget from sexual intercourse: which indeed all young women, with the exception of the barren, do; but even these, THEOS, if He wills, is able to cause [to bear]. [...] especially when it was predicted that this would take place, do not **venture to pervert or misinterpret the prophecies**, since **you will injure yourselves alone** ...

"
[Page 218-219](#)

5th Century AD (Immediately After Jerome's Vulgate in 405 AD)

Another opposition came from two Goths (which Jerome called 'Germans'), through the **letter of Sunnias and Fretela (Sunja and Friþila) to Jerome**. Both characters are usually downplayed to sojourners, but were probably high-ranking church officials of the Gothic church. Other sources mischievously state that Jerome could have created a fantasy letter to imaginary characters, but both the length and depth of his very specific and elaborated answer regarding the book of Psalms only, clearly affirm the authenticity of this letter.

[CCEL, Christian Classics Ethereal Library, Letter Suppressed](#)

Although the original letter 'had been lost ...' and only Jerome's answer survived, his answer is **suppressed on most platforms** and simply replaced with a short introduction. In this letter Jerome shows himself as an aggressive and at the same time eloquent man, and he downplays the criticism of the many differences between his and the Greek text to either corruptions in the Gothic (source) text or differences in the version of their Greek text, and goes as far as to affirm the validity of his translation by pointing to the Old Latin text while praising his own superiority by being able to adapt idioms and belittling word-for-word translations.

[The Journal of English and Germanic Philology, probably a Jesuit site, but including the full letter, although with derogatory comments against Sunnias and Fretela](#)

We can surmise that much more material of opposition against Jerome, especially when it comes to the book of Genesis, had been systematically destroyed, while leaving us with with some 'crumps' related to the book of Psalms, where the weight of the changes was more of nuances and not as weighty as the alterations in the Pentateuch. It is also remarkable that of the Gothic Bible, curiously enough only the book of Psalms and some very minor fragments of Nehemiah 'survived' and we can strongly assume that the Gothic Bible, although having suffered some illegit adaptations in favor of the Gothic culture, contained the begetting ages, flood chronology et al. of the Greek Old Testament text and if available today, would have **clearly revealed the fraud committed by Jerome**.

Even one of the patriarchs of Roman Catholicism and of Calvinism, Augustine (strong discernment required) had also challenged the Pope's secretary Jerome to use the GOT, but his quotation is not included in this study, because both Augustine and Jerome should much rather be considered anti-Christians than reliable sources.

[Discernment on Augustine](#)

Important to know is that the Roman Catholic church is responsible for the departure from the Greek Old Testament, and that this historical transgression even caused audible opposition within their own rows.

17th Century AD

The KJV 1611 includes in its prelude 'From The Translators To The Readers' the acknowledgment that the Septuagint had been used by the Apostles, who commended it to the church.

[critical passage for the sake of differentiation] "*The translation of the Seventie [= Septuagint] dissenteth [= disagree] from the Originall in many places, neither doeth it come neere it for perspicuitie [= clarity], gravitie [= importance], maiestie [affirmative passage] ... yet which of the Apostles did condemne it? Condemne it? Nay [= No], they used it (as it is apparent, and as Saint Hierome and most learned men doe confesse), which they would not have done, nor by their example of using it, so grace and commend it to the Church, if it had bene unworthy the appellation [= the title] and name of the word of G-d.*"

[King James Version, 1611 / Prologue 'Translators to the Reader'](#)
Wikisource.org

Conclusion & Epilogue

There is definitely no doubt that **the textual basis for the Masoretic text had been corrupted in the 2c. AD**. The principal motivation of a small group of Jews (translated by **Aquila, who was hired by Rabbi Akiva, well known for hating the Evangelium, for proclaiming Bar Kochba as the 'messiah' and for being the inspirer for the Oral Torah = Mishnah, part of extra biblical Talmud**) was to have IESOUS CHRISTOS not appear as the MESSIAH and therefore to not only 'shrink' the history of all humanity, but to modify the Bible in many decisive and 'uncomfortable' passages.

[Rabbi Akiva, Wikipedia.com](#)

Rabbi Akiva (or Akiva ben Yosef; ~50 - 135 AD), leading contributor to the Talmud, Mishnah and Midrash Halakha. He is referred to in the Talmud as 'Rosh la-Hakhamim' = 'Chief of the Sages'.

Aquila, meanwhile, was a disciple of Akiva and, under Akiva's guidance, gave the Greek-speaking Jews a rabbinical Bible.^{[3][65]} Akiva probably also provided for a revised text of the Targums; certainly, for the essential base of the Targum Onkelos, which in matters of Halakah reflects Akiva's opinions completely.^{[3][66]}

[Wikipedia](#)

For a detailed discernment on Rabbi Akiva, please visit the website at www.fitforfaith.ca/discernment-historical-figures. His role in the (temporary) destruction of Israel through the Bar Kochba revolt is equally tragic. In essence, he is not only together with his disciples Aquila and Yose ben Halaftha responsible for the most decisive corruption of Scripture in history, but (in-) directly also for the death of more than 600.000 Jews and the 'near-death' of the Hebrew language after the revolt - 'revived' only after 1880 AD.

[FitForFaith.ca](#)

Aquila of Sinope (fl. 130 AD)

Hexaplorum quæ Supersunt, Oxford, 1875.^[4] Epiphanius' *De Ponderibus et Mensuris*^[5] preserves a tradition that he was a kinsman of the Roman emperor **Hadrian**, who employed him in rebuilding Jerusalem as Aelia Capitolina, and that Aquila was converted from Roman paganism to Christianity but, on being reprovved for practicing astrology, converted from Christianity to Judaism.^[6] He is said also to have been a disciple of **Rabbi Akiva** (d. ca. 132 CE).^[7]

[Wikipedia](#)

In Jewish writings he is referred to as Akilas (Hebrew: אקילס) and Onkelos (אונקלוס). Aquila's version is said to have been used in place of the Septuagint in Greek-speaking synagogues. The Christians generally disliked it, alleging that it rendered the Messianic passages incorrectly, but Jerome and Origen speak in its praise.^[7] Origen incorporated it in his *Hexapla*.^[7]

F.F. Bruce (in-)directly affirmed the authorship of Aquila behind the manipulations.

Thus, Matthew can quote as a prophecy of the virginal conception of CHRIST the Septuagint version of Isaiah 7:14, 'Behold, a virgin shall conceive and bear a son ...' (Mat. 1:23), where the Greek word parthenos means specifically 'virgin', as the Hebrew 'almâh need not. (Aquila, who provided a new Greek version of the Old Testament for Jewish use to replace the Septuagint, took care to employ the less specific Greek word neanis, 'girl' or 'young woman', to blunt the point of a Christian 'argument from prophecy').

[The Canon of Scripture, by F.F. Bruce, page 66](#)

Yose ben Halaftha (2c. AD; 'Rabbi Jose') then standardized the Proto-Masoretic text in ~160 - 180 AD.

Yose ben Halaftha, one of Rabbi Akiva's five principal pupils, called 'the restorers of the Law', formalized in 160 -180 AD the Proto-Masoretic text with its manipulations. It is unclear which manipulations originated already with Akiva and Aquila, and which had been added by Halaftha and the Jews at Zippori. Zippori (which curiously enough is assumed to have been the birth town of Mary and lies only 6km away from Nazareth) replaced Jerusalem after the Bar Kochba revolt in 135 AD as intellectual and scholastic center of Judaism.

[Steven Rudd, Bible.ca](#)

[Wikipedia](#)

The credibility of the New Testament had to be destroyed and nothing should point anymore to IESOUS' First Coming within the extrabiblical '**Messianic Age**' (6000 years = 6 days à 1000 days) - those are the most probable reasons why some Jews manually shrunk the Bible timeline until CHRISTOS, but they were not able to touch anymore the original Greek translation that was being distributed outgoing from Alexandria and not from Jerusalem.

Fortunately, they botched their own work as we have seen in this study - but unfortunately the damage is immense because the vast majority of Christians still believe today (1900 years later!) in the manipulated passages and Christianity continually suffers the loss of credibility. Possibly hundreds of thousands could have been saved in the last centuries if they would not have distrusted a manipulated Bible. **We have it now in our hands to go back to THEOS' authentic Word and to bless future generations with it.**

Thomas Lorenz, Greater Vancouver, November 2021
(Updated 12/2025)





Book	Scripture / References
<p>History 6 Quoted Verses</p>	<p>JOSHUA ΙΗΣΟΥΣ Iesous</p> <p>-</p>
<p>JUDGES ΚΡΙΤΕΣ krites</p> <p>-</p>	
<p>RUTH ΡΟΥΘ ruth</p> <p>-</p>	
<p>SAMUEL (3x) ΒΑΣΙΛΕΙΩΝ basileion</p> <p>Quoted 2 times.</p>	<p>... ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗΝ ΚΑΡΔΙΑΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ ... 1Sam 13:14</p> <p>ΑΝΔΡΑ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗΝ ΚΑΡΔΙΑΝ ΜΟΥ ... Act 13:22</p> <p>ΕΓΩ ΕΣΟΜΑΙ ΑΥΤΩ ΕΙΣ ΠΑΤΕΡΑ, ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΟΣ ΕΣΤΑΙ ΜΟΙ ΕΙΣ ΥΙΟΝ ... 2Sam 7:8-14</p> <p>ΚΑΙ ΕΣΟΜΑΙ ΥΜΙΝ ΕΙΣ ΠΑΤΕΡΑ ΚΑΙ ΥΜΕΙΣ ΕΣΕΘΕ ΜΟΙ ΕΙΣ ΥΙΟΥΣ ... 2Cor 6:18</p> <p>ΕΓΩ ΕΣΟΜΑΙ ΑΥΤΩ ΕΙΣ ΠΑΤΕΡΑ, ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΟΣ ΕΣΤΑΙ ΜΟΙ ΕΙΣ ΥΙΟΝ ? Heb 1:5</p> <p>ΔΙΑ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΕΞΟΜΟΛΟΓΗΣΟΜΑΙ ΣΟΙ, ΚΥΡΙΕ, ΕΝ ΤΟΙΣ ΕΘΝΕΣΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΟΝΟΜΑΤΙ ΣΟΥ ΨΑΛΩ ... 2Sam 22:50</p> <p>ΔΙΑ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΕΞΟΜΟΛΟΓΗΣΟΜΑΙ ΣΟΙ ΕΝ ΕΘΝΕΣΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΩ ΟΝΟΜΑΤΙ ΣΟΥ ΨΑΛΩ. Rom 15:9</p>
<p>KINGS (2x) ΒΑΣΙΛΕΙΩΝ basileion</p> <p>In-Old-Testament Quotation / Retelling (not included in count).</p>	<p>ΤΑ ΘΥΣΙΑΣΤΗΡΙΑ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΤΕΣΚΑΨΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥΣ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΑΣ ΣΟΥ ΑΠΕΚΤΕΙΝΑΝ ΕΝ ΡΟΜΦΑΙΑ, ΚΑΙ ΥΠΟΔΕΛΕΙΜΜΑΙ ΕΓΩ ΜΟΝΩΤΑΤΟΣ, ΚΑΙ ΖΗΤΟΥΣΙ ΤΗΝ ΨΥΧΗΝ ΜΟΥ ΔΑΒΕΙΝ ΑΥΤΗΝ. [...] 14 ΤΑ ΘΥΣΙΑΣΤΗΡΙΑ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΘΕΙΛΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥΣ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΑΣ ΣΟΥ ΑΠΕΚΤΕΙΝΑΝ ΕΝ ΡΟΜΦΑΙΑ, ΚΑΙ ΥΠΟΔΕΛΕΙΜΜΑΙ ΕΓΩ ΜΟΝΩΤΑΤΟΣ, ΚΑΙ ΖΗΤΟΥΣΙ ΤΗΝ ΨΥΧΗΝ ΜΟΥ ΔΑΒΕΙΝ ΑΥΤΗΝ. 1Kin 19:10-14 (repeated)</p> <p>ΚΥΡΙΕ, ΤΟΥΣ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΑΣ ΣΟΥ ΑΠΕΚΤΕΙΝΑΝ, ΤΑ ΘΥΣΙΑΣΤΗΡΙΑ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΤΕΣΚΑΨΑΝ, ΚΑΓΩ ΥΠΕΛΕΙΦΘΗΝ ΜΟΝΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΖΗΤΟΥΣΙΝ ΤΗΝ ΨΥΧΗΝ ΜΟΥ. Rom 11:3</p> <p>... ΚΑΤΑΔΕΡΡΕΪΣ ΕΝ ΙΣΡΑΗΛ ΕΠΤΑ ΧΙΛΙΑΔΑΣ ΑΝΔΡΩΝ, ΠΑΝΤΑ ΓΟΝΑΤΑ, Α ΟΥΚ ΩΚΛΑΣΑΝ ΤΩΝΥ ΤΩ ΒΑΛΛ ... 1Kin 19:18</p> <p>ΚΑΤΕΛΙΘΩΝ ΕΜΑΥΤΩ ΣΠΙΤΑΚΙΣ ΧΙΛΙΟΥΣ ΑΝΔΡΑΣ, ΟΙΤΙΝΕΣ ΟΥΚ ΕΚΑΜΨΑΝ ΤΩΝΥ ΤΩ ΒΑΛΛ. Rom 11:4</p> <p>ΤΑΔΕ ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ Ο ΘΕΟΣ ΤΩΝ ΔΥΝΑΜΕΩΝ Ο ΘΕΟΣ ΙΣΡΑΗΛ Α ΠΡΟΣΧΥΞΩ ΠΡΟΣ ΜΕ: ΠΕΡΙ ΣΕΝΝΑΧΡΙΜ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΩΣ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ, ΗΚΟΥΣΑ. 2Kin 19:20-35</p> <p>21ΟΥΤΟΣ Ο ΛΟΓΟΣ, ΟΝ ΕΛΑΛΗΣΕΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΕΠΙ ΑΥΤΟΝ: ΕΞΟΥΔΕΝΗΣΕΝ ΣΕ ΚΑΙ ΕΜΥΚΤΗΡΙΣΕΝ ΣΕ ΠΑΡΘΕΝΟΣ ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΣΙΩΝ, ΕΠΙ ΣΟΙ ΚΕΦΑΛΗΝ ΑΥΤΗΣ ΕΚΙΝΗΣΕΝ ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΙΕΡΟΥΣΑΛΗΜ. 22ΤΙΝΑ ΩΝΕΙΔΙΣΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΒΛΑΣΦΗΜΗΣΑΣ? ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΙΝΑ ΥΨΩΣΑΣ ΦΩΝΗΝ? ΚΑΙ ΗΡΑΣ ΕΙΣ ΥΨΟΣ ΤΟΥΣ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΥΣ ΣΟΥ ΕΙΣ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΙΟΝ ΤΟΥ ΙΣΡΑΗΛ. 23ΕΝ ΧΕΙΡΙ ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΣΟΥ ΩΝΕΙΔΙΣΑΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΑΣ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΠΛΗΘΕΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΡΜΑΤΩΝ ΜΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΑΝΑΒΗΣΟΜΑΙ ΕΙΣ ΥΨΟΣ ΟΡΕΩΝ, ΜΗΡΟΥΣ ΤΟΥ ΛΙΒΑΝΟΥ, ΚΑΙ ΕΚΟΨΑ ΤΟ ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ ΤΗΣ ΚΕΔΡΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΤΑ ΕΚΔΕΚΤΑ ΚΥΠΑΡΙΣΣΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΚΑΙ ΗΛΘΟΝ ΕΙΣ ΜΕΛΟΝ ΤΕΛΟΥΣ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΔΡΥΜΟΥ ΚΑΡΜΗΛΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ. 24ΕΓΩ ΕΨΥΞΑ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΟΝ ΥΔΑΤΑ ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΕΞΗΡΗΜΩΣΑ ΤΩ ΙΧΝΕΙ ΤΟΥ ΠΟΔΟΣ ΜΟΥ ΠΑΝΤΑΣ ΠΟΤΑΜΟΥΣ ΠΕΡΙΟΧΗΣ. 25ΕΠΛΑΣΑ ΑΥΤΗΝ, ΝΥΝ ΗΓΑΓΟΝ ΑΥΤΗΝ, ΚΑΙ ΕΓΕΝΗΘΗ ΕΙΣ ΕΠΑΡΣΕΙΣ ΑΠΟΙΚΕΣΙΩΝ ΜΑΧΙΜΩΝ, ΠΟΛΕΙΣ ΟΧΥΡΑΣ. 26ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΕΝΟΙΚΟΥΝΤΕΣ ΕΝ ΑΥΤΑΙΣ ΗΣΘΕΝΗΣΑΝ ΤΗ ΧΕΙΡΙ, ΕΠΤΗΞΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΑΤΗΧΥΝΟΗΣΑΝ, ΕΓΕΝΟΝΤΟ ΧΟΡΤΟΣ ΑΓΡΟΥ Η ΧΛΩΡΑ ΒΟΤΑΝΗ, ΧΛΟΗ ΔΩΜΑΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΤΗΜΑ ΑΠΕΝΑΝΤΙ ΕΣΤΗΚΟΤΟΣ. 27ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΚΑΘΕΔΡΑΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΕΞΟΔΟΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΕΙΣΟΔΟΝ ΣΟΥ ΕΓΝΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΝ ΘΥΜΟΝ ΣΟΥ ΕΠ' ΕΜΕ. 28ΔΙΑ ΤΟ ΟΡΓΙΣΘΗΝΑΙ ΣΕ ΕΠ' ΕΜΕ ΚΑΙ ΤΟ ΣΤΡΗΝΟΣ ΣΟΥ ΑΝΕΒΗ ΕΝ ΤΟΙΣ ΩΣΙΝ ΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΘΗΣΩ ΤΑ ΑΓΚΙΣΤΡΑ ΜΟΥ ΕΝ ΤΟΙΣ ΜΥΚΤΗΡΙΣΙΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΧΑΛΙΝΟΝ ΕΝ ΤΟΙΣ ΧΕΙΛΕΣΙΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΕΨΩ ΣΕ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΟΔΩ, Η ΗΛΘΕΣ ΕΝ ΑΥΤΗ. 29ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΣΟΙ ΤΟ ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ: ΦΑΓΗ ΤΟΥΤΟΝ ΤΟΝ ΕΝΙΑΥΤΟΝ ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΑ ΚΑΙ ΤΩ ΕΤΕΙ ΤΩ ΔΕΥΤΕΡΩ ΤΑ ΑΝΑΤΕΛΛΟΝΤΑ. ΚΑΙ ΕΤΙ ΤΡΙΤΩ ΣΠΟΡΑ ΚΑΙ ΑΜΗΤΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΦΥΤΕΙΑ ΑΜΠΕΛΩΝΩΝ, ΚΑΙ ΦΑΓΕΣΘΕ ΤΟΝ ΚΑΡΠΟΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ. 30ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΣΘΗΚΕΙ ΤΟ ΔΙΑΣΕΩΣΜΕΝΟΝ ΟΙΚΟΥ ΙΟΥΔΑ ΤΟ ΥΠΟΛΕΙΦΘΕΝ ΡΙΖΑΝ ΚΑΤΩ ΚΑΙ ΠΟΙΗΣΕΙ ΚΑΡΠΟΝ ΑΝΩ. 31ΟΤΙ ΕΞ ΙΕΡΟΥΣΑΛΗΜ ΕΞΕΛΕΥΣΕΤΑΙ ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΜΜΑ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΑΣΩΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ ΕΞ ΟΡΟΥΣ ΣΙΩΝ. Ο ΖΗΛΟΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΤΩΝ ΔΥΝΑΜΕΩΝ ΠΟΙΗΣΕΙ ΤΟΥΤΟ. 32ΟΥΧ ΟΥΤΩΣ. ΤΑΔΕ ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΠΡΟΣ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΑ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ: ΟΥΚ ΕΙΣΕΛΕΥΣΕΤΑΙ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΠΟΛΙΝ ΤΑΥΤΗΝ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΤΟΞΕΥΣΕΙ ΕΚΕΙ ΒΕΛΟΣ, ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΠΡΟΦΘΑΣΕΙ ΑΥΤΗΝ ΘΥΡΕΟΣ, ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΕΚΧΕΝ ΠΡΟΣ ΑΥΤΗΝ ΠΡΟΣΧΩΜΑ. 33ΤΗ ΟΔΩ, Η ΗΛΘΕΝ, ΕΝ ΑΥΤΗ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΑΦΗΣΕΤΑΙ ΚΑΙ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΠΟΛΙΝ ΤΑΥΤΗΝ ΟΥΚ ΕΙΣΕΛΕΥΣΕΤΑΙ, ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ. 34ΚΑΙ ΥΠΕΡΑΣΠΩ ΥΠΕΡ ΤΗΣ ΠΟΛΕΩΣ ΤΑΥΤΗΣ ΔΙ' ΕΜΕ ΚΑΙ ΔΙΑ ΔΑΥΙΔ ΤΟΝ ΔΟΥΛΟΝ ΜΟΥ. 35ΚΑΙ ΕΓΕΝΕΤΟ ΕΩΣ ΝΥΚΤΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΞΗΛΘΕΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΑΤΑΞΕΝ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΠΑΡΕΜΒΟΛΗ ΤΩΝ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ ΕΚΑΤΟΝ ΟΓΔΟΗΚΟΝΤΑ ΠΕΝΤΕ ΧΙΛΙΑΔΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΩΡΘΡΙΣΑΝ ΤΟ ΠΡΩΙ, ΚΑΙ ΙΔΟΥ ΠΑΝΤΕΣ ΣΩΜΑΤΑ ΝΕΚΡΑ. 36ΚΑΙ ΑΠΗΡΕΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΟΡΕΥΘΗ ΚΑΙ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΕΨΕΝ ΣΕΝΝΑΧΡΙΜ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΩΚΗΣΕΝ ΕΝ ΝΙΝΕΥΗ. 37ΚΑΙ ΕΓΕΝΕΤΟ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΠΡΟΣΚΥΝΟΥΝΤΟΣ ΕΝ ΟΙΚΩ ΝΕΣΕΡΑΧ ΘΕΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΔΡΑΜΕΛΕΧ ΚΑΙ ΣΑΡΑΣΑΡ ΟΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΕΠΑΤΑΞΑΝ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΕΝ ΜΑΧΑΙΡΑ ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΟΙ ΕΣΩΘΗΚΑΝ ΕΙΣ ΓΗΝ ΑΡΑΡΑΤ. ΚΑΙ ΕΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣΕΝ ΑΣΟΡΔΑΝ Ο ΥΙΟΣ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΑΝΤ' ΑΥΤΟΥ.</p> <p>21ΤΑΔΕ ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ Ο ΘΕΟΣ ΙΣΡΑΗΛ, ΗΚΟΥΣΑ Α ΠΡΟΣΧΥΞΩ ΠΡΟΣ ΜΕ ΠΕΡΙ ΣΕΝΝΑΧΡΙΜ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΩΣ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ. 22ΟΥΤΟΣ Ο ΛΟΓΟΣ, ΟΝ ΕΛΑΛΗΣΕΝ ΠΕΡΙ ΑΥΤΟΥ Ο ΘΕΟΣ: ΕΦΑΥΔΙΣΕΝ ΣΕ ΚΑΙ ΕΜΥΚΤΗΡΙΣΕΝ ΣΕ ΠΑΡΘΕΝΟΣ ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΣΙΩΝ, ΕΠΙ ΣΟΙ ΚΕΦΑΛΗΝ ΕΚΙΝΗΣΕΝ ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΙΕΡΟΥΣΑΛΗΜ. 23ΤΙΝΑ ΩΝΕΙΔΙΣΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΡΩΞΥΝΑΣ? Η ΠΡΟΣ ΤΙΝΑ ΥΨΩΣΑΣ ΤΗΝ ΦΩΝΗΝ ΣΟΥ? ΚΑΙ ΟΥΚ ΗΡΑΣ ΕΙΣ ΥΨΟΣ ΤΟΥΣ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΥΣ ΣΟΥ ΕΙΣ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΙΟΝ ΤΟΥ ΙΣΡΑΗΛ. 24ΟΤΙ ΔΙ' ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΩΝΕΙΔΙΣΑΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΝ. ΣΥ ΓΑΡ ΕΠΙΑΣ: ΤΩ ΠΛΗΘΕΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΡΜΑΤΩΝ ΕΓΩ ΑΝΕΒΗΝ ΕΙΣ ΥΨΟΣ ΟΡΕΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΙΣ ΤΑ ΕΣΧΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΛΙΒΑΝΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΚΟΨΑ ΤΟ ΥΨΟΣ ΤΗΣ ΚΕΔΡΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΟ ΚΑΛΛΟΣ ΤΗΣ ΚΥΠΑΡΙΣΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΙΣΗΛΘΟΝ ΕΙΣ ΥΨΟΣ ΜΕΡΟΥΣ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΥΜΟΥ. 25ΚΑΙ ΕΘΗΚΑ ΓΕΦΥΡΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΗΡΗΜΩΣΑ ΥΔΑΤΑ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΣΑΝ ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΗΝ ΥΔΑΤΟΣ. 26ΟΥ ΤΑΥΤΑ ΗΚΟΥΣΑΣ ΠΑΛΑΙ, Α ΕΓΩ ΕΠΟΙΗΣΑ? ΕΞ ΑΡΧΑΙΩΝ ΗΜΕΡΩΝ ΣΥΝΕΤΑΞΑ, ΝΥΝ ΔΕ ΕΠΕΔΕΙΞΑ ΕΞΕΡΗΜΩΣΑΙ ΕΘΝΗ ΕΝ ΟΧΥΡΟΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΝΟΙΚΟΥΝΤΑΣ ΕΝ ΠΟΛΕΣΙΝ ΟΧΥΡΑΙΣ. 27ΑΝΗΚΑ ΤΑΣ ΧΕΙΡΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΞΗΡΑΝΘΗΣΑΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΓΕΝΟΝΤΟ ΩΣ ΧΟΡΤΟΣ ΞΗΡΟΣ ΕΠΙ ΔΩΜΑΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΩΣ ΑΓΡΩΣΤΙΣ. 28ΝΥΝ ΔΕ ΤΗΝ ΑΝΑΠΑΥΣΙΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΕΞΟΔΟΝ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΕΙΣΟΔΟΝ ΣΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΕΠΙΣΤΑΜΑΙ. 29Ο ΔΕ ΘΥΜΟΣ ΣΟΥ, ΟΝ ΕΘΥΜΩΘΗΣ, ΚΑΙ Η ΠΙΚΡΙΑ ΣΟΥ ΑΝΕΒΗ ΠΡΟΣ ΜΕ, ΚΑΙ ΕΜΒΑΛΩ ΦΙΜΟΝ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΡΙΝΑ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΧΑΛΙΝΟΝ ΕΙΣ ΤΑ ΧΕΙΛΗ ΣΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΕΨΩ ΣΕ ΤΗ ΟΔΩ, Η ΗΛΘΕΣ ΕΝ ΑΥΤΗ. 30ΤΟΥΤΟ ΔΕ ΣΟΙ ΤΟ ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ. ΦΑΓΕ ΤΟΥΤΟΝ ΤΟΝ ΕΝΙΑΥΤΟΝ Α ΕΣΠΑΡΚΑΣ, ΤΩ ΔΕ ΕΝΙΑΥΤΩ ΤΩ ΔΕΥΤΕΡΩ ΤΟ ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΜΜΑ, ΤΩ ΔΕ ΤΡΙΤΩ ΣΠΕΙΡΑΝΤΕΣ ΑΜΗΣΑΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΦΥΤΕΥΣΑΤΕ ΑΜΠΕΛΩΝΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΦΑΓΕΣΘΕ ΤΟΝ ΚΑΡΠΟΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ. 31ΚΑΙ ΕΣΟΝΤΑΙ ΟΙ ΚΑΤΑΔΕΛΕΙΜΜΕΝΟΙ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΙΟΥΔΑΙΑ ΦΥΗΣΟΥΣΙΝ ΡΙΖΑΝ ΚΑΤΩ ΚΑΙ ΠΟΙΗΣΟΥΣΙΝ ΣΠΕΡΜΑ ΑΝΩ. 32ΟΤΙ ΕΞ ΙΕΡΟΥΣΑΛΗΜ ΕΞΕΛΕΥΣΟΝΤΑΙ ΟΙ ΚΑΤΑΔΕΛΕΙΜΜΕΝΟΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΣΩΖΟΜΕΝΟΙ ΕΞ ΟΡΟΥΣ ΣΙΩΝ. Ο ΖΗΛΟΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΣΑΒΑΩΘ ΠΟΙΗΣΕΙ ΤΑΥΤΑ. 33ΔΙΑ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΟΥΤΩΣ ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΕΠΙ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΑ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ: ΟΥ ΜΗ ΕΙΣΕΛΘΗ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΠΟΛΙΝ ΤΑΥΤΗΝ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΗ ΒΑΛΗ ΕΠ' ΑΥΤΗΝ ΒΕΛΟΣ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΗ ΕΠΙΒΑΛΗ ΕΠ' ΑΥΤΗΝ ΘΥΡΕΟΝ ΟΥΔΕ ΜΗ ΚΥΚΛΩΣΗ ΕΠ' ΑΥΤΗΝ ΧΑΡΑΚΑ, 34ΑΛΛΑ ΤΗ ΟΔΩ, Η ΗΛΘΕΝ, ΕΝ ΑΥΤΗ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΑΦΗΣΕΤΑΙ. ΤΑΔΕ ΛΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ: 35ΥΠΕΡΑΣΠΩ ΥΠΕΡ ΤΗΣ ΠΟΛΕΩΣ ΤΑΥΤΗΣ ΤΟΥ ΣΩΣΑΙ ΑΥΤΗΝ ΔΙ' ΕΜΕ ΚΑΙ ΔΙΑ ΔΑΥΙΔ ΤΟΝ ΠΑΙΔΑ ΜΟΥ. 36ΚΑΙ ΕΞΗΛΘΕΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΕΙΔΕΝ ΕΚ ΤΗΣ ΠΑΡΕΜΒΟΛΗΣ ΤΩΝ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ ΕΚΑΤΟΝ ΟΓΔΟΗΚΟΝΤΑ ΠΕΝΤΕ ΧΙΛΙΑΔΑΣ, ΚΑΙ ΕΞΑΝΑΚΤΑΝΤΕΣ ΤΟ ΠΡΩΙ ΕΥΡΟΝ ΠΑΝΤΑ ΤΑ ΣΩΜΑΤΑ ΝΕΚΡΑ. 37ΚΑΙ ΑΠΟΣΤΡΑΦΕΙΣ ΑΠΗΛΘΕΝ ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΑΣΣΥΡΙΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΩΚΗΣΕΝ ΕΝ ΝΙΝΕΥΗ. 38ΚΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΠΡΟΣΚΥΝΕΙΝ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΟΙΚΩ ΝΑΣΑΡΑΧ ΤΟΝ ΠΑΤΑΧΡΟΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ, ΑΔΡΑΜΕΛΕΧ ΚΑΙ ΣΑΡΑΣΑΡ ΟΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΕΠΑΤΑΞΑΝ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΜΑΧΑΙΡΑΙΣ, ΑΥΤΟΙ ΔΕ ΔΙΕΣΩΘΗΚΑΝ ΕΙΣ ΑΡΜΕΝΙΑΝ. ΚΑΙ ΕΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣΕΝ ΑΣΟΡΔΑΝ Ο ΥΙΟΣ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΑΝΤ' ΑΥΤΟΥ.</p>
<p>EZRA ΕΣΔΡΑΣ esdras</p> <p>-</p>	
<p>NEHEMIAH (1x) ΝΕΕΜΙΑΣ Neemias</p> <p>ΚΑΙ ΑΡΤΟΝ ΕΞ ΟΥΡΑΝΟΥ ΕΔΩΚΑΣ ΑΥΤΟΙΣ ... Neh 9:15</p> <p>ΑΡΤΟΝ ΕΚ ΤΟΥ ΟΥΡΑΝΟΥ ΕΔΩΚΕΝ ΑΥΤΟΙΣ ΦΑΓΕΙΝ. Joh 6:31</p>	
<p>ESTHER ΕΣΘΗΡ esther</p> <p>-</p>	



Book / Comments	Scripture / References			
<p>Prophets 57 Quoted Verses</p> <p>JEREMIAH (6x) ΙΕΡΕΜΙΑΣ jeremias</p> <p>Quoted 2 times.</p> <p>Quoted 2 times.</p> <p>Longest virtually matching passage; in itself proof for the superiority of the Greek OT over the Proto-Masoretic text</p>	<p>... ΘΦΘΑΛΜΟΙ ΑΥΤΟΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΒΛΕΠΟΥΣΙΝ, ΩΤΑ ΑΥΤΟΙΣ ΚΑΙ ΟΥΚ ΑΚΟΥΟΥΣΙΝ. <i>Jer 5:21</i></p> <p>ΘΦΘΑΛΜΟΥΣ ΕΧΟΝΤΕΣ ΟΥ ΒΛΕΠΕΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΩΤΑ ΕΧΟΝΤΕΣ ΟΥΚ ΑΚΟΥΕΤΕ. <i>Mar 8:18</i></p> <p>ΜΗ ΣΤΗΛΑΙΟΜΕΝ ΑΥΤΟΥΣ Ο ΟΙΚΟΣ ΜΟΥ ... <i>Jer 7:11</i></p> <p>ΥΜΕΙΣ ΔΕ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΠΟΙΕΙΤΕ ΣΤΗΛΑΙΟΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ. <i>Mat 21:13</i></p> <p>ΥΜΕΙΣ ΔΕ ΠΕΠΟΙΗΚΑΤΕ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΣΤΗΛΑΙΟΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ. <i>Mar 11:17</i></p> <p>ΥΜΕΙΣ ΔΕ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΕΠΟΙΗCΑΤΕ ΣΤΗΛΑΙΟΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ. <i>Luk 19:46</i></p> <p>ΑΛΛ' Η ΕΝ ΤΟΥΤΩ ΚΑΥΧΑΣΘΩ Ο ΚΑΥΧΩΜΕΝΟΣ, CΥΝΙΕΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΓΙΝΩCΚΕΙΝ ΟΤΙ ΕΓΩ ΕΙΜΙ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ... <i>Jer 9:23</i></p> <p>Ο ΚΑΥΧΩΜΕΝΟΣ ΕΝ ΚΥΡΙΩ ΚΑΥΧΑΣΘΩ. <i>1Cor 1:31</i></p> <p>Ο ΔΕ ΚΑΥΧΩΜΕΝΟΣ ΕΝ ΚΥΡΙΩ ΚΑΥΧΑΣΘΩ. <i>2Cor 10:17</i></p> <p>ΕΓΩ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΕΤΑΖΩΝ ΚΑΡΔΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΔΟΚΙΜΑΖΩΝ ΣΕΡΒΟΥΣ ΤΟΥ ΔΕΙΗΝΑΙ ΚΑΚΑ ΤΩ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΑΥΤΟ ΟΔΟΥC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥC ΚΑΡΠΟΥC ΤΩΝ ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΜΑΤΩΝ ΑΥΤΟΥ. <i>Jer 17:10</i></p> <p>... ΕΓΩ ΕΙΜΙ Ο ΕΡΑΥΝΩΝ ΣΕΡΒΟΥC ΚΑΙ ΚΑΡΔΙΑC, ΚΑΙ ΔΕΙΩ Θ' ΥΜΙΝ ΚΑΚΑ ΤΩ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗ ΕΡΤΑ ΥΜΩΝ. <i>Rev 2:23</i></p> <p>... ΦΩΝΗ ΕΝ ΡΑΜΑ ΗΚΟΥCΘΗ ΘΡΗΝΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΚΛΑΥΘΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΟΔΥΡΜΟΥ. ΡΑΧΗΛ ΔΠΟΚΛΑΙΟΜΕΝΗ ΟΥΚ ΗΘΕΛΕΝ ΠΑΥCΑCΘΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΙC ΥΙΟΙC ΑΥΤΗC, ΟΤΙ ΟΥΚ ΕΙCΙΝ. <i>Jer 38:15</i></p> <p>ΦΩΝΗ ΕΝ ΡΑΜΑ ΗΚΟΥCΘΗ, ΚΛΑΥΘΜΟC ΚΑΙ ΟΔΥΡΜΟC ΠΟΛΥC. ΡΑΧΗΛ ΚΛΑΙΟΥCΑ ΤΑ ΤΕΚΝΑ ΑΥΤΗC, ΚΑΙ ΟΥΚ ΗΘΕΛΕΝ ΠΑΡΑΚΛΗΘΗΝΑΙ, ΟΤΙ ΟΥΚ ΕΙCΙΝ. <i>Mat 2:18</i></p> <p>31 ΑΥΤΗ ΗΜΕΡΑ ΓΡΑΦΕΤΑΙ ΦΩCΙΝ ΚΥΡΙΟC, ΚΑΙ ΔΙΑΘΗCΟΜΑΙ ΤΗ ΟΙΚΩ ΙCΡΑΗΛ ΚΑΙ ΤΗ ΟΙΚΩ ΤΩΝ ΔΑ ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗC ΚΑΙΝΗC, ΜΟΥ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗΝ, ΗΝ ΔΙΕΘΕΜΗΝ ΤΟΙC ΠΑΤΕΡCΙC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΕΝ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ CΤΗΛΑΙΟΜΕΝΟΥ ΜΟΥ ΤΗC ΧΕΙΡΟC ΑΥΤΩΝ CΤΑΙΟΜΕΝ ΑΥΤΟΥC ΕΚ ΤΗC ΑΓ'ΥΠΤΟΥ, ΟΤΙ ΑΥΤΟΙ ΟΥΚ ΕΝΕΜΕΝΑΝ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ ΜΟΥ, ΚΑΙ ΕΓΩ ΗΜΕΙC Α ΑΥΤΩΝ. ΦΩCΙΝ ΚΥΡΙΟC. ΒΛΗ ΑΥΤΗ Η ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ, ΗΝ ΔΙΕΘΗCΘΑΙ ΤΗ ΟΙΚΩ ΙCΡΑΗΛ ΜΕΤΑ ΤΑC ΗΜΕΡΑC ΕΚΕΙΝΑC, ΦΩCΙΝ ΚΥΡΙΟC. ΔΙΔΟΥC ΔΦΩC ΝΟΜΟΥC ΜΟΥ ΕΙC ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΚΑΡΔΙΑC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΓΡΑΦΩ ΑΥΤΟΥC. ΚΑΙ ΕΓΩΜΑΙ ΑΥΤΩC ΕΙC ΘΕΟΥC, ΚΑΙ ΑΥΤΗ ΕCΘΑΙ ΜΟΥ ΕΙC ΔΕΟΥC. 32 ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΔΙΔΑCΘΕΙΝ ΕΚ ΑΤΟΚ ΤΩΝ ΘΕΩΤΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΚ ΑΤΟΚ ΤΩΝ ΑΔΕΛΦΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΔΕΙΞΕΙΝ ΤΩΝ ΚΥΡΙΩΝ, ΟΤΙ ΠΑΝΤΕC ΕΙΔΕΘΟΥCΙΝ ΜΕ ΑΠΟ ΜΙΚΡΟΥ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΟΥΚ ΜΕΤΑΛΟΥ ΑΥΤΩΝ, ΟΤΙ ΕΓΩΜΑΙ ΤΑC ΑΔΙΚΙΑC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΜΗCΘΩ ΕΤΙ. <i>Jer 38:31-34</i></p> <p>33 ΟΤΙ ΑΥΤΗ Η ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ, ΗΝ ΔΙΑΘΗCΟΜΑΙ ΤΩ ΟΙΚΩ ΙCΡΑΗΛ ΜΕΤΑ ΤΑC ΗΜΕΡΑC ΕΚΕΙΝΑC, ΦΩCΙΝ ΚΥΡΙΟC. ΔΙΔΟΥC ΔΦΩC ΝΟΜΟΥC ΜΟΥ ΕΙC ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΚΑΡΔΙΑC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΓΡΑΦΩ ΑΥΤΟΥC. [...] ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΜΗCΘΩ ΕΤΙ. <i>Jer 38:33-34</i></p> <p>16 ΑΥΤΗ Η ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ ΗΝ ΔΙΑΘΗCΟΜΑΙ ΠΡΟC ΑΥΤΟΥC ΜΕΤΑ ΤΑC ΗΜΕΡΑC ΕΚΕΙΝΑC. ΔΕΓΕΙ ΚΥΡΙΟC. ΔΙΔΟΥC ΝΟΜΟΥC ΜΟΥ ΕΠΙ ΚΑΡΔΙΑC ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΕΠΙΓΡΑΦΩ ΑΥΤΟΥC, 17 ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΑΝΟΜΙΩΝ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΜΗCΘΩΘΗCΟΜΑΙ ΕΤΙ. <i>Heb 10:16-17 (partly inverted)</i></p> <p>Original text not found; probably removed from the codices available to us, being one of the most specific prophecies in regards of IESOUS' death & resurrection. <i>Jer ?</i></p> <p>ΚΑΙ ΕΛΑΘΩΝ ΤΑ ΤΡΑΦΩΔΙΑ ΑΠ' ΥΡΡΑ, ΤΗΝ ΤΙΜΗΝ ΤΟΥ ΤΕΤΙΜΗΜΕΝΟΥ ΟΝ ΕΤΙΜΗCΑΝΤΟ ΑΠΟ ΥΙΩΝ ΙCΡΑΗΛ, 10 ΚΑΙ ΕΔΩΚΑΝ ΑΥΤΑ ΕΙC ΤΟΝ ΑΓΡΟΝ ΤΟΥ ΚΕΡΑΜΕΩC, ΚΑΘΑ CΥΝΕΤΑΞΕΝ ΜΟΙ ΚΥΡΙΟC. <i>Mat 27:9</i></p>			
	<p>LAMENTATIONS ΘΡΗΝΟΙ threnoi</p>	<p>-</p>		
	<p>EZEKIEL ΙΕΖΕΚΙΗΛ iezekiel</p>	<p>-</p>		
	<p>DANIEL ΔΑΝΙΗΛ daniel</p>	<p>-</p>		
	<p>Prophets (Minor) 21 Quoted Verses</p> <p>HOSEA (6x) ΩCΗΕ hosee</p> <p>Quoted 2 times.</p>	<p>... ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΤΟΠΩ, ΟΥ ΕΡΡΕΘΗ ΑΥΤΟΙC ΟΥ ΛΑΟC ΜΟΥ ΥΜΕΙC, ΕΚΕΙ ΚΛΗΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΘΕΟΥ ΖΩΝΤΟC. <i>Hos 2:1 (Hos 1:10)</i></p> <p>ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΤΟΠΩ ΟΥ ΕΡΡΕΘΗ ΑΥΤΟΙC. ΟΥ ΛΑΟC ΜΟΥ ΥΜΕΙC, ΕΚΕΙ ΚΛΗΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΘΕΟΥ ΖΩΝΤΟC. <i>Rom 9:26</i></p> <p>... ΕΡΩ ΤΗCΟΥ ΔΑΔ ΜΟΥ ΔΑΟC ΜΗΤ' ΕΙ CΥ, ΚΑΙ ... <i>Hos 2:25(23)</i></p> <p>ΚΑΛΕCΩ ΤΗCΟΥ ΔΑΔ ΜΟΥ ΔΑΟC ΜΗΤ' ΚΑΙ ... <i>Rom 9:25</i></p> <p>ΔΙΟΤΙ ΕΛΕΟC ΘΕΩΔ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΘΥCΙΑΝ ... <i>Hos 6:6</i></p> <p>... ΕΛΕΟC ΘΕΩΔ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΘΥCΙΑΝ. <i>Mat 9:13</i></p> <p>... ΚΑΙ ΕΡΟΥCΙΝ ΤΩC ΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΚΑΔΥΡΑΤΕ ΘΜΑC, ΚΑΙ ΤΩC ΘΩΤΩΟC ΠΕCΑΤΕ ΘΡΩΠΩC. <i>Hos 10:8</i></p> <p>ΤΟΤΕ ΑΡΞΟΝΤΑΙ ΛΕΓΕΙΝ ΤΩC ΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΠΕCΕΤΕ ΘΡΩΠΩC, ΚΑΙ ΤΩC ΘΩΤΩΟC ΚΑΔΥΡΑΤΕ ΘΜΑC. <i>Luk 23:30</i></p> <p>... ΕΞ ΑΙΓΥΠΤΟΥ ΜΕΤΕΚΑΛΕCΑ ΤΑ ΤΕΚΝΑ ΑΥΤΟΥ <i>Hos 11:1</i></p> <p>ΕΞ ΑΙΓΥΠΤΟΥ ΕΚΑΛΕCΑ ΤΟΝ ΥΙΟΝ ΜΟΥ. <i>Mat 2:15</i></p> <p>ΜΗ Η ΔΙΚΗ CΥ, ΘΑΝΑΤΕ ΤΟ ΝΙΚΟC? ΜΗ CΥ, ΘΑΝΑΤΕ, ΤΟ ΚΕΝΤΡΟΝ? <i>Hos 13:14</i></p> <p>ΜΗ CΥ, ΘΑΝΑΤΕ, ΤΟ ΝΙΚΟC? ΜΗ CΥ, ΘΑΝΑΤΕ, ΤΟ ΚΕΝΤΡΟΝ? <i>1Cor 15:55</i></p>		
		<p>JOEL (2x) ΙΟΥΗΛ ioel</p>	<p>1ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΜΕΤΑ ΤΑΥΤΑ ΚΑΙ ΕΚΧΕΘ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟC ΜΟΥ ΕΠΙ ΠΑCΑΝ CΑΡΚΑ, ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΕΥCΟΥCΙΝ ΟΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΑΙ ΘΥΓΑΤΕΡΕC ΥΜΩΝ, ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΠΡΕCΒΥΤΕΡΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΕΝΥΠΝΙΑ ΕΝΥΠΝΙΑC ΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ, ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΝΕΑΝΙCΚΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΘΡΑCΕΙC ΟΡΟΝΤΑΙ. 2ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΥC ΔΟΥΛΟΥC ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΑC ΔΟΥΛΑC ΕΝ ΤΑΙC ΗΜΕΡΑΙC ΕΚΕΙΝΑΙC ΕΚΧΕΘ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟC ΜΟΥ. 3ΚΑΙ ΔΩCΩ ΤΕΡΑΤΑ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΟΥΡΑΝΩ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΗC ΓΗC, ΑΙΜΑ ΚΑΙ ΠΥΡ ΚΑΙ ΑΓΜΙΔΑ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ. 4Ο ΗΛΙΟC ΜΕΤΑCΤΡΑΦΗCΕΤΑΙ ΕΙC CΚΟΤΟC ΚΑΙ Η CΕΛΗΝΗ ΕΙC ΑΙΜΑ ΠΡΙΝ ΕΛΘΕΙΝ ΗΜΕΡΑΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΤΗΝ ΜΕΓΑΛΗΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΦΑΝΗ. 5ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΠΑC, ΟC ΑΝ ΕΠΗΚΑΛΕCΗΤΑΙ ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ, CΩΘΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Joe 3:1-5</i></p> <p>17ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΑΙC ΕCΧΑΤΑΙC ΗΜΕΡΑΙC, ΔΕΓΕΙ Ο ΘΕΟC. ΕΚΧΕΘ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟC ΜΟΥ ΕΠΙ ΠΑCΑΝ CΑΡΚΑ, ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΕΥCΟΥCΙΝ ΟΙ ΥΙΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΑΙ ΘΥΓΑΤΕΡΕC ΥΜΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΝΕΑΝΙCΚΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΘΡΑCΕΙC ΟΡΟΝΤΑΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΙ ΠΡΕCΒΥΤΕΡΟΙ ΥΜΩΝ ΕΝΥΠΝΙΑC ΕΝΥΠΝΙΑC ΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ. 18ΚΑΙ ΓΕ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΥC ΔΟΥΛΟΥC ΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΑC ΔΟΥΛΑC ΜΟΥ ΕΝ ΤΑΙC ΗΜΕΡΑΙC ΕΚΕΙΝΑΙC ΕΚΧΕΘ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟC ΜΟΥ, ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΕΥCΟΥCΙΝ. 19ΚΑΙ ΔΩCΩ ΤΕΡΑΤΑ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΟΥΡΑΝΩ ΑΝΩ ΚΑΙ CΗΜΕΙΑ ΕΠΙ ΤΗC ΓΗC. ΚΑΤΩ ΑΙΜΑ ΚΑΙ ΠΥΡ ΚΑΙ ΑΓΜΙΔΑ ΚΑΠΝΟΥ. 20Ο ΗΛΙΟC ΜΕΤΑCΤΡΑΦΗCΕΤΑΙ ΕΙC CΚΟΤΟC ΚΑΙ Η CΕΛΗΝΗ ΕΙC ΑΙΜΑ, ΠΡΙΝ ΕΛΘΕΙΝ ΗΜΕΡΑΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ ΤΗΝ ΜΕΓΑΛΗΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΦΑΝΗ. 21ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΠΑC ΟC ΑΝ ΕΠΗΚΑΛΕCΗΤΑΙ ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ CΩΘΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Act 2:17</i></p> <p>ΚΑΙ ΕCΤΑΙ ΠΑC, ΟC ΑΝ ΕΠΗΚΑΛΕCΗΤΑΙ ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ, CΩΘΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Joe 3:5</i></p> <p>ΠΑC ΓΑΡ ΟC ΑΝ ΕΠΗΚΑΛΕCΗΤΑΙ ΤΟ ΟΝΟΜΑ ΚΥΡΙΟΥ CΩΘΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Rom 10:13</i></p>	
			<p>AMOS (2x) ΑΜΩC amos</p>	<p>25ΜΗ CΦΑΓΤΑ ΚΑΙ ΘΥCΙΑC ΠΡΟCΗΓΕΚΑΤΕ ΜΟΙ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΕΡΗΜΩ ΤΕCCΑΡΑΚΟΝΤΑ ΕΤΗ, ΟΙΚΟC ΙCΡΑΗΛ? 26ΚΑΙ ΑΝΕΛΑΒΕΤΕ ΤΗΝ CΚΗΝΗΝ ΤΟΥ ΜΟΛΟΧ ΚΑΙ ΤΟ ΑCΤΡΟΝ ΤΟΥ ΘΕΟΥ ΥΜΩΝ ΡΑΦΑΝ, ΤΟΥC ΤΥΠΟΥC ΑΥΤΩΝ, ΟΥC ΕΠΟΙΗCΑΤΕ ΕΑΥΤΟΙC. 27ΚΑΙ ΜΕΤΟΙΚΙΩ ΥΜΑC ΕΠΕΚΕΙΝΑ ΔΑΜΑCΚΟΥ ... <i>Amo 5:25-27</i></p> <p>ΜΗ CΦΑΓΤΑ ΚΑΙ ΘΥCΙΑC ΠΡΟCΗΓΕΚΑΤΕ ΜΟΙ ΕΤΗ ΤΕCCΑΡΑΚΟΝΤΑ ΕΝ ΤΗ ΕΡΗΜΩ, ΟΙΚΟC ΙCΡΑΗΛ? 43ΚΑΙ ΑΝΕΛΑΒΕΤΕ ΤΗΝ CΚΗΝΗΝ ΤΟΥ ΜΟΛΟΧ ΚΑΙ ΤΟ ΑCΤΡΟΝ ΤΟΥ ΘΕΟΥ [ΥΜΩΝ] ΡΑΦΑΝ, ΤΟΥC ΤΥΠΟΥC ΟΥC ΕΠΟΙΗCΑΤΕ ΠΡΟCΚΥΝΕΙΝ ΑΥΤΟΙC, ΚΑΙ ΜΕΤΟΙΚΙΩ ΥΜΑC ΕΠΕΚΕΙΝΑ ΒΑΒΥΛΩΝΟC. <i>Act 7:42-43</i></p> <p>... ΚΑΙ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝΩCΙC ΤΑ ΠΕΤΗΘΩΤΑ ΑΥΤΗC ΚΑΙ ΤΑ ΕΠΕCΚΑΜΜΕΝΑ ΑΥΤΗC ΔΡΑCΤΗCΩC ΚΑΙ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝΩCΙC ΑΥΤΗC ΚΛΩΘC ΑΙ ΗΜΕΡΑΙ ΤΟΥ ΑΙΩΝΟC, ΕΠΙΘΗCΕ ΤΑ ΠΕΤΗΘΩΤΑ ΕΝ ΟΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΩ ΤΩΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΝΤΑ ΤΑ ΕΠΙΘΗCΕ ΕΝ ΟΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΩ ΤΩΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ. Ο ΘΕΟC Ο ΠΙΘΩΝ ΤΑΥΤΑ. <i>Amo 9:11-12</i></p> <p>... ΚΑΙ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝΩCΙC ΤΗΝ CΚΗΝΗΝ ΔΑΥΙΔ ΤΩΝ ΠΕΤΗΘΩΤΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΑ ΕΠΕCΚΑΜΜΕΝΑ ΑΥΤΗC ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝΩCΙC ΚΑΙ ΑΝΟΡΘΩCΩC ΑΥΤΗC, ΕΠΙΘΗCΕ ΑΝ ΕΚ ΤΗC ΕΚCΗC ΕΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΩ ΤΩΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΤΟΝ ΚΥΡΙΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΝΤΑ ΤΑ ΕΠΙΘΗCΕ ΕΝ ΟΚΑΤΑΛΟΓΩ ΤΩΝ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ. Ο ΘΕΟC Ο ΠΙΘΩΝ ΤΑΥΤΑ. <i>Act 15:16-17</i></p>
				<p>OBADIAH ΑΒΔΙΟΥ abdiou</p>
		<p>JONAH ΙΟΝΑC ionas</p>	<p>-</p>	
		<p>MICAH (2x) ΜΙΧΑΙΑC michaia</p>	<p>ΚΑΙ CΥ, ΒΗΘΛΕΕΜ ΟΙΚΟC ΤΟΥ ΒΦΡΑΘΑ, ΟΛΙΓΟCΤΟC ΕΙ ΤΟΥ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΕΝ ΧΙΛΙΑCΙΝ ΙΟΥΔΑ. ΕΚ CΟΥ ΜΟΙ ΕΞΕΛΕΥCΕΤΑΙ ΤΟΥ ΕΙΝΑΙ ΕΙC ΑΡΧΩΝΤΑ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΙCΡΑΗΛ, ΚΑΙ ΑΙ ΕΞΟΔΟΙ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΑΠ ΑΡΧΗC ΕΞ ΜΕΡΩΝ ΑΙΩΝΟC. <i>Mic 5:1</i></p> <p>ΚΑΙ CΥ ΒΗΘΛΕΕΜ, ΓΗ ΙΟΥΔΑ, ΟΥ ΔΑΜΩC ΕΛΑΧΙCΤΗ ΕΙ ΕΝ ΤΟΙC ΗΓΕΜΟCΙΝ ΙΟΥΔΑ. ΕΚ CΟΥ ΓΑΡ ΕΞΕΛΕΥCΕΤΑΙ ΗΓΟΥΜΕΝΟC, ΟCΤΙC ΠΟΙΜΑΝΕΙ ΤΟΝ ΛΑΟΝ ΜΟΥ ΤΟΝ ΙCΡΑΗΛ. <i>Mat 2:6</i></p> <p>6ΔΙΟΤΙ ΥΙΟC ΑΤΙΜΑΖΕΙ ΠΑΤΕΡΑ, ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΕΠΑΝΑΧΤΗCΕΤΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΗC ΒΗΤΛΕΑ ΑΥΤΗC, ΥΙΟΝ ΕΠΙ ΤΗΝ ΠΕΘΡΩΝ ΑΥΤΗC, ΕΠΙΘΗCΕ ΑΝΔΡΟC ΠΑΝΤΕC Η ΑΝΔΡΕC ΟΙ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΟΥΡΑΝΩ ΑΥΤΩΝ. <i>Mic 7:6</i></p> <p>... ΔΙΧΑCΑΙ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΩΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΠΑΤΕΡΑ ΑΥΤΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡΑ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗC ΒΗΤΛΕΑ ΑΥΤΗC ΚΑΙ ΥΙΟΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΤΗC ΠΕΘΡΩC ΑΥΤΗC. 36ΚΑΙ ΔΕΘΡΩC ΤΟΥ ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΥ ΟΙ ΟΙΚΟΚΟΙ ΑΥΤΩΝ. <i>Mat 10:35-36</i></p>	
			<p>NAHUM ΝΑΟΥΜ Naoum</p>	<p>-</p>
			<p>HABAKKUK (2x) ΑΜΒΑΚΟΥΜ ambakoum</p>	<p>1ΔΕΤΕ, ΟΙ ΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΗΤΑΙ, ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΑΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΘΑΥΜΑCΑΤΕ ΘΑΥΜΑCΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΑΦΑΝΙCΘΗΤΕ, ΔΙΟΤΙ ΕΡΓΟΝ ΕΓΩ ΕΡΙΑΖΟΜΑΙ ΕΝ ΤΑΙC ΗΜΕΡΑΙC ΥΜΩΝ, Ο ΟΥ ΜΗ ΠΙCΤΕΥCΗΤΕ ΔΙΟ ΠΙC ΕΚΔΗΓΗΤΑΙ. <i>Hab 1:5</i></p> <p>1ΔΕΤΕ, ΟΙ ΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΗΤΑΙ, ΚΑΙ ΘΑΥΜΑCΑΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΑΦΑΝΙCΘΗΤΕ, ΟΤΙ ΕΡΓΟΝ ΕΡΙΑΖΟΜΑΙ ΕΓΩ ΕΝ ΤΑΙC ΗΜΕΡΑΙC ΥΜΩΝ. ΕΡΓΟΝ Ο ΟΥ ΜΗ ΠΙCΤΕΥCΗΤΕ ΕΑΝ ΤΙC ΕΚΔΗΓΗΤΑΙ ΥΜΙΝ. <i>Act 13:41</i></p> <p>... ΕΡΩΘΗΜΕΝΟC ΗΞΕΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΜΗ ΠΡΟCΘΗCΕ. 44ΑΝ ΥΠΕCΤΗΛΑΙΟΙ ΟΥΚ ΕΥΔΟΚΕΙ Η ΨΥΧΗ ΜΟΥ ΕΝ ΑΥΤΩ. Ο ΔΕ ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΕΚ ΠΙCΤΕΩC ΜΟΥ ΖΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Hab 2:3-4</i></p> <p>... ΕΡΩΘΗΜΕΝΟC ΗΞΕΙ ΚΑΙ ΟΥ ΧΡΗCΘΕΙ. 38 Ο ΔΕ ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΜΟΥ ΕΚ ΠΙCΤΕΩC ΖΗCΕΤΑΙ, ΚΑΙ ΕΑΝ ΥΠΟCΤΗΛΑΙΟΙ ΟΥΚ ΕΥΔΟΚΕΙ Η ΨΥΧΗ ΜΟΥ ΕΝ ΑΥΤΩ. Ο ΔΕ ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΕΚ ΠΙCΤΕΩC ΜΟΥ ΖΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Hab 2:4</i></p> <p>Ο ΔΕ ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΕΚ ΠΙCΤΕΩC ΖΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Rom 1:17</i></p> <p>... Ο ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΕΚ ΠΙCΤΕΩC ΖΗCΕΤΑΙ. <i>Gal 3:11</i></p>
		<p>ZEPHANIAH CΟΦΟΝΙΑC sophonias</p>		<p>-</p>
		<p>HAGGAI (1x) ΑΓΓΑΙΟC angaios</p>		<p>... ΕΤΙ ΑΠΑΞ ΕΓΩ CΕΙCΩ ΤΟΝ ΟΥΡΑΝΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΝ ΓΗΝ ... <i>Hag 2:6</i></p> <p>ΕΤΙ ΑΠΑΞ ΕΓΩ CΕΙCΩ ΟΥ ΜΟΝΟΝ ΤΗΝ ΓΗΝ ΑΛΛΑ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΝ ΟΥΡΑΝΟΝ. <i>Heb 12:26</i></p>
	<p>ZACHARIAH (4x) ΖΑΧΑΡΙΑC zacharias</p>	<p>... ΕΠΙΤΙΜΗCΑΙ ΚΥΡΙΟC ΕΝ CΟΙ ... <i>Zec 3:2</i></p> <p>ΕΠΙΤΙΜΗCΑΙ CΟΙ ΚΥΡΙΟC. <i>Jud 1:9</i></p> <p>... ΔΕΙΞΕΤΕ ΑΔΙΦΡΟΝΙΑ ΕΚΑCΤΩ ΠΡΟC ΤΩC ΒΗΤΛΕΑΙ ΑΥΤΩΝ ... <i>Zec 8:16</i></p> <p>... ΔΕΙΞΕΤΕ ΑΔΙΦΡΟΝΙΑ ΕΚΑCΤΩ ΜΕΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΕΙΞΕΤΕ ΑΥΤΩΝ ... <i>Eph 4:25</i></p> <p>ΧΑΙΡΕ CΦΟΔΡΑ, ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ CΙΩΝ. ΚΗΡΥCΣΕ, ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ ΙΕΡΟΥCΑΛΗΜ. 1ΔΟΥ Ο ΒΑCΙΛΕΥC CΟΥ ΕΡΧΕΤΑΙ CΟΙ, ΔΙΚΑΙΟC ΚΑΙ CΩΖΩΝ ΑΥΤΟC, ΠΡΑΥC ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΒΕΒΗΚΩC ΕΠΙ ΥΠΟΖΥΤΙΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΠΩΔΟΝ ΝΕΟΝ. <i>Zec 9:9</i></p> <p>ΕΠΛΑΤΕ ΤΗ ΘΥΓΑΤΡΗ CΙΩΝ. 1ΔΟΥ Ο ΒΑCΙΛΕΥC CΟΥ ΕΡΧΕΤΑΙ CΟΙ ΠΡΑΥC ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΒΕΒΗΚΩC ΕΠΙ ΟΝΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΠΩΔΟΝ ΥΙΟΝ ΥΠΟΖΥΓΙΟΥ. <i>Mat 21:5</i></p> <p>ΜΗ ΦΟΒΟΥ, ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ CΙΩΝ. 1ΔΟΥ Ο ΒΑCΙΛΕΥC CΟΥ ΕΡΧΕΤΑΙ, ΚΑΘΗΜΕΝΟC ΕΠΙ ΠΩΔΟΝ ΟΝΟΥ. <i>Joh 12:15</i></p> <p>... ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΟΝΤΑΙ ΠΡΟC ΜΕ ΑΝΘΩΝ ΚΑΤΩΡΧΗCΑΝΤΟ ΚΑΙ ΚΟΨΟΝΤΑΙ ΕΠΙ ΑΥΤΟΝ ΚΟΠΙΕΤΟΝ ΩC ΕΠΙ ΑΓΑΠΗΤΟΝ ... <i>Zec 12:10</i></p> <p>ΟΨΟΝΤΑΙ ΕΙC ΟΝ ΕΞΕΚΕΝΤΗCΑΝ. <i>Joh 19:37</i></p> <p>ΠΑΤΑΞΑΤΕ ΤΟΥC ΠΟΙΜΕΝΑC ΚΑΙ ΕΚCΠΙΑCΑΤΕ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΒΑΤΑ, ΚΑΙ ΕΠΑΞΩ ΤΗΝ ΧΕΙΡΑ ΜΟΥ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΥC ΠΟΙΜΕΝΑC. <i>Zec 13:7</i></p> <p>ΠΑΤΑΞΩ ΤΟΝ ΠΟΙΜΕΝΑ, ΚΑΙ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΒΑΤΑ ΔΙΑCΚΟΡΠΙCΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΒΑΤΑ ΤΗC ΠΟΙΜΝΗC. <i>Mat 26:31</i></p> <p>ΠΑΤΑΞΩ ΤΟΝ ΠΟΙΜΕΝΑ, ΚΑΙ ΤΑ ΠΡΟΒΑΤΑ ΔΙΑCΚΟΡΠΙCΘΗCΟΝΤΑΙ. <i>Mar 14:27</i></p>		
<p>MALACHI (2x) ΜΑΛΑΧΙΑC malachias</p>		<p>ΗΓΑΠΗCΑ ΤΟΝ ΙΑΚΩΒ, 3ΤΟΝ ΔΕ ΗCΑΥ ΕΜΙCΗCΑ. <i>Mal 1:2-3</i></p> <p>ΤΟΝ ΙΑΚΩΒ ΗΓΑΠΗCΑ, ΤΟΝ ΔΕ ΗCΑΥ ΕΜΙCΗCΑ. <i>Rom 9:13</i></p> <p>1ΔΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΑΜΕΝ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΜΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΕΤΑΙ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟ ΠΡΟCΚΥΝΩΝΤΩΝ ΠΡΟC ΕΜΕΝ ... <i>Mal 3:1</i></p> <p>1ΔΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΑΜΕΝ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΜΟΥ ΠΡΟC ΤΗC ΚΙΝΗCΙΝ ΟC ΚΑΤΑCΚΕΥΑCΕΙ ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΟΝ CΟΥ ΕΜΠΡΟCΘΕΝ CΟΥ. <i>Mat 11:10</i></p> <p>1ΔΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΑΜΕΝ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΜΟΥ ΠΡΟC ΤΗC ΚΙΝΗCΙΝ ΟC ΚΑΤΑCΚΕΥΑCΕΙ ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΟΝ CΟΥ. <i>Mar 1:2</i></p> <p>1ΔΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΑΜΕΝ ΤΟΝ ΑΓΓΕΛΩΝ ΜΟΥ ΠΡΟC ΤΗC ΚΙΝΗCΙΝ ΟC ΚΑΤΑCΚΕΥΑCΕΙ ΤΗΝ ΔΙΑΟΝ CΟΥ ΕΜΠΡΟCΘΕΝ CΟΥ. <i>Luk 7:27</i></p>		



Book / Comments	Scripture / References	
<p>MATTHEW (1x) ΕΥΑΓΓΕΛΙΟΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΜΑΘΘΑΙΟΝ euaggelion kata maththaion</p>	<p>ΔΙΑ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΙΔΟΥ ΕΓΩ ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΩ ΠΡΟΣ ΥΜΑΣ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΣΟΦΟΥΣ ΚΑΙ ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΕΙΣ. ΕΞ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΑΠΟΚΤΕΝΕΙΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΣΤΑΥΡΩΣΕΤΕ ΚΑΙ ΕΞ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΜΑΣΤΙΓΩΣΕΤΕ ΕΝ ΤΑΙΣ ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΑΙΣ ΥΜΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΔΙΩΞΕΤΕ ΑΠΟ ΠΟΛΕΩΣ ΕΙΣ ΠΟΛΙΝ. 35 ΟΠΩΣ ΕΛΘΗ ΕΦ' ΥΜΑΣ ΠΑΝ ΑΙΜΑ ΔΙΚΑΙΟΝ ΕΚΧΥΝΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ ΕΠΙ ΤΗΣ ΓΗΣ ΑΠΟ ΤΟΥ ΑΙΜΑΤΟΣ ΑΒΕΛ ΤΟΥ ΔΙΚΑΙΟΥ ΕΩΣ ΤΟΥ ΑΙΜΑΤΟΣ ΖΑΧΑΡΙΟΥ ΥΙΟΥ ΒΑΡΑΧΙΟΥ, ΟΝ ΕΦΟΝΕΥΣΑΤΕ ΜΕΤΑΞΥ ΤΟΥ ΝΑΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥ ΘΥΣΙΑΣΤΗΡΙΟΥ. 36 ΑΜΗΝ ΛΕΓΩ ΥΜΙΝ: ΗΞΕΙ ΤΑΥΤΑ ΠΑΝΤΑ ΕΠΙ ΤΗΝ ΓΕΝΕΑΝ ΤΑΥΤΗΝ.</p>	<p>Mat 23:34-36</p>
	<p>ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΩ ΕΙΣ ΑΥΤΟΥΣ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΟΥΣ, ΚΑΙ ΕΞ ΑΥΤΩΝ ΑΠΟΚΤΕΝΟΥΣΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΔΙΩΞΟΥΣΙΝ, 50 ΙΝΑ ΕΚΖΗΤΗΘΗ ΤΟ ΑΙΜΑ ΠΑΝΤΩΝ ΤΩΝ ΠΡΟΦΗΤΩΝ ΤΟ ΕΚΚΕΧΥΜΕΝΟΝ ΑΠΟ ΚΑΤΑΒΟΛΗΣ ΚΟΣΜΟΥ ΑΠΟ ΤΗΣ ΓΕΝΕΑΣ ΤΑΥΤΗΣ, 51 ΑΠΟ ΑΙΜΑΤΟΣ ΑΒΕΛ ΕΩΣ ΑΙΜΑΤΟΣ ΖΑΧΑΡΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΑΠΟΛΟΜΕΝΟΥ ΜΕΤΑΞΥ ΤΟΥ ΘΥΣΙΑΣΤΗΡΙΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΤΟΥ ΟΙΚΟΥ. ΝΑΙ ΛΕΓΩ ΥΜΙΝ, ΕΚΖΗΤΗΘΗΣΕΤΑΙ ΑΠΟ ΤΗΣ ΓΕΝΕΑΣ ΤΑΥΤΗΣ.</p>	<p>Luk 11:49-51</p>
<p>LUKE (2x) ΕΥΑΓΓΕΛΙΟΝ ΚΑΤΑ ΛΟΥΚΑΝ euaggelion kata loukan</p>	<p>ΑΞΙΟΣ ΓΑΡ Ο ΕΡΓΑΤΗΣ ΤΟΥ ΜΙΣΘΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ.</p>	<p>Luk 10:7</p>
	<p>ΑΞΙΟΣ Ο ΕΡΓΑΤΗΣ ΤΟΥ ΜΙΣΘΟΥ ΑΥΤΟΥ.</p>	<p>1Tim 5:18</p>
	<p>ΤΟΥΤΟ ΕΣΤΙΝ ΤΟ ΣΩΜΑ ΜΟΥ ΤΟ ΥΠΕΡ ΥΜΩΝ ΔΙΔΟΜΕΝΟΝ. ΤΟΥΤΟ ΠΟΙΕΙΤΕ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΕΜΗΝ ΑΝΑΜΝΗΣΙΝ. [...] ΤΟΥΤΟ ΤΟ ΠΟΤΗΡΙΟΝ Η ΚΑΙΝΗ ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΑΙΜΑΤΙ ΜΟΥ ΤΟ ΥΠΕΡ ΥΜΩΝ ΕΚΧΥΝΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ.</p>	<p>Luk 22:19-20</p>
<p>ΤΟΥΤΟ ΜΟΥ ΕΣΤΙΝ ΤΟ ΣΩΜΑ ΤΟ ΥΠΕΡ ΥΜΩΝ. ΤΟΥΤΟ ΠΟΙΕΙΤΕ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΕΜΗΝ ΑΝΑΜΝΗΣΙΝ. [...] ΤΟΥΤΟ ΤΟ ΠΟΤΗΡΙΟΝ Η ΚΑΙΝΗ ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ ΕΣΤΙΝ ΕΝ ΤΩ ΕΜΩ ΑΙΜΑΤΙ. ΤΟΥΤΟ ΠΟΙΕΙΤΕ, ΟΣΑΚΙΣ ΕΑΝ ΠΙΝΗΤΕ, ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΕΜΗΝ ΑΝΑΜΝΗΣΙΝ.</p>	<p>1Cor 11:24-25</p>	
<p>ACTS OF THE APOSTLES (1x) ΠΡΑΞΕΙΣ ΑΠΟΣΤΟΛΩΝ praxeis apostolon</p> <p>Quoted 2 times within the book.</p> <p>A good example for a certain liberty between quotations. Here we find within the same book and from the same author (Luke) different snippets from the very same conversation of Saul with IESOUS CHRISTOS. While Acts 22:10 adds the first detail to the conversation, we find in Acts 26:14 and Acts 26:16-18 a significant part of the conversation, which is not yet included in the original account of the conversation in Acts 9.</p>	<p>ΣΑΟΥΛ ΣΑΟΥΛ, ΤΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ? 5 ΕΙΠΕΝ ΔΕ: ΤΙΣ ΕΙ, ΚΥΡΙΕ? Ο ΔΕ: ΕΓΩ ΕΙΜΙ ΙΗΣΟΥΣ ΟΝ ΣΥ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ. 6 ΑΛΛ' ΑΝΑΣΤΗΘΙ ΚΑΙ ΕΙΣΕΛΘΕ ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΠΟΛΙΝ ΚΑΙ ΛΑΛΗΘΗΣΕΤΑΙ ΣΟΙ Ο ΤΙ ΣΕ ΔΕΙ ΠΟΙΕΙΝ.</p>	<p>Act 9:4-6</p>
	<p>ΣΑΟΥΛ ΣΑΟΥΛ, ΤΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ? 8 ΕΓΩ ΔΕ ΑΠΕΚΡΙΘΗΝ: ΤΙΣ ΕΙ, ΚΥΡΙΕ? ΕΙΠΕΝ ΤΕ ΠΡΟΣ ΜΕ: ΕΓΩ ΕΙΜΙ ΙΗΣΟΥΣ Ο ΝΑΖΩΡΑΙΟΣ, ΟΝ ΣΥ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ. [...] 10 ΕΙΠΟΝ ΔΕ: ΤΙ ΠΟΙΗΣΩ, ΚΥΡΙΕ? Ο ΔΕ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΕΙΠΕΝ ΠΡΟΣ ΜΕ: ΑΝΑΣΤΑΣ ΠΟΡΕΥΟΥ ΕΙΣ ΔΑΜΑΣΚΟΝ ΚΑΚΕΙ ΣΟΙ ΛΑΛΗΘΗΣΕΤΑΙ ΠΕΡΙ ΠΑΝΤΩΝ ΩΝ ΤΕΤΑΚΤΑΙ ΣΟΙ ΠΟΙΗΣΑΙ.</p>	<p>Act 22:7-10</p>
	<p>ΣΑΟΥΛ ΣΑΟΥΛ, ΤΙ ΜΕ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ? 9 ΚΛΗΡΟΝ ΣΟΙ ΠΡΟΣ ΚΕΝΤΡΑ ΛΑΚΤΙΖΕΙΝ. 15 ΕΓΩ ΔΕ ΕΙΠΑ. ΤΙΣ ΕΙ, ΚΥΡΙΕ? Ο ΔΕ ΚΥΡΙΟΣ ΕΙΠΕΝ: ΕΓΩ ΕΙΜΙ ΙΗΣΟΥΣ ΟΝ ΣΥ ΔΙΩΚΕΙΣ. 16 ΑΛΛ' ΑΝΑΣΤΗΘΙ ΚΑΙ ΣΤΗΘΙ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΥΣ ΠΟΔΑΣ ΣΟΥ. ΕΙΣ ΤΟΥΤΟ ΓΑΡ ΩΦΘΗΝ ΣΟΙ, ΠΡΟΧΕΙΡΙΣΑΣΘΑΙ ΣΕ ΥΠΗΡΕΤΗΝ ΚΑΙ ΜΑΡΤΥΡΑ ΩΝ ΤΕ ΕΙΔΕΣ [ΜΕ] ΩΝ ΤΕ ΟΦΘΗΣΟΜΑΙ ΣΟΙ, 17 ΕΞΑΙΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ ΣΕ ΕΚ ΤΟΥ ΛΑΟΥ ΚΑΙ ΕΚ ΤΩΝ ΕΘΝΩΝ ΕΙΣ ΟΥΣ ΕΓΩ ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΩ ΣΕ 18 ΑΝΟΙΞΑΙ ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΥΣ ΑΥΤΩΝ, ΤΟΥ ΕΠΙΣΤΡΕΨΑΙ ΑΠΟ ΣΚΟΤΟΥΣ ΕΙΣ ΦΩΣ ΚΑΙ ΤΗΣ ΕΞΟΥΣΙΑΣ ΤΟΥ ΣΑΤΑΝΑ ΕΠΙ ΤΟΝ ΘΕΟΝ, ΤΟΥ ΛΑΒΕΙΝ ΑΥΤΟΥΣ ΑΦΕΣΙΝ ΑΜΑΡΤΙΩΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΛΗΡΟΝ ΕΝ ΤΟΙΣ ΗΓΙΑΣΜΕΝΟΙΣ ΠΙΣΤΕΙ ΤΗ ΕΙΣ ΕΜΕ.</p>	<p>Act 26:14-18</p>

THE GREEK OLD TESTAMENT

Addendum · Canon & Apocrypha



Comments	Scripture / References
----------	------------------------

Ecclesiastical Books

(also called
Apocrypha /
Deuterocanonical
Books)

Some critics do not recognize the Greek Old Testament because some translations such as Brenton, the LXX 2012 and the NETS still include the Ecclesiastical Books (commonly called Apocrypha or today rather Deuterocanonicals). The following points demonstrate why this argument is not valid, and is rather an evil distraction from the truth.

1. The 'Apocrypha' should rather be designated ' Ecclesiastical Books ' (according to Rufinus and others), because most are neither hidden nor strictly heretical books and had been openly used in Jewish Synagogues and Christian churches, before they found their way into the Greek OT, the first Codices and into the Bibles translated from the Hebrew. They are read for the edification of the people but not for establishing the authority of ecclesiastical dogmas. It is not the inclusion in Bibles that caused the reading in churches, but the widespread ecclesiastical reading (in churches) that led to the inclusion into Bibles.	Source
2. The term 'Anagignoskomena' used by the Greeks (ΑΝΑΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΟΜΕΝΑ, ' things that are read ' or 'profitable reading') points in the same direction.	Source
3. The 70 (72) elders exclusively translated the Pentateuch , while other Jews translated further books (Prophets and Writings) by about 140 BC . It is even misleading to call the entire Greek Old Testament 'LXX' or 'Septuagint' (reason why I avoid the use of the designation 'Septuagint' in this study), because 77% of the books had not been translated by the Seventy. Not in the least can those Seventy be associated with an Apocrypha not even written in their time and added possibly more than 600 years later to the title 'Septuagint'. A better title would simply be ' Greek Old Testament '.	Source
4. There is no evidence that the Alexandrian Jews ever promulgated a canon of Scripture.	Source
5. The book 'Wisdom of Sirach' is held to have been translated from the Hebrew, was frequently quoted in the Talmud and nearly included in the Hebrew Bible (Tanakh). 11 out of 15 apocryphal books are considered to have (eventually) Hebrew / Aramaic / Semitic origin.	Source Source Source
6. Most importantly, the Greek OT had been translated (Pentateuch in 282-250 BC), when only 1 apocryphal book was written . After the remaining Greek OT had been translated by 140 BC, only a total of 5 apocryphal books had been written. 10 apocryphal books were completed until the time of CHRISTOS, while it took at least until 100 AD (2 Esdras possibly until 300 AD) until the Apocrypha / Ecclesiastical Books had been completed.	▶ see study 'Non-Canonical Writings'
7. The oldest-surviving nearly-complete manuscripts of the Greek Old Testament including parts of the Apocrypha are from about 325-350 AD (about 600 years before the oldest Hebrew manuscript).	Source
8. The complete Ecclesiastical Books are not found in any of the various codices that contain the Greek Old Testament.	▶ see also the study 'Canon'
9. Practically all Masoretic Bibles included the Ecclesiastical Books well until after the Reformation.	see below



	Timeline	Bible / Actor	Count	Survey		
BC	Old Testament Canon (22, modern count 39 books)		22 +	14	Old Testament Apocrypha, only counted if part of the 14 deuterocanonical books (1&2 Clement added). It is not always clear if Nehemiah & Ezra are counted as 1 or 2 books called Esdras.	
	-282-250 BC	· Greek Pentateuch (Septuagint, LXX)	5 +	0	Apocrypha not yet written . The 70 or 72 elders translated only the Pentateuch (five books of the Torah / Law).	Source
	-300-140 BC	· Apocrypha (Part I / III)	-	5	5 Books written during the completion of the Greek OT: (Letter of Jeremiah -300 BC, Sirach -180-175 BC, Tobit -225-164 BC, Wisdom of Solomon -150 BC, 1 Esdras -200-140 BC).	Source
	-250-140 BC	· Greek Old Testament (5 books Septuagint + 17 additional books)	22 +	0	Further, unknown translators amongst the Alexandrian Jews translated the remaining 17 books of the OT. What some coined 'Septuagintal Plus' (=Apocrypha) and many still erroneously consider integral part of the Septuagint, would not even be finished until 240 years after (!) the Greek OT had been fully translated in 140 BC.	Source Source
	1c. BC	· Jews of Alexandria and of Palestine	-	0	All groups except the Samaritans (and naturally certain sects) had the same canon , although not specified as such.	Source
	150-1 BC	· Apocrypha (Part II / III)	-	10	5 Books written after the completion of the Greek OT: (2 Maccabees -150-120 BC, 1 Maccabees -135-103 BC, Judith -150-100 BC, Additions to Daniel -100 BC, 3 Maccabees -100-50 BC).	Source
AD	1c. AD	· CHRISTOS, His disciples and NT writers	-	0	CHRISTOS and His disciples read and quoted from one canon, from the Greek and Aramaic / Hebrew OT. IESOUS and the NT writers not even once quoted the Apocrypha, although there are hundreds of quotes and references to almost all of the canonical books of the OT.	Source Source
	20 BC-40 AD	· Philo of Alexandria (Hellenistic Jewish Phil.)	-	0	He quoted the OT extensively, but he never quoted from the Apocrypha as being inspired .	Source
	1c. AD	· Dead Sea Scrolls (Written 3c. BC - 1c. AD)	21 +	3	Apocrypha included in the collection of biblical and extra biblical books (3 books), but not written on the special parchment reserved for the Bible .	Source Source
	after 70 AD	· Apocalypse of Ezra	24 +	0	Considered dozens of other books, but EXCLUDED those upon a 'special revelation' from THEOS to consider only the 24 books (22 books + ?).	Source
	-80 AD	· Josephus (Roman-Jewish historian)	22 +	0	Apocrypha thoroughly rejected. He frequently used the Greek OT. He specifically mentioned 22 OT books and also used the Greek OT 5500 BC timeline.	Flavius Josephus, Against Apion 1:8
	-90 AD	· Council of Jamnia	-	-	Some sources state that they did not recognize the Apocrypha, while others say that this council was not about the canon at all.	Source Source
	200 BC - 100 AD	· Apocrypha (Part III / III)	-	14	4 Books finished after CHRISTOS (completing 14 books): (Prayer of Manasseh -200 BC-50 AD, 4 Maccabees -18-55 AD, Baruch -200 BC-100 AD, 2 Esdras -90-100 AD).	Source
	-120-160 AD	· Proto-Masoretic Text (Basic text for most modern translations such as AMP, ESV, KJV, NASB, NIV, NLT ... For centuries erroneously thought to be the original Hebrew text, and now only reluctantly being admitted by scholars).	24 +	0	Apocrypha not included . Rabbi Akiva (died 135 AD; the mastermind behind the OT manipulation and the heretical Talmud; hater of the Good Message; he proclaimed Bar Kochba as 'messiah') was instrumental in drawing up the canon of the Tanakh. He condemned the public-, but favored a private reading of the Apocrypha; he even made frequent use Sirach.	Source Source
	2-4c. AD	· Tanakh / Talmud	24 +	0	Wisdom of Sirach (Ben Sira) was now quoted several times in the Talmud and was closest to an inclusion in the canon. Considered as 'historically valuable': 1-2 Maccabees and Judith. Considered 'heretical': 3-4 Maccabees, Susanna, plus Enoch and Jubilees.	Source
	(early 2c. AD)	· Bryennios List	22	-	Apocrypha not included .	Source
	- 160 AD	· Justin Martyr (Apologist & Philosopher)	-	-	Apocrypha never mentioned in any of his works .	Source
	170 AD	· Melito (Bishop of Sardis)	21	-	Apocrypha not mentioned in his OT canon list .	Source
	1-3c. AD	· The Christian Church	-	?	Many Christians accepted Apocrypha / Ecclesiastical Books as 'profitable for reading'. It also became a part of the liturgy in some churches.	The Canon of Scripture, by E.F. Bruce Jerome
	240 AD	· Origen Adamantius (Scholar, Ascetic, Theologian, Problematic doctrines: Universalism "Satan might be reconciled", first hints of Catholic Mariology, Purgatory, Preexistence of souls, attacks on the Pentateuch, tampered manuscripts, questioned Hebrews, misplaced the Mount of Transfiguration, practice of Lectio Divina, Ransom-Theorist, heavenly bodies are living creatures ...)	22 +	0	He saw the Christian canon as consisting of '22 books of the Hebrews' (he included the Epistle of Jeremiah), plus the Ecclesiastical books. He also used those apocryphal books indiscriminately with those of Scripture as sources for dogmatic proof texts, and cited as inspired / Scripture: Baruch, Judith, Maccabees (plural), Tobit, Wisdom (of Solomon). He also defended Bel and the Dragon, Sirach and Susanna. He only discriminated the Pseudepigrapha, which he called in fact 'Apocrypha' in the sense of being hidden / secret. He excluded the books of James, 2 Peter, and 2 & 3 John from his canon.	Ernst Bede-pennin, page 237-238 ▶ see also the study 'Canon' Source ▶ see also the detailed discernment
	-324 AD	· Eusebius of Caesarea (Historian, Exegete)	-	2	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 2 books considered as canonical.	▶ see also the study 'Canon'
	325 AD	· Council of Nicaea (Ecumenical Council)	-	1	No definition of the biblical canon, but the book of Judith was considered inspired.	Source
	-350 AD	· Cyril of Jerusalem (Theologian, Bishop)	22 +	2	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 2 books considered as canonical.	▶ see also the study 'Canon'
	-360 AD	· Cheltenham	22 +	4	Apocrypha considered canonical (4 books). The list specifically mentions 22 OT books.	Source
	-360 AD	· Hilary of Poitiers (Bishop, Catholic Doctor of the Church, Philosopher)	22 +	0	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading'. Judith, Tobit considered canonical by some. He quoted Baruch in one breath with Moses and Isaiah, quoted Wisdom and called Susanna 'blessed'.	References to Baruch, Maccabees, Susanna
	-360 AD	· Lucifer of Cagliari (Catholic Bishop)	-	5	5 books considered as canonical.	Source
	-360 AD	· Gregory of Nazianzus (Archbishop, Theologian)	22 +	0	Apocrypha rejected . He counted 22 OT books. But he taught from Baruch, Judith, Sirach and from Wisdom of Solomon, and treated it rather as Scripture.	Source (Catholic)
	-363 AD	· Council of Laodicea	22 +	2	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 2 books considered as canonical. 22 OT books.	▶ see also the study 'Canon'
	-367 AD	· Athanasius of Alexandria (Pope of Coptic Church)	22 +	4	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 4 books considered as canonical. 22 OT books.	Source (Catholic)
-370 AD	· Basil the Great (Catholic Doctor, Bishop, Theologian)	-	4	He quoted 4 books as Scripture: Baruch, Judith, Sirach, Wisdom of Solomon.	Source (Catholic)	
-380 AD	· Apostolic Canons (Syrian Church Order)	22 +	4	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 4 books considered as canonical. 22 OT books.	▶ see also the study 'Canon'	
-380 AD	· Amphilocheus (Bishop)	21 +	0	Apocrypha thoroughly rejected .	▶ see also the study 'Canon'	
-385 AD	· Epiphanius (Bishop)	22 +	2	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading', and 2 books considered as canonical.	Source	
-350 AD	· Sinaiticus (Alep) Greek Old Testament (Alexandrian text-type)	-	6	Apocrypha included (6 books), only part of the manuscript survived.	Source	
4th C.	· Vaticanus (B) Greek Old Testament (Alexandrian text-type)	39 +	7	Apocrypha included (7 books).	Source	
382 AD	· Pope Damasus / Council of Rome (Catholic Canon)	39 +	6	Apocrypha partly legalized as canonical, through his Council of Rome. Fully merged with biblical texts. Damasus then commissioned in 383 AD the Latin Vulgate edition of the Bible, which would prove instrumental in the fixation of the canon in the West, but removed the previously included sections A-F of the Book of Esther and the auto(bio)graphical Psalm of David.	Source Source	
393 AD	· Augustine of Hippo (3rd Catholic Doctor & Patriarch of Calvinism = significant conflict of interest; Problematic doctrines: Former Manichaean, Amillennialist, followed the 7 catholic sacraments, Genesis only myth, Infant Baptism, Purgatory, Ransom-Theorist ...)	39 +	7	The decisive link to legalize the Apocrypha as canonical for both 'Christians' and Roman Catholics, through his Council of Hippo. Most studies on the Canon intentionally conceal his role based on the significant conflict of interest. Augustine frequently drew from the apocryphal books in his writings.	Source Source Source ▶ see also the detailed discernment	
397 AD (and 419 AD)	· Council of Carthage	39 +	7	Summary of the Council of Hippo, reconfirmed 419 AD, therefore Apocrypha formalized as canonical.	Source Source	
405 AD	· Pope Innocent I	39 +	7	Re-confirmation of the council of Hippo & Carthage, therefore Apocrypha formalized as canonical.	Source	
4-5th C.	· Jerome (of Stridon) (Confessor, Historian, Catholic Priest, Secretary to Pope Damasus, Theologian)	0	7	Apocrypha rejected (personal position). But he called Baruch a prophet, quoted from Bel and the Dragon, 2 Maccabees, Sirach, Susanna, Tobit and Wisdom. He encouraged churches to read Wisdom of Solomon and Ecclesiasticus for their edification.	Source Source	
405 AD	· Jerome's Vulgate Latin Old Testament / Masoretic Text (Western text-type)	39 +	7	Jerome had now submitted to the decree of Rome, of Pope Damasus who had commissioned the Vulgate. He included 7 books as canonical.	Source Source	
5th C.	· Alexandrinus (A) Greek Old Testament (Alexandrian text-type)	39 +	10	Apocrypha included (10 books), merged.	The Canon of Scripture, by E.F. Bruce	
-590 AD	· Pope Gregory the Great	39 +	3-7	Considered Sirach, Tobit and Wisdom explicitly as Scripture. He probably accepted 7 books, with reservations on 1 Maccabees. He refers to the Apocrypha -37-40 notes in the same way as to Scripture. He did clearly -NOT- remove it from the codices.	Source "Macc" "Tobit" "Wisdom"	
-730 AD	· John of Damascus (Apologist, Monk, Priest)	22 +	7	Apocrypha considered as canonical (7 books; disguised as content of the 'Apostolic Canons').	Source	
-1130 AD	· Hugh of Saint Victor (Mystic, Theologian)	22 +	0	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading'.	Source (limited credibility, numerous errors)	
12c. AD	· Glossa Ordinaria (Medieval Scholarly Bible)	22 +	0	Apocrypha considered as 'profitable for reading'.		
-1330 AD	· Nicholas of Lyra (Franciscan, former Jew)	39 +	0	Apocrypha thoroughly rejected .		
1442 AD	· Council of Florence (Ecumenical Council)	39 +	7	Apocrypha included (7 books), merged.	Source	
-1450 AD	· Dominican Friar, Archbishop (Antonin Friar, Archbishop)	22 +	0	Apocrypha thoroughly rejected .	Source	
1455 AD	· Gutenberg Bible (First Mass-Produced Bible)	39 +	10	Apocrypha included (10 books), fully merged.	Original Bible	
-1510 AD	· Erasmus (Catholic Theologian, Philosopher)	22	?	Apocrypha accepted as 'profitable for reading'. He indicated an acceptance of a wide Christian canon.	Source Source	
1517 AD	· Complutensian Polyglot (Franciscan)	-	7	Apocrypha included (7 books), separate section.	Source	
1524 AD	· Zwingli's Zürich Bible (Reformed)	39 +	10	Apocrypha included, fully merged (some say separate section, but the original clearly shows it to be included in between-, not at the end of the OT books)	Original Bible	
1534 AD	· Luther Bible (Augustinian)	24 +	11	Apocrypha included (11 books), same weight as the books of Hebrews, James, Jude and Revelation. He badly disparaged the book of James. Also rejection of Esther, while he regarded 1 Maccabees and Judith as "not unworthy" to be reckoned among Holy Scripture. He cited Sirach (191x) and Wisdom of Solomon; in many quotes he treated those as 'Scripture'.	Original Bible Source Works of Luther	
1537 AD	· Matthew's Bible	39 +	14	Apocrypha included (14 books), separate section.	Original Source	
1538 AD	· Myles Coverdale Bible (Preacher, Theologian)	39 +	12	Apocrypha included (12 books), separate section.	Original Bible	
1546 AD	· Council of Trent (Roman Catholic)	39 +	7	Apocrypha included (7 books), merged. Formal confirmation of the Roman Catholic canon set into practise some 1146 years earlier.	Source	
1560 AD	· Geneva Bible	39 +	12	Apocrypha included (12 books), separate section.	Original Bible	
1561 AD	· Belgic Confession (Augustinian-Calvinists)	39 +	13	Rejected, but 13 books considered 'profitable for reading'.	Source	
1568 AD	· Bishop's Bible	39 +	13	Apocrypha included (13 books), separate section.	Source	
1569 AD	· Reina-Valera Bible (Spanish Bible)	39 +	14	Apocrypha included (14 books), merged. Separate section in second edition in 1602 AD, removed in 1862 AD.	Source	
1571 AD	· 39 Articles (Anglican)	39 +	13	Rejected, but 13 books considered 'profitable for reading' and read in their churches until today.	Source	
1592 AD	· Clementine Vulgate	39 +	7	Apocrypha included (7 books), separate section.	Source	
1611 AD	· King James Bible	39 +	14	Apocrypha included (14 books), separate section.	Source Source	
1647 AD	· Westminster CoF (Augustinian)	39 +	0	Apocrypha thoroughly rejected .	Source	
1851 AD	· Lancelot Brenton LXX	39 +	15	Apocrypha included (15 books), separate section.	Source	
1885 AD	· King James Bible	39 +	0	Apocrypha excluded for reasons related to costs. The National Bible Society of Scotland had successfully petitioned in 1826 to not print anymore the Apocrypha. If it would not have proven less costly to produce the Bibles without it, we would most probably (!) still have the Apocrypha in our common Bibles today.	Source Source	
1979 AD	· Good News Bible (Reformed)	39 +	14	Apocrypha included (14 books), separate section.	Original Bible	

No / Minor Influence

Read in Churches

Inclusion in Majority of Bibles

Gradual Exclusion

